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#### Abstract

A field experiment was conducted in the experimental field of Genetics and Plant Breeding Field Laboratory of Sher-e Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, Bangladesh to study on the morphological characteristics and genetic divergence in oleiferous Brassica species. They showed wide variation and thus were categorized under three cultivated species - $B$. rapa, $B$. napus and B. juncea based on the morphological characteristics. The genotypes of three different species showed greater diversity. 41 genotypes were grouped in 6 different groups. Many of the groups comprise of the genotypes of the three different species. There is diversity among the genotypes of each species. Cluster III and V was the largest cluster comprising of 10 genotypes and cluster I and II with 3 genotypes each. Cluster II had the highest intra-cluster distance ( 0.813 ) Cluster TV had the lowest intra cluster distance ( 0.378 ). Inter cluster distance was maximum (0.902) between clusters II and VL. The results revealed that genotypes chosen for hybridization from clusters with highest distances would give high heterotic $F_{1}$ and broad spectrum of variability in segregating generations. The phenotypic variance of each of characters was higher than respective genotypic variance showing the minimum role of environment on these characters. High heritability with low genetic advance was observed for the characters days to $50 \%$ flowering, days to maturity, primary branches/plant, 1000-seed weight and yield/plant. Yield/plant confirmed highly significant positive association with plant height ( 0.503 ), length of siliquae ( 0.277 ), siliquae/plant ( 0.658 ) and seed/siliquae ( 0.303 ) but insignificant negative association with days to $50 \%$ flowering ( -0.058 ) days to maturity ( -0.092 ) Path analysis showed that siliquae/plant had positive direct effect ( 0.628 ) on yield/plant. Path analysis revealed that days to $50 \%$ flowering had negative direct effect $(-0.184)$ on yield/plant.


## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTERPage No.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTSi
ABSTRACT ..... ii
TABLE OF CONTENTSiii
LIST OF TABLES
LIST OF FIGURES

1. INTRODUCTION
2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE
2.1 Genetic Diversity ..... 04vi
viii0104
2.2 Variability ..... 06
2.3 Heritability and Genetic Advance ..... 10
2.4 Character Association ..... 13
2.5 Path Co-efficient Analysis ..... 14
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS ..... 17
3.1 Experimental Site ..... 17
3.2 Characteristics of Soil ..... 17
3.3 Weather Condition of the Experimental Site ..... 17
3.4 Planting Materials ..... 18
3.5 Layout of the Experiment ..... 18
3.6 Preparation of the Main Field ..... 19
3.7 Application of Manure and Fertilizers ..... 19
3.8 Sowing of Seeds in the Field ..... 20
3.9 After Care ..... 20
3.9.1 Irrigation ..... 20
3.9.2 Thinning and Gap Filling ..... 20
3.9.3 Weeding and Mulching ..... 20
3.9.4 Top Dressing ..... 20
3.10 Plant Protection ..... 21
3.11 Harvesting, threshing and cleaning ..... 21
3.12 Species identification ..... 21
3.13 Data recording
3.13.1 Days to $50 \%$ flowering ..... 21
3.13.2 Days to maturity ..... 21
CHAPTER
Page No.
3.13.3 Plant height ..... 22
3.13.4 Number of primary branches/plant ..... 22
3.13.5 Number of secondary branches/plant ..... 22
3.13.6 Number of siliquae/plant ..... 22
3.13.7 Length of siliquae ..... 22
3.13.8 Number of seed/siliquae ..... 22
3.13 .91000 seed weight ..... 23
3.13.10 Yield/plant ..... 23
3.14 Statistical analysis ..... 23
3.15 Analysis of genetic divergence ..... 23
3.15.1 Score index for selection ..... 23
3.15.2 Mahalanobis' $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ statistics ..... 24
3.16 Estimation of variability ..... 25
3.16.1 Estimation of components of variance from individual ..... 25 environment
3.16.2 Estimation of genotypic co-efficient of variation (GCV) ..... 26 and phenotypic co-efficient of variation (PCV)
3.16.3 Estimation of heritability ..... 27
3.16.4 Estimation of genetic Advance ..... 27
3.16.5 Estimation of Genetic Advance in percentage of mean ..... 28
3.17 Estimation of correlation ..... 28
3.18 Path co-efficient analysis ..... 28
4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION ..... 30
4.1 Genetic Divergence ..... 35
4.1.1 Genetic divergence for Brassica ..... 35
4.1.2 Genetic divergence for $B$. napus ..... 39
4.1.3 Genetic divergence for $B$. rapa ..... 43
4.1.4 Genetic divergence for $B$. juncea ..... 47
4.2 Analytical Result ..... 51
4.2.1 Analytical result for Brassica ..... 51
4.2.2 Analytical result for B. napus ..... 63
4.2.3 Analytical result for B. rapa ..... 72
4.2.4 Analytical result for $B$. juncea ..... 82
4.3 Heritability and Genetic Advance ..... 91
CHAPTER
Page No.
4.3.1 Heritability and genetic advance for Brassica ..... 91
4.3.2 Heritability and genetic advance for $B$. napus ..... 93
4.3.3 Heritability and genetic advance for $B$. rapa ..... 96
4.3.4 Heritability and genetic advance for B. juncea ..... 98
4.4 Correlation Matrix ..... 101
4.4.1 Correlation matrix for Brassica ..... 101
4.4.2 Correlation matrix for $B$. napus ..... 106
4.4.3 Correlation matrix for B. rapa ..... 110
4,4,4 Correlation matrix for $B$. juncea ..... 114
4.5 Path Co-efficient Analysis ..... 119
4.5.1 Path Co-efficient Analysis for Brassica ..... 119
4.5.2 Path Co-efficient Analysis for B. napus ..... 122
4.5.3 Path Co-efficient Analysis for B. rapa ..... 125
4.5.4 Path Co-efficient Analysis for B. juncea ..... 129
4. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION ..... 133
5. REFERENCES ..... 137
6. APPENDIX ..... 151

## LIST OF TABLES

Table No. Title Page No.
1 Name of oleiferous Brassica genotypes used in the present study ..... 17
2 Dose and method of application of fertilizers in Brassica field ..... 18
3 Genotypes of 41 Brassica categorized in B. napus, B. rapa and $B$. ..... 34juncea
4 Clustering pattern of 41 Brassica genotypes by Tocher's method ..... 35
5 Cluster means for 10 characters of 41 Brassica genotypes ..... 36
6
Average intra (bold) and inter-cluster $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ and D values of cluster ..... 37
for 41 Brassica genotypes formed by Torcher's method7 Clustering pattern of 15 Brassica napus genotypes by Tocher's39method
8 ..... 40
9 Average intra (bold) and inter-cluster $D^{2}$ and D values of 6 ..... 41
clusters for 15 B. napus genotypes formed by Torcher's method
Clustering pattern of 13 B. rapa genotypes by Tocher's method ..... 43 ..... 10
Cluster means for 10 characters of 15 B. rapa genotypes ..... 44 ..... 11
Average intra (bold) and inter-cluster $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ and D values of 6 ..... 45 ..... 12clusters for 13 B. rapa genotypes formed by Torcher's method.
13Clustering pattern of 13 B. juncea genotypes by Tocher's method47
14 Cluster means for 10 characters of 13 B. juncea genotypes ..... 48
15 Average intra (bold) and inter-cluster $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ and D values of 6 ..... 49clusters for 13 B. juncea genotypes formed by Torcher's method
16
Analysis of variance of the data of 10 important characters in ..... 55respect of 41 Brassica genotypes
17 Mean performance of 10 important characters in respect of 41 ..... 56 Brassica genotypes
18
Estimation of genetic parameters for yield and yield contributing ..... 58 characters of 41 Brassica genotypes
Analysis of variance of the data of 10 important characters in ..... 66 respect of 15 genotypes of $B$. napus
20 Mean performance of 10 important characters in respect of 15 ..... 67 genotypes of $B$. napus
21 Estimation of genetic parameters for yield and yield contributing ..... 68 characters of 15 genotypes of $B$. Napus
22 Analysis of variance of the data of 10 important characters in ..... 75
-ble No.

Title
Page No.
respect of 13 genotypes of $B$. rapa
23 Mean performance of 10 important characters in respect of 157 genotypes of $B$. rapa
24 Estimation of genetic parameters for yield and yield contributing 77 characters of 13 genotypes of $B$. rapa

| Analysis of variance of the data of 10 important characters in 84 |
| :--- | respect of 13 genotypes of $B$. juncea

26 Mean performance of 10 important characters in respect of 1585 genotypes of $B$. juncea
27 Estimation of genetic parameters for yield and yield contributing 86 characters of 13 genotypes of B. juncea
28 Correlation matrix among the yield and yield contributing 102 characters of 41 Brassica genotypes
29 Correlation matrix among the yield and yield contributing 107 characters of 15 genotypes of B. Napus
30 Correlation matrix among the yield and yield contributing 112 characters of 13 genotypes of $B$. rapa
31 Correlation matrix among the yield and yield contributing 116 characters of 13 genotypes of $B$. juncea
32 Partitioning of genetic correlation into direct (bold) and indirect effects of yield contributing characters on yield of 41 genotypes of Brassica by path analysis
33 Partitioning of genetic correlation into direct (bold) and indirect effects of yield contributing characters on yield of 15 genotypes of B. Napus by path analysis

34 Partitioning of genetic correlation into direct (bold) and indirect effects of yield contributing characters on yield of 13 genotypes of B. rapa by path analysis

35 Partitioning of genetic correlation into direct (bold) and indirect effects of yield contributing characters on yield of 13 genotypes of B. juncea by path analysis


## LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure No. |  | Title | Page No. |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Diagram showing intra and inter cluster distances (D) of <br> 41Brassica genotyp | 38 |  |
| 2 | Diagram showing intra and inter cluster distances (D) of $15 B$. <br> napus genotyp | 42 |  |
| 3 | Diagram showing intra and inter cluster distances (D) of 13B. <br> rapa genotyp | 46 |  |
| 4 | Diagram showing intra and inter cluster distances (D) of $13 B$. | 50 |  |

## LIST OF PLATES

Plate No. Title Page No.
1 Photographs represent the distinguishing characters among $B$. napus, B. rapa and B. juncea leaves2
Photographs represent the distinguishing characters among B. napus, B. rapa and B. juncea inflorescence3Photographs represent the differences in siliquae shape and size of33
B. napus, B. rapa and B. juncea
4
Plate 4. Photograph of showing morphology of different lines of ..... 53 three Brassica spp
5
Photograph of showing morphology of different lines of $B$. rapa ..... 53 with B. napus
6 Photograph of showing morphology of different lines of $B$. rapa ..... 53 with $B$. juncea
7
Photograph of short duration B. rapa line ..... 54
8 Photograph of medium duration $B$. rapa line ..... 54
9 Photograph of medium duration B. napus line ..... 54
10 Photograph of long duration B. napus line ..... 50
11 Photograph of two lines of $B$. juncea showing differences in ..... 54 maturity


## Chapter I

## INTRODUCTION

The oleiferous Brassica symbolized by rapeseed and mustard is one of the leading oilseed crops in our country. In Bangladesh more than 210.57 thousand metric ton of rape and mustard produced from total 279.23 thousand hectares of cultivable land in the year 20032004 (BBS, 2005). It is used as a condiment, salad, green manure and fodder crop, and as a leaf and stem vegetable in the various mustard growing countries of the World. There are only a few varieties of mustard in our country. So, to have sufficient in oil production we should develop high yielding mustard varieties. It is mainly self-pollinating crop, although on an average 7.5 to $30 \%$ out-crossing does occur under natural field conditions (Abraham, 1994; Rakow and Woods, 1987).

The genus Brassica has generally been divided into three groups namely, (i) the mustard (ii) the rapeseed and (iii) the cole. The genomic constitutions of the three elemental species of Brassica are as follows: 'AA' for B. rapa, 'BB' for B. nigra and 'CC' for B. oleracea having haploid chromosome number 10,8 and 9 , respectively. The species $B$. juncea (AABB), B. carinata (BBCC) and B. napus (AACC) are the amphidiploids, and originated by combinations of the diploid elemental species. All these species have many cultivated varieties suited to different agro-climatic conditions. In the oleiferous Brassica group, a considerable variation of genetic nature exists among different species and varieties within each species in respect of different morpho-physiological characters (Malik et al., 1995; Nanda et al., 1995; Kakroo and Kumar, 1991; Singh et al., 1991, Li, et al., 1989).

The variability among different genotypes of a species is known as genetic diversity. It arises either due to geographical separation or due to genetic barriers to crossability. Genetic
diversity plays an important role in plant breeding because hybrids between lines of diverse origin generally display a great heterosis than those between closely related strains (Singh, 1983) which permits to select the genetically divergent parents to obtain the desirable recombination of the segregating generations.

Hybridization is one of the major tools for the improvement of a crop. Before hybridization genetic diversity of the existing varieties need to be known. It is well established that the greater the genetic diversity the higher the chance of getting better hybrid or recombinant. Genetic diversity is one of the fundaments of plant breeding. It is a major tool being used in parent selection for efficient hybridization program (Bhatt, 1973; Khanna and Chaudhary, 1974, Chandra, 1977). Moreover, evaluation of genetic diversity is important to know the source of genes for a particular trait within the available germplasm (Tomooka, 1991).

Different types of local races, advanced lines and exotic materials of mustard and rape seed are available in our country. To utilize the variabilities, it is necessary to asses the genetic variations in respect of genetic and phenotypic co-efficient of variation, heritability, genetic advance and other relevant parameters which have been reported by many authors (Kumar et al., 1996; Nanda et al, 1995; Biswas, 1989, Lebowitz, 1989; Yadava et al., 1985). Such study can help in better and effective understanding of genetic variability and its utilization in breeding programs.

If a plant breeding program is to be advanced more rapidly and efficiently, knowledge of inter-relationships between yield contributing characters is necessary. Thus, determination of correlation between characters has a considerable importance in selection practices, since it helps in the construction of selection indices and also permits for the prediction of correlated response.

The seed yield of mustard is a complex character and is being associated with some other related plant growth characters. The utility of multivariate analysis for measuring the degree of divergence and for assessing the relative contribution of different plant characters to the total divergence has been carried out by several workers (Anand and Rawat, 1984, Balasch et al., 1984, Ariyo, 1987, Patil et al., 1987).

Precise information about the extent of genetic divergence and on characters used for discrimination among the population is crucial in any crop improvement program, because selection of parents based on genetic divergence has become successful in several crops (Ashana and Pandey, 1980; Ananda and Rawat, 1984; De et al., 1988).

With conceiving the above scheme in mind, the present research work has been undertaken in order to fulfilling the following objectives:
i. To categories the various germplasm of rapeseed and mustard under different species;
ii. To analyze the genetic diversity of the genotypes in respect of different morphological characters;
iii. To screen out the suitable genotypes for future program.


## Chapter II

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Oleiferous Brassica is one of the common and most important oil crops of Bangladesh and as well as many countries of the world. The crop has received much attention by the researchers on various aspects of its production and utilization for different consumer uses. Many studies on the variability, correlation, heritability and genetic advance have been carried out in many countries of the world. The work so far done in Bangladesh is not adequate and conclusive. Nevertheless, some of the important and informative works and research findings have been reviewed in this chapter under the following headings:

### 2.1 Genetic Diversity

Cluster analysis showed a wide diversity of genotypes from the same geographical regions. An investigation was conducted by Malik et al. (1997) to determine the extent of diversity and relationships among the $B$. juncea germplasm from Pakistan using morphological characters and showed a comparatively low level of phenotypic variation amongst them and where genetically similar to the oilseed cultivars. However, the oilseed forms and vegetable cultivars were genetically distinct. They revealed that the evaluated germplasm appears to have a narrow genetic base which undergoes a high level of genetic erosion.

Choudhary and Joshi (2001) studied genetic diversity among 88 entries including eighty $\mathrm{F}_{4}$ derivatives i.e,, 20 each selected from Brassica crosses viz., B. juncea $\times$ B. napus, B. juncea $\times$ B. rapa var. toria, $B$. juncea $\times$ B. rapa var. yellow sarson and $B$, tournefortii $\times$ B. juncea, and eight parent genotypes was assessed through multivariate analysis and reported significant differences among the family groups as well as within the family were recorded for the trait that were studied. The multivariate ( $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ ) analysis revealed enormous diversity
among inter specific cross derivatives. They also calculated genetic distances among different Brassica species revealed that $B$. tournefortii had maximum diversity with $B$. juncea followed by B. napus, B. rapa varity toria and B. rapa variety yellow sarson. They reported that the derivatives selected from cross of diverse parents revealed greater diversity. The clustering pattern showed that many derivatives of the cross fell into the same cluster but in many cases in spite of common ancestry many descendants of the cross spread over different clusters. They also reported that the traits namely, plant height, secondary branches/plant, days to flowering and 1000 -seed weight were contributed maximum towards genetic divergence.

Islam and Islam (2000) evaluated the genetic diversity in rapeseed and mustard using $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ analysis of 42 genotypes. The genotypes were felt into four clusters. The inter cluster distances were larger than the intra cluster distances. The characters contributed maximum in divergence analysis are days to $50 \%$ flowering, plant height, branches/plant and siliquae/plant.

Uddin (1994) reported from an experiment on genetic divergence among 34 genotypes of mustard were estimated using $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ and principal component analysis. The genotypes felt into four clusters. The inter-cluster distance was larger than the intra-cluster distance suggesting wider genetic diversity among the genotypes of different groups. The intra-cluster values were lower in all the clusters.

Reddy et al. (1987) conducted a study of genetic divergence of groundnut for pod yield/plant and 12 related characters by Mahalanobis' $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ statistics. The greatest inter cluster distance was observed between clusters I (with 10 to 11 varieties depending on years) and II (4 to 6 varieties) and between clusters I and IV.

### 2.2 Variability

The improvement of a crop is dependent on the magnitude of genetic variability and the extent of heritability of desirable characters of the genotypes available. A critical review of genetic variability is therefore, a prerequisite for planning and evaluation of a breeding program.

Plant height is an important character which is largely influenced by genotype, soil, water availability, temperature etc. But significant genetic variability was observed by many researchers like Kumar et al. (1996), Malik et al. (1995), Kumar and Singh (1994), Singh et al. (1991), Gupta and Labana (1989), Chauhan and Singh (1985) among different genotypes of B. napas, B. campestries and B. juncea.

Labana et al. (1987) studied 39 strains of Ethiopian mustard and found low genetic variation. When Varshney et al. (1986) found high variability in plant height working with a number of strains of B. napus, B. juncea and B. rapa. Genotypic Co-efficient of Variation (GCV) for plant height in different genotypes of $B$. juncea was found to be 10.96 by Singh et al. (1987), 9.3 by Labana et al. (1980), 31.38 by Yadava (1973), 21.16 in brown sarson by Bhardwaj and Singh (1969), 12.32 in yellow sarson and 5.9 in toria by Tak and Patnaik (1977).

Significant genetic variation for number of primary branches/plant was recorded by several researchers. Singh et al. (1989) studied this character under normal and stress conditions in 29 genotypes of $B$. napus and $B$. rapa and found significant variation among the genotypes. Similar result was reported earlier by Kumar and Singh (1994), Kakroo and Kumar (1991), Yin (1989), Biswas (1989), Jain et al. (1988), Labana et al. (1987), Gupta et al. (1987). GCV and PCV values of 14.44 and 24.43 were reported by Singh et al. (1987) in different strains
of B. juncea. But, according to Tak and Patnaik (1977) these values were 33.2 and 57.1 in yellow sarson.

Number of siliquae/plant is one of the most important traits of oleiferous Brassica. In general, higher the siliquae number higher the seed yield. Variation in this mannerism was observed by several researchers. Yin (1989) worked on 8 cultivars of B. napus and found high genetic variation for number of siliquae/plant. Similar result was also reported by Kumar et al. (1996), Kudla (1993), Andrahennadi (1991), Singh et al. (1991), Biswas (1989). GCV and PCV for this character were 25.41 and 29.15 as observed by Singh et al. (1987). GCV was found to be 18.85 by Yadava (1973) and 97.3 by Bhardwaj and Singh (1969). Tak and Patnaik (1977) observed GCV and PCV in yellow sarson as 55.4 and 53.2 and in Toria as 27.1 and 23.5 , respectively. From the above reviews, it is obvious that sufficient genetic variation exist in this character.

Number of the seeds per siliquae is another important component attribute. Normally higher number of seeds per siliquae is advantageous. A good number of literatures are available on the variability of this trait. Kumar et al. (1996) evaluated this character in 12 genotypes of Indian mustard (B. juncea) and found significant variability among different genotypes. Similar results were observed by Kumar and Singh (1994), Kudla (1993), Kakroo and Kumar (1991), Biswas (1989). Genotypic co-efficient of variation in 29 genotypes of $B$. juncea was observed as 14.46 by Yadava (1975), but it was 35.85 as observed by Bhardwaj and Singh (1969) in different genotypes of B. rapa. Again, Tak and Patnaik (1977) observed GCV 13.1 and PCV 18.5 in yellow sarson and GCV 16.3, PCV 22.6 in toria. But Singh et al. (1987) observed GCV and PCV in different genotypes of $B$. juncea as 6.46 and 9.5 , respectively and Labana et al. (1980) observed 9.82 and 15.96 , respectively.


Variability in consideration of days to $50 \%$ flowering, an important yield component, is very useful in selecting materials of short, medium or long duration crop. In general, early flowering genotype mature early and late flowering genotype delayed maturity. Several workers investigated the variability in respect of days to flowering. Nanda et al. (1995) reported from an experiment conducted with 65 strains of Brassica napus, B. rapa, B. juncea and B. carinata found days to $50 \%$ flowering varied by genotype. Singh et al. (1991) studied different morphological characters of 29 genotypes of B. napus and B. rapa grown under normal and stress conditions of Brassica production. They found the existence of significant genetic variability for days to $50 \%$ flowering. Kumar et al. (1996), Kumar and Singh (1994), Andrahennadi (1991), Biswas (1989), Singh et al. (1987), Chauhan and Singh (1985), Thurling (1983), Thakral (1982) and many other researchers worked with different genotypes of Brassica. In general, according to them, significant variations were observed in the character for days to $50 \%$ flowering.

Jain et al. (1988) in an experiment analysis of gene effects using means of six populations of a cross Varuna X YRT-3 of Indian mustard and observed that dominance gene action was important in the expression of days to flowering. Partial dominance was observed for this character by Kumar et al. (1991). It is evident from all these results that sufficient genetic variations exist for days to $50 \%$ flowering.

Days to maturity for any crop are most important criteria for assessment of variability. It is influenced by genotypes and various environmental factors. Significant genetic variation was found by several workers among different genotypes of rapeseed and mustard. Biswas (1989) found high GCV and PCV among 18 genotypes of B. napus while Sharma (1984) working with 46 genotypes of $B$. juncea and found low GCV and PCV values. Yadava (1973) found 7.6 GCV among 29 strains of B. juncea, while in yellow sarson Tak and Patnaik (1977) found
this value as 4.5 and 1.8 , respectively. Significant variation for days to maturity was also found by Kumar and Singh (1994), Singh et al. (1991), Grosse and Geisler (1988), Khera and Singh (1988), Gupta et al. (1987), Chauhan and Singh (1985) and many other researcher in their research work.

Thousand seed weight which reflects the seed size is also an important component attribute. It differs widely from genotype to genotype and influenced by some factors of yield. A considerable number of research works have been conducted on this character. Significant variation was observed among a large number of strains of $B$. rapa, B. napus and B. juncea by Kumar and Singh (1994), Singh (1993), Yadav et al. (1993), Kudla (1993), Andrahennadi et al. (1991), Biswas (1989), Lebowitz (1989), Chowdhury et al. (1987). In a trail Bhardwaj and Singh (1969) observed 50.74 GCV for 1000 seed weight while same were 13.1 PCV was 16.5 in brown sarson as reported by Tak and Patnaik (1977). According to Singh et al. (1987), GCV and PCV in B. juncea were 17.86 and 21.39 , respectively.

Yield is a very important character for almost every breeding program. It is a complex trait influenced largely by a number of characters and factors of crop production. A good number of research findings revealed the existence of variability among different genotypes of rapeseed and mustard. Significant variability was recorded earlier by Kumar et al. (1996), Kudla (1993), Kakroo and Kumar (1991), Gupta and Labana (1989), Yin (1989) and also many other researcher. GCV for yield was found to be 48.76 by Yadava (1973) among 29 strains of B. juncea, but Bhardwaj and Singh (1969) found the value as 96.99 among different strains of brown sarson. Again Tak and Patnaik (1977) found GCV of 18.96 and PCV of 82.4 in yellow sarson. Singh et al. (1987) observed GCV and PCV values of 44.04 and 46.9 ; while the same values were only 9.6 and 19.47 among different genotypes of $B$. juncea (Labana et al., 1987).

From the reviews above it is clear that a wide range of variability existed for different morphological characters among different genotypes of Brassica oil crops and it indicates the scope of utilization of these variability for further breeding programs.

### 2.3 Heritability and Genetic Advance

The variation of heritability can be estimated with greater degree of accuracy when heritability in conjunction with genetic advance as percentage of mean is studied. Johnson et al. (1995) suggested the necessity of estimating genetic advance along with heritability in order to draw a more reliable conclusion in a selection program. Many experiments tave been conducted in the investigation of heritability and genetic advance on yield and yield components of mustard. The most relevant reviews are reviewed here.

Malik et al. (1995) observed very high broad sense heritability ( $>90 \%$ ) for number of primary branches, days to $50 \%$ flowering and oil content while working with different strains of $B$. napus. They also found low heritability ( $<50 \%$ ) for number of siliquae/plant, number of seeds/siliquae, plant height and yield. But Singh et al. (1991) found high heritability for all these characters. Li et al. (1990) also recorded similar high heritability results in studies with B. napus.

Varshney et al. (1986) found high heritability and high genetic advance for plant height when conducted an experiment of 45 genotypes of B. napus, B. rapa and B. juncea species; but high heritability and genetic advance for siliquae/plant only in B. rapa. Singh (1986) studied 22 genotypes of $B$. napus, B. rapa and $B$. juncea and reported high heritability and genetic advance in seed yield, 1000 seed weight and number of seeds/siliquae. Inheritance of seed oil content was studied by Han (1990) in 7 inbreeds of $B$. napus, crossed in a diallel fashion and reported high heritability (81.16\%) for this trait. However, Yadava et al. (1985) reported low
heritability for oil content in $B$. juncea. Wan and Hu (1983) observed high heritability and genetic advance for flowering time, number of primary branches/plant and plant height. Low heritability of yield was reported by many researchers like Malik et al. (1995), Kumar et al. (1988), Yadava et al. (1985), Chen et al. (1983); but Singh (1986) reported high heritability for this character.

In a study Sharma (1984) observed high heritability for plant height, days to flowering and low heritability for days to maturity when working with 46 genotypes of $B$. juncea. He also found low genetic advance for days to maturity and high genetic advance for yield/plant. In another study of Indian mustard Singh et al. (1987) observed high heritability (80-95\%) for oil content and yield/plant. The lowest heritability (34.9\%) was recorded for number of primary branches per plant.

Labana et al. (1980) found that plant height and number of seeds/siliquae were highly heritability, whereas, number of primary branches and seed yield per plant were less heritable when working with 104 mutants of Indian mustard. The yield variation thus principally owed to the environmental influence, for which selection would not be much effective. The selection of the material would be more practicable for plant height and number of seed/siliquae. This confirmed the finding of Chaudhari and Prasad (1968). In the same experiment the genetic advance was highest for plant height ( $13.75 \%$ ) followed by number of seeds/siliquae ( $12.43 \%$ ) and seed yield/plant ( $9.75 \%$ ). This offers scope for this improvement through selection. This is because high heritability and genetic advance together provide better indication of the amount of genetic progress that can result from selection of the best individuals.

Chandola et al. (1977), working with 30 varieties of B. rapa found high estimates of genetic advance for plant height. Paul et al. (1976) observed in one of experiment that a good genetic advance was expected from a selection index comprising seed yield, number of seeds/pod, number of siliquae/plant and number of primary branches per plant.

Thurling (1974) reported in the genotypes of B. rapa that the expected genetic advance in yield using a selection index technique based on simultaneous selection of several characters was significantly greater than that expected from selection for yield alone, and several indices including measurement of both yield components and vegetative characters were expected to promote a greater ratio of advance in yield than direct selection.

From the exceeding review it can be concluded that approximately all characters expected yield are high heritable in nature and the predictable genetic advance, being high for plant height, primary branches/plant, 1000 seed weight and yield, assortment is possible for high yield using number of characters in selection programs.

### 2.4 Character Association

Studies on relationships among different yield contributing characters are essential for effective in selection program, particularly when a number of characters are concerned.

Chaturvedi et al. (1988) found significant positive correlation between seed yield and plant height, days to flowering and days to maturity. Working with 65 strains of $B$. juncea, B. rapa and B. napus, Nanda et al. (1995) observed positive association between yield and siliquae
filling period. Olsson (1990) found the similar result in B. napus. He also found positive correlation between siliquae density and yield.

The random mating of B. rapa population was evaluated by Labowitz (1989) and reported that siliquae length was positively correlated with both 1000 seed weight and seeds/siliquae. Several experiments were carried out by Chay and Thurling (1989) to study the inheritance of siliquae length among several lines of $B$. napus. Results suggested that lines with the longest siliquae generally provided significantly higher yield than those with short siliquae.

Dorn and Mitchell (1991) reported positive correlation between flowering dates while working with 12 strains of B. rapa. Shivahare et al. (1975) found days to flowering were positively correlated with primary branches/plant (+0.855). But Kumar et al. (1996), working with 12 genotypes of $B$. juncea found flowering time and height negatively correlated with number of primary branches/plant. Labana et al. (1980) also found that number of primary branches was negatively correlated with plant height and siliquae length. Number of primary branches/plant was found negatively correlated with siliquae length and 1000 seed weight, but positively with number of siliquae/plant by Singh et al. (1987).

Plant height was found to be negatively correlated with siliquae length ( -0.208 ) and seeds/siliquae ( -0.254 ) by Labana et al. (1980). Positive correlation of plant height with seeds/siliquae ( -0.297 ), number of siliquae/plant $(+0.81)$ and negative correlation with 1000 seed weight $(-0.175)$ were reported by Chowdhury et al. (1987). Singh et al. (1987) found positive correlation of plant height with number of siliquae/plant $(+0.38)$, number of primary branches/plant $(+0.216)$, seeds/siliquae ( +0.19 ) in 179 genotypes of Indian mustard. Banerzee et al. (1968) also found positive association of plant height with these traits in 8 strains of yellow sarson.


Yadava et al. (1978) and Chowdhury et al. (1987) found to positive associated with days to $50 \%$ flowering and days to $80 \%$ maturity in 1000 seed weight in B. juncea, but Shivahare et al. (1975) and Singh et al. (1987) found negative correlation. Negative correlation of 1000 seed weight with plant height, number of primary branches/plant, number of siliquae/plant was also reported by Chowdhury et al. (1987) and Yadava et al. (1978). Significant positive association was found between days to maturity and days to flowering by Yadava et al. (1978), Varshney et al. (1986) and Chowdhury et al. (1987).

Han (1990) while working with $B$. napus, reported that oil content was positively associated with plant height, seeds/siliquae but negatively with siliquae length and number of siliquae/plant. Positive correlation with flowering time, days to maturity and 1000 seed weight was observed by Yadava et al. (1978) and Singh et al. (1987).

Yield is a complex character which is correlated with many other characters. There are a number of literatures available on the relationship of different characters with yield in mustard.

### 2.5 Path Co-efficient Analysis

Partitioning the correlation co-efficient into components of direct and indirect effects are necessary because correlation co-efficient alone does not give a complete picture of the causal basis of association. It is established that as the number of contributing characters increased, the indirect association becomes more complex and important. Under such circumstances, path co-efficient analysis is an effective tool in assigning the direct and indirect effects of different yield contributing traits.

Saini and Kumar (1995) conducted an experiment to evaluate the character association and path co-efficient analysis were used to determine relationships between growth and yield
parameters in 28 lines of yellow and brown sarson (B. campestries) and their findings revealed that seeds/siliquae and seed weight had direct positive effect on yield,

Kudla (1994) while working with 20 genotypes of winter swede rape found that 1000 seed weight had positive direct effect and oil content had negative direct effect on yield. The direct and indirect effects of 6 main and 9 sub components of morphophysiological determinants and their contribution to oil yield were studied by Behl et al. (1992) in 25 diverse genotypes of $B$. juncea. The greatest effect on yield directly from seed yield and indirectly from number of siliquae/plant, 1000 seed weight, seeds/siliquae and siliquae length contributed to oil yield via one or more components. Gupta et al. (1987) also found that seed yield had the highest direct effect on oil yield. They also observed the direct effect of primary branching and 1000 seed weight of seed yield.

Eleven diverse Brassica genotypes and one of the Eruca sativa lines were evaluated by Chaudhary et al. (1990) over 5 years on the basis of morphophysilogical parameters for drought resistance. They found, days to $50 \%$ flowering and plant height contributed to plant yield indirectly via one or more main components. The erucic acid free rape cultivars Callypso, Semu-2080, Semu DNK-203/84 and Semu-304 were evaluated by Shabana et al. (1990) for 15 quantitative characters. They found the highest direct effect on number of siliquae/plant on seed yield/plant.

Kakroo and Kumar (1991), working with several strains of B. juncea found that 1000 seed weight and positive direct effect, but days to $50 \%$ flowering and primary branches had negative indirect effect via seeds/siliquae on seed yield. But Chauhan and Singh (1985) observed high positive direct effect of days to $50 \%$ flowering, plant height, primary branching, siliquae/plant, seeds/siliquae on yield. Kumar et al. (1988) observed the indirect
positive effect of days to $50 \%$ flowering on yield. Again Han (1990) working with B. napus and observed negative direct effect of number of siliquae/plant, siliquae length and positive direct effect of seeds/siliquae and plant height on yield.

Kumar et al. (1984) observed the negative indirect effect of days to flowering via plant height and siliquae length on yield in $B$. juncea, Singh et al. (1978) also found negative direct effect of these characters. But Dhillon et al. (1990) observed the highest positive direct effect of plant height on seed yield/plant.

The results of several experiments conducted by Das and Rahman (1989) in B. rapa, Ghosh and Chatarzee (1988) in B. juncea, Mishra et al. (1987) in B. rapa, Alam et al. (1986) in B. juncea, Singh et al. (1985) in B. juncea, Chen et al. (1983) in B. napus, Srivastava et al. (1983) in B. juncea and Yadav (1982) in B. rapa, revealed that plant height, days to maturity, 1000 seed weight, siliquae/plant and seeds/siliquae had positive direct effect and indirect effect on yield. But Varshney (1986) working with several strains of B. rapa and found the negative direct effect of plant height, siliquae/plant, seeds/siliquae and 1000 seed weight on yield. Chauhan et al. (1985) working with 20 genotypes of B. rapa.


## Chapter III

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted in the experimental field of Genetics and Plant Breeding Field Laboratory of Sher-e Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, Bangladesh during the period from November 2005 to March 2006 to study on the morphological characterization and genetic divergence in oleiferous Brassica species. The materials and methods of this experiment are presented in this chapter under the following headings -

### 3.1 Experimental Site

The present piece of research work was conducted in the field of Genetics and Plant Breeding Field Laboratory of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Sher-e-Bangla Nagar, Dhaka, Bangladesh. The location of the site is $23^{\circ} 74^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ latitude and $90^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ longitude with an elevation of 8.2 meter from sea level.

### 3.2 Characteristics of Soil

The soil of the experimental area was loamy belonging to the Madhupur Tract (UNDP, 1988) under AEZ 28. The selected plot was medium high land.

### 3.3 Weather Condition of the Experimental Site

The geographical situation of the experimental site was under the subtropical climate, characterized by three distinct seasons, the monsoon or rainy season from November to February and the pre-monsoon period or hot season from March to April and monsoon period from May to October (Edris et al., 1979). Details of the metrological data of air temperature, relative humidity, rainfalls and sunshine during the period of the experiment was collected from the weather station of Bangladesh, Sher-e Bangla Nagar, presented in Appendix I.

### 3.4 Planting Materials

In this research work, the seeds of oleiferous Brassica were used. Each of the genotypes was produced in the 2004-2005 cropping season, and the purity and germination percentage were leveled as around 100 and above 90 , respectively. The source all of the genotypes used in this experiment was Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI), Joydebpur, Gazipur. The name of the genotypes are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Name of oleiferous Brassica genotypes used in the present study

| Sl. No. | Genotypes | Sl. No. | Genotypes |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 01 | 6955 | 22 | 7135 |
| 02 | 7102 | 23 | 7136 |
| 03 | 7113 | 24 | 7137 |
| 04 | 7114 | 25 | 7138 |
| 05 | 7115 | 26 | 8884 |
| 06 | 7116 | 27 | 7126 |
| 07 | 7118 | 28 | 7128 |
| 08 | 7125 | 29 | 7788 |
| 09 | 7129 | 30 | 7789 |
| 10 | 7837 | 31 | 7790 |
| 11 | 7958 | 32 | 7815 |
| 12 | 9061 | 33 | 7830 |
| 13 | SAU-YC | 34 | 7831 |
| 14 | 7104 | 35 | 7832 |
| 15 | 7107 | 36 | 7833 |
| 16 | 7117 | 37 | 7834 |
| 17 | 7127 | 38 | 7835 |
| 18 | 7131 | 39 | 7836 |
| 19 | 7132 | 40 | 9101 |
| 20 | 7133 | 41 | 9102 |
| 21 | 7134 | - | - |

### 3.5 Layout of the Experiment

The experiment was laid out in the Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. The layout of the experiment was prepared for distributing the genotypes into
the every plot of each block. There were 41 plots, each measuring $2.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.0 \mathrm{~m}$ in each of 3 replications. The 41 genotypes of the experiment were assigned at random into 41 plots of each replication. The distance maintained between two plots was 50 cm between blocks was 75 cm .

### 3.6 Preparation of the Main Field

The plot selected for the experiment was opened in the first week of November 2005 with a power tiller, and was exposed to the sun for a week, after one week the land was harrowed, ploughed and cross-ploughed several times followed by laddering to obtain a good tilth. Weeds and stubbles were removed, and finally obtained a desirable tilth of soil for sowing of Brassica seeds. The experimental plot was partitioned into the unit plots in accordance with the experimental design mentioned in 3.5 . Recommended doses of well-rotten cowdung manure and chemical fertilizers as indicated in 3.7 were mixed with the soil of each unit plot.

### 3.7 Application of Manure and Fertilizers

The fertilizers $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{S}$ and B in the form of urea, TSP, MP, Gypsum and borax, respectively were applied. The entire amount of TSP, MP, Gypsum, Zinc sulphate and borax was applied during the final preparation of land. Urea was applied in two equal installments at before sowing and flowering. The dose and method of application of fertilizer are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Dose and method of application of fertilizers in Brassica field

| Fertilizers | Dose (kg/ha) | Application (\%) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Basal | Before flowering |
| Urea | 250 | 50 | 50 |
| TSP | 170 | 100 | - |
| MP | 85 | 100 | - |
| Gypsum | 150 | 100 | - |
| Borax | 60 | 100 | - |

[^0]
### 3.8 Sowing of Seeds in the Field

The seeds were sown in lines each having a line to line distance of 40 cm under direct sowing in the well prepared plot on 17 November 2005.

### 3.9 After Care

When the seedlings started to emerge in the beds it was always kept under careful observation. After emergence of seedlings, various intercultural operations were accomplished for better growth and development of the Brassica seedlings.

### 3.9.1 Irrigation

Light over-head irrigation was provided with a watering cane to the plots once immediately after germination and continued for three times for proper growth and development of the plants.

### 3.9.2 Thinning and Gap Filling

The seedling were first thinned from all of the plots at 10 Days after sowing (DAS) $2^{\text {nd }}$ thinning was carried out after 7 days for maintaining proper spacing the experimental plots.

### 3.9.3 Weeding and Mulching

Weeding and mulching were done to keep the plots free from weeds, easy aeration of soil and to conserve soil moisture, which ultimately ensured better growth and development. The newly emerged weeds were uprooted carefully after complete emergence of Brassica seedlings and whenever necessary. Breaking the crust of the soil, when needed were done through mulching.

### 3.9.4 Top Dressing

After basal dose, the remaining doses of urea were top-dressed in 2 equal installments. The fertilizers were applied on both sides of plant rows and mixed well with the soil.

### 3.10 Plant Protection

Malathion 57 EC insecticide was applied after one month of seeds sowing at 12 days interval for 3 times with 1 ml in 2.5 liters water as a preventative measure against different fungal diseases.

### 3.11 Harvesting, threshing and cleaning

The crop was harvested depending upon the maturity of each genotype. Harvesting was done manually. Enough care was taken for harvesting, threshing and also cleaning of Brassica seed.

### 3.12 Species identification

The 41 genotypes used in the present study were not under single mustard rape seed species. They showed wide variation and thus were categorized under three cultivated species B. rapa, B. napus and B. juncea based on the morphological characteristics.

### 3.13 Data recording

### 3.13.1 Days to $50 \%$ flowering

Difference between the dates of sowing to the date of flowering of a plot was counted as days to $50 \%$ flowering. Days to $50 \%$ flowering was recorded when $50 \%$ flowers of a plot were at the flowering stage.

### 3.13.2 Days to maturity

Maturities of the crops of 41 genotypes were recorded considering the maturity symptom such as color changing of the plant from greenish to straw colored appearance.

### 3.13.3 Plant height

The height of plant was recorded in centimeter (cm) at harvest in the experimental plots. Data were recorded as the average of 10 plants selected at random from the inner rows of each plot after harvest. The height was measured from the ground level to the tip of the growing point of the main branch.

### 3.13.4 Number of primary branches/plant

The total number of branches arisen from the main stem of a plant was counted as the number of primary branches per plant.

### 3.13.5 Number of secondary branches/plant

The total number of branches arisen from the primary branches of a plant was counted as the number of secondary branches per plant.

### 3.13.6 Number of siliquae/plant

The total numbers of siliquae of the randomly selected 10 plants of a plot were recorded and then average numbers of siliquae were estimated.

### 3.13.7 Length of siliquae

Distance between the ends of the peduncle to the starting point of the beak was recorded as siliquae length and was presented in centimeter (cm).

### 3.13.8 Number of seed/siliquae

Ten siliquae from each plant were selected randomly and number of seeds was counted and the average number of seed per siliquae was determined.

### 3.13 .91000 seed weight

One thousand seeds were counted randomly from the total seeds of cleaned harvested seeds and then weighted in grams.

### 3.13.10 Yield/plant

Seed weight per plant was measured from the randomly selected plants and then average was designated as seed yield per plant.

### 3.14 Statistical analysis

The data obtained for different characters were statistically analyzed to find out the significance of the difference among the Brassica genotypes. The mean values of all the characters were evaluated and analysis of variance was performing by the ' $F$ ' test. The significance of the difference among the treatments means was estimated by the least significant difference (LSD) test at $5 \%$ level of probability (Gomez and Gomez, 1984). Correlation coefficient was estimated according to Singh and Chaudhury (1985).

### 3.15 Analysis of genetic divergence

### 3.15.1 Score index for selection

The breeding population of even a single species differs significantly in many characteristics. Therefore, in the present experiment which included 41 genotypes, score index for selection was used. The score index was prepared considering two groups of characteristics viz. Plant characters (Group I) and seed and siliquae characters (Group II). These grouping also helped in finding out the best performance for a group of characters and all characters together.

## Group I (Plant characters)

i. Days to $50 \%$ flowering $\quad: 25-30=1 ; 30-35=2 ; 35-40=3,40-45=4$; rest 5
ii. Days to $80 \%$ maturity $\quad: 60-70=1 ; 70-80=2 ; 80-90=3 ; 90-100=4$; rest 5
iii. Plant height $(\mathrm{cm}) \quad:$ above $140=1,140-120=2,120-100=3,100-80=4$; rest 5
iv. Number of primary branches/plant : above $8=1 ; 8-7=2 ; 7-6=3 ; 6-5=4$; rest 5
v. Number of secondary branches/plant : above $8=1 ; 8-7=2 ; 7-6=3 ; 6-5=4$, rest 5

## Group II (Seed and siliquae characters):

i. Number of siliquae/plant : above $170=1 ; 170-140=2 ; 140-110=3 ; 110-80=4$; rest 5
ii. Length of siliquae (cm) : above $5=1 ; 5-4.5=2,4.5-4.0=3 ; 4.0-3.5=4$; rest 5
iii. Number of seed/siliquae : above $30=1,30-25=2,25-20=3 ; 20-15=4$; rest 5
iv. 1000 seed weight $(\mathrm{g}) \quad: 5.0-4.5=1 ; 4.5-4.0=2 ; 4.0-3.5=3 ; 3.5-3.0=4$; rest 5
v. Yield/plant (g) $\quad: 9-8=1,8-7=2 ; 7-6=3,6-5=4$; rest 5
[Note: 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 represent most desired to less and less desired one among the genotypes]
Genetic divergences among the genotypes studied were assessed by using Mahalanobis' $D^{2}$ statistics and its auxiliary analysis. Both techniques estimate divergences among a set of genotypes on multivariate scale.

### 3.15.2 Mahalanobis' $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ statistics

First the variation among the materials were tested by Wilkin's criteria ' $\wedge$ ".
${ }^{*} n *=\frac{|w|}{|s|}=\frac{\mid \text { Determination of error matrix } \mid}{\mid \text { Determination of error }+ \text { variety matrix } \mid}$
Now, ' ${ }^{\prime}$ ' (stat) $=-m \log _{0} s=-\{n-(p+q+1) / 2\} \log _{e}$
Where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m=n-(p+q+1) / 2 \\
& p=\text { number of variables or characters } \\
& q=\text { number of varieties }-1 \text { (or df for population) } \\
& n=d f \text { for error }+ \text { varieties } \\
& e=2.7183
\end{aligned}
$$

Data were then analysed for $D^{2}$ statistics according to Rao (1952). Error variance and covariance matrix obtained from analysis of variance and covariance were inverted by pivotal condensation method. Using the pivotal elements the original means of the characters ( $\mathrm{X}_{1}$, $\left.\mathrm{X}_{2} \cdots-\mathrm{X}_{8}\right)$ were transformed into a set of uncorrelated variables $\left(\mathrm{Y}_{1}, \mathrm{Y}_{2} \cdots-\mathrm{Y}_{8}\right)$.

0 Now, the genetic divergence between two varieties/lines (suppose $\mathrm{Vi}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and Vj was calculated as -
$D^{2} \mathrm{ij}=\sum_{\mathrm{k}-1}^{8}(V i k-V \mathrm{Vk})^{2}$
Where,
$\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{ij}=$ Genetic divergence between ' i ' th and ' j ' th genotypes
Vik $=$ Transformed mean of the ' $i$ ' th genotype for ' $k$ ' th character
$\mathrm{Vjk}=$ Transformed mean of the ' j ' th genotype for ' $k$ ' th character
The $D^{2}$ values between all varieties were arranged in order of relative distances from each other and were used for clusters formation, as suggested by Rao, 1952.

$\Sigma \mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{i}=$ Sum of distances between all possible combinations
(n) of the genotypes included in a cluster.
$\mathrm{n}=$ All possible combinations

### 3.16 Estimation of variability

Genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation and heritability were estimated by using the following formulae:

### 3.16.1 Estimation of components of variance from individual environment

Genotypic and phenotypic variances were estimated with the help of the following formula suggested by Johnson et al. (1955). The genotypic variance $\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}^{2}\right)$ was estimated by
subtracting error mean square $\left(\sigma_{0}^{2}\right)$ from the genotypic mean square and dividing it by the number of replication (r). This is given by the following formula -

Genotypic variance $\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{E}}^{2}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{MS}_{\mathrm{V}}-\mathrm{MS}_{\mathrm{E}}}{r}$
Where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{MS}_{\mathrm{V}} & =\text { genotype mean square } \\
\mathrm{MS}_{\mathrm{E}} & =\text { error mean square } \\
\mathrm{r} & =\text { number of replication }
\end{aligned}
$$

The phenotypic variance $\left(\sigma_{p}^{2}\right)$, was derived by adding genotypic variances with the error variance, as given by the following formula -

Phenotypic variance $\left(\sigma_{p h}^{2}\right)=\sigma_{g}^{2}+\sigma_{c}^{2}$

Where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{\mathrm{pl}}^{2}=\text { phenotypic variance } \\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}^{2}=\text { genotypic variance } \\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}=\text { error variance }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.16.2 Estimation of genotypic co-efficient of variation (GCV) and phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV)

Genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) and phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) were calculated following formula as suggested by Burton (1952):
$\%$ Genotypic coefficient of variance $=\quad \frac{\sigma_{8}}{\mathrm{x}} \times 100$

Where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}=\text { genotypic standard deviation } \\
& \overline{\mathrm{x}}=\text { population mean }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\%$ Phenotypic coefficient of variance $=\quad \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{ph}}}{\pi} \times 100$ Where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{\mathrm{ph}}=\text { phenotypic standard deviation } \\
& \overline{\mathrm{x}}=\text { population mean }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.16.3 Estimation of heritability

Heritability in broad sense was estimated following the formula as suggested by Johnson et al. (1955):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Heritability }(\%)=\frac{\sigma_{g}^{2}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{ph}}^{2}} \times 100 \\
& \text { Where, } \\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}^{2}=\text { genotypic variance } \\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{ph}}^{2}=\text { phenotypic variance }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.16.4 Estimation of genetic Advance

The following formula was used to estimate the expected genetic advance for different characters under selection as suggested by Allard (1960):

$$
\mathrm{GA}=\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}^{2}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}} \times \mathrm{K} \cdot \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}
$$

Where,

$$
\mathrm{GA}=\text { Genetic advance }
$$

$\sigma_{g}^{2}=$ genotypic variance
$\sigma_{\text {ph }}^{2}=$ phenotypic variance
$\sigma_{\mathrm{ph}}=$ phenotypic standard deviation
$\mathrm{K}=$ Selection differential which is equal to 2.64 at $5 \%$ selection intensity

### 3.16.5 Estimation of Genetic Advance in percentage of mean

Genetic advance in percentage of mean was calculated by the following formula given by Comstock and Robinson (1952):

Genetic Advance in percentage of mean $=\frac{\text { Genetic advance }}{\bar{x}} \times 100$

### 3.17 Estimation of correlation

Simple correlation was estimated with the following formula (Clarke, 1973; Singh and Chaudhary, 1985):


### 3.18 Path co-efficient analysis

Path co-efficient analysis was done according to the procedure employed by Dewey and Lu (1959) also quoted in Singh and Chaudhary (1985) and Dabholkar (1992), using simple correlation values. In path analysis, correlation co-efficient is partitioned into direct and indirect of inclependent variables on the dependent variable.

In order to estimate direct and indirect effect of the correlated characters, say $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}$ yield $y$, a set of simultaneous equations (three equations in this example) is required to be formulated as given below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r y x_{1}=P y x_{1}+\mathrm{Pyx}_{2} \Gamma x_{1} x_{2}+P \mathrm{Px}_{3} \mathrm{rx}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{3} \\
& r \mathrm{yx}_{2}=\mathrm{Pyx}_{1} \mathrm{rx} \mathrm{X}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2}+\mathrm{Pyx}_{2}+\mathrm{Pyx}_{3} \mathrm{rx}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{3} \\
& r \mathrm{yx}_{3}=\mathrm{Pyx}_{1} \mathrm{rx}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{3}+\mathrm{Pyx}_{2} \mathrm{rx}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{3}+\mathrm{Pyx}_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where, r's denotes simple correlation co-efficient and $P$ 's denote path co-efficient (unknown). P 's in the above equations may be conveniently solved by arranging them in matrix form. Total correlation, say between $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ and y is thus partitioned as follows :
$\mathrm{Pyx}_{1}=$ The direct effect of $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ on y
Pyx $_{1} \mathrm{rx}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2}=$ The indirect effect of $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ via $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ on y
$\mathrm{Pyx}_{1} \mathrm{Fx}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{3}=$ The indirect effect of $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ via $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ on y

After calculating the direct and indirect effect of the characters, residual effect ( $R$ ) was calculated by using the formula given below (Singh and Chaudhary, 1985):
$P^{2} R Y=1-\sum$ Piy.riy
Where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}^{2} \mathrm{RY}=\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right) ; \text { and hence residual effect, } \mathrm{R}=\left(\mathrm{P}^{2} \mathrm{RY}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \text { Piy }=\text { Direct effect of the character on yield } \\
& \text { riy }=\text { Correlation of the character with yield }
\end{aligned}
$$



## Chapter IV

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The present experiment was conducted to determine the breeding values in respect of genotypic effects and comparative performances of Brassica genotypes which were used in this experiment. Firstly, all of the genotypes were categorized on the basis of the characteristics of the species Brassica rapa, B. juncea and B. napus.

The inflorescence is the key distinguish different species of Brassica. However, this is to some extent uncertain as far as the Brassica species in the triangle of $U$ are concerned. Systematization according to the color is also not reliable since there are more than five different colors. The shape of the inflorescence can generally provide indication to distinguish species. B. oleracea has the buds at a higher level than the flowers just opened. This character is dominant in the hybrids B. napus and B. juncea whereas in B. rapa the buds are at lower level than the flowers just opened. However, there are exceptions to this rule, and in B. napus with the same bud position as in B. rapa may be found (Plate 2).

The morphological and other characteristics of Brassica differ from the common genotypes between B. rapa, B. juncea, B. napus. Brassica is a dicotyledonous herbaceous annual. It grows to a height of $2-3 \mathrm{ft}$ as soon as the plants become 30 to 90 days old. They bear numerous beautiful yellow flowers. The flowers bloom gradually from below upwards and bear pods in the same order. In $B$. rapa, the leaves of the inflorescence grasp the stalk completely but in case of napus the grasp the stalk partially. In B. juncea the lamina of the upper leaf does not reach the stalk (Plate 1).

The most reliable characters used for distinguishing the Brassica spices in the generative phase is the shape of the upper leaves, exception in this character are almost never found. In
the basic species B. rapa the lower part of the blade, whereas in $B$ oleracea the blade just reaches the stalk (Plate 1). The hybrid B. juncea has obtained much of these characters but the petiole is short (Bengtsson et al., 1972).


Leaves of B. napus


Leaves of B. rapa


Leaves of B. juncea
Plate 1. Photographs represent the distinguishing characters among $B$. napus, B. rapa and B. juncea leaves


Inflorescence of B. juncea
Plate 2. Photographs represent the distinguishing characters among $B$. napus, $B$. rapa and $B$. juncea inflorescence


Siliquae of $B$. rapa



Siliquae of $B$. juncea

Plate 3. Photographs represent the differences in siliquae shape and size of B. napus, B. rapa and B. juncea

The flowering habit of $B$. napus and B. juncea is such that the open flowers appear below the flower buds but the situation is reverse in case of $B$. rapa, i.e. the fresh open flowers appear above the flower buds (plate 3). With the help of clear-cut morphological characteristics 41 genotypes were categorized as B. napus, B. rapa and B. juncea. Categorization of Brassica species on the basis of morphological characteristics. Among the 41 genotypes, 15 genotypes were categorized as $B$. napus, 13 genotypes were $B$. rapa and rest 13 genotypes were $B$. napus.

Table 3. Genotypes of 41 Brassica categorized in B. napus, B. rapa and B. juncea

| B. napus | B. rapa | B. juncea |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BD-7126 | BD-6955 | BD-7104 |
| BD-7128 | BD-7102 | BD-7107 |
| BD-7788 | BD-7113 | BD-7117 |
| BD-7789 | BD-7114 | BD-7127 |
| BD-7790 | BD-7115 | BD-7131 |
| BD-7815 | BD-7116 | BD-7132 |
| BD-7830 | BD-7125 | BD-7133 |
| BD-7831 | BD-7129 | BD-7134 |
| BD-7832 | BD-7837 | BD-7135 |
| BD-7833 | BD-7958 | BD-7136 |
| BD-7834 | BD-9061 | BD-7137 |
| BD-7835 | SAU-YC | BD-7138 |
| BD-7836 | - | BD-8884 |
| BD-9101 | - | -- |
| BD-9102 | - |  |

### 4.1 GENETIC DIVERGENCE

### 4.1.1 Genetic Divergence for Brassica

Study of genetic diversity among 41 genotypes of Brassica was assessed through Mahalanobis' $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ to measure the degree of diversification among the genotypes. Using this technique, grouping of genotypes was done in six clusters where genotypes grouped together were less divergent than the ones placed in different clusters. The clusters separated by greatest statistical distance exhibited maximum divergence. Composition of different clusters with their corresponding genotypes and their source are shown in Table 4. Among the cluster formed the number III and $V$ was found to be the largest comprising with 10 genotypes followed by cluster IV and VI with 8 and 7 genotypes producing second and third largest group respectively. While the cluster I and II was found with 3 genotypes in each.

Table 4. Clustering pattern of 41 Brassica genotypes by Tocher's method

| Cluster group | Number of genotypes | Name of the Genotypes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 3 | BD-7789, BD-7127, BD-8884 |  |  |
| II | 3 | BD-7136, BD-7102, BD-7834 |  |  |
| III | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BD-7114, } \\ & \text { BD-7129, } \\ & \text { BD-7132, } \\ & \text { BD-7107 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BD-7958, } \\ & \text { SAU-YC, } \\ & \text { BD-7118, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BD-7830, } \\ & \text { BD-7131, } \\ & \text { BD-7125, } \end{aligned}$ |
| IV | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BD-7104, } \\ & \text { BD-7133, } \\ & \text { BD-9061, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BD-6955, } \\ & \text { BD-7135, } \\ & \text { BD-7836 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BD-7113, } \\ & \text { BD-7115, } \end{aligned}$ |
| V | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BD-7837, } \\ & \text { BD-9101, } \\ & \text { BD-7117, } \\ & \text { BD-7832 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BD-7137, } \\ & \text { BD-9102, } \\ & \text { BD-7138, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BD-7833, } \\ & \text { BD-7116, } \\ & \text { BD-7128, } \end{aligned}$ |
| VI | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BD-7134, } \\ & \text { BD-7126, } \\ & \text { BD-7790 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BD-7815, } \\ & \text { BD-7788, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BD-7831, } \\ & \text { BD-7835, } \end{aligned}$ |

Table 5. Cluster means for 10 characters of 41 Brassica genotypes

| Characters | Cluster <br> I | Cluster <br> II | Cluster <br> III | Cluster <br> IV | Cluster <br> V | Cluster <br> VI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days to $50 \%$ <br> flowering | 49.22 | 35.22 | 38.22 | 36.83 | 40.9 | 45.98 |
| Days to maturity | 89.11 | 77.11 | 80.37 | 80.63 | 82.07 | 86.98 |
| Plant height | 138.99 | 83.39 | 88.75 | 84.52 | 89.21 | 88.78 |
| Number of primary <br> branches/plant | 7.66 | 18.76 | 71.14 | 6.25 | 5.3 | 6.0 |
| Number of scondary <br> branches/plant | 7.66 | 7.96 | 4.5 | 4.07 | 3.24 | 3.35 |
| Number of <br> siliquae/plant <br> Length of siliquae | 184.23 | 108.58 | 132.4 | 102.32 | 78.33 | 47.72 |
| Number of | 16.74 | 18.71 | 19.9 | 18.93 | 16.86 | 12.15 |
| seed/siliquae | 3.17 | 3.14 | 2.9 | 3.14 | 2.94 | 2.69 |
| 1000 seed weight/ <br> plant | 7.88 | 4.15 | 5.19 | 4.12 | 3.22 | 1.51 |
| Yield/plant |  |  |  | 3.93 | 4.21 | 3.97 |

From the cluster mean value (5) it was observed that the mean vaiue of cluster I ranked first for days to $50 \%$ flowering, days to maturity, plant height, siliquae per plant, 1000 seed weight per plant and yield per plant. Cluster II ranked first for primary branches per plant and secondary branches per plant. Cluster III ranked for length of siliquae and seed per siliquae.

The result revealed that the average value of inter cluster were always higher than the average of intra cluster distance (Table 6) suggesting wider genetic diversity among the genotypes of the groups. Cluster II had the highest intra-cluster distance of 0.813 followed by the cluster V ( 0.801 ). On the contrary cluster IV had the lowest intra cluster distance of 0.378 followed by cluster number VI $(0.447)$.

Table 6. Average intra (bold) and inter-cluster $D^{2}$ and $D$ values of 6 clusters for 41 Brassica genotypes formed by Torcher's method

| Clusters | I | II | III | IV | V | VI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 0.668 | 3.385 | 8.225 | 6.548 | 2.389 | 3.749 |
|  | $(0.817)$ | $(1.840)$ | $(2.868)$ | $(2.559)$ | $(1.546)$ | $(1.936)$ |
| II |  | 0.813 | 4.183 | 9.112 | 3.507 | 6.033 |
|  |  | $(0.902)$ | $(2.45)$ | $(3.019)$ | $(1.873)$ | $(2.456)$ |
| III |  |  | 0.524 | 8.477 | 3.989 | 8.089 |
|  |  |  | $(0.724)$ | $(2.912)$ | $(1.997)$ | $(2.844)$ |
| IV |  |  |  | 0.378 | 7.558 | 3.889 |
|  |  |  |  | $(0.615)$ | $(2.749)$ | $(1.972)$ |
| V |  |  |  |  | 0.801 | 7.155 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $(0.895)$ | $(2.675)$ |
| VI |  |  |  |  |  | 0.447 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 6 6 9 )}$ |

The cluster distances denoted by the average of inter and intra-cluster is the approximate measure of the cluster divergence. Inter cluster distance was maximum (9.112) between clusters II and IV followed by clusters III and IV (8.477). The results revealed that genotypes chosen for hybridization from clusters with highest distances would give high heterotic $F_{1}$ and broad spectrum of variability in segregating generations. So, wide crossing should be possible between different group and intra specific crossing should be possible between same groups.
Golakiya and Makne (1991) while assessing genetic diversity of 23 genotypes and grouped them into six clusters. Inter and intra cluster values (D) were reported to be ranged from 9.50 to 22.20 and 5.18 to 8.45 (Katule et al., 1991), Reddy and Reddy (1993) reported on 48 genotypes of which were grouped into 11 clusters. On the other hand Baydar and Bayraktar (1994) reported 35 genotypes which were divided into 6 clusters of different genetic divergences. Badignnavar et al. (2002), Joel and Mylsamy (1998), Islam et al. (1995) were found the same results. Islam et al. (1995) observed that inter cluster distances were larger than that of intra cluster distances. Reddy et al. (1987) found maximum inter cluster distance between cluster I and $\Pi$ in his work. All these findings are in support with the present result.


Figure1. Diagram showing intra and inter cluster distances (D) of 41 Brassica genotypes

### 4.1.2 Genetic Divergence for $B$. napus

Study of genetic diversity among 15 genotypes of B. napus assessed through Mahalanobis' $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ statistics to measure the degree of diversification among the genotypes. Using this technique, grouping of genotypes was done in six clusters where genotypes grouped together were less divergent than the ones placed in different clusters. The clusters separated by greatest statistical distance exhibited maximum divergence. Composition of different clusters with their corresponding genotypes and their source are shown in Table7. Cluster VI was the largest cluster comprising of 6 genotypes followed by cluster IV with 3 genotypes.

Table 7. Clustering pattern of 15 Brassica napus genotypes by Tocher's method

| Cluster group | Number of genotypes | Name of the genotypes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 1 | BD-7789 |
| II | 2 | BD-7834, BD-7830 |
| III | 1 | BD-7836 |
| IV | 3 | BD-7833, BD-9101, BD-9102  <br>  2 |
| V | 6 | BD-7128, BD-7832 |
| VI |  | BD-7788, |

From the cluster mean value (8) it was observed that the mean value of cluster I ranked first for days to maturity, plant height, primary branches per plant, siliquae per plant, seed per siliquae, 1000 seed weight per plant and yield per plant. Cluster II ranked first for secondary branches per plant. Cluster III ranked for length of siliquae.


Table8. Cluster means for 10 characters of 15 B. napus genotypes

| Characters | Cluster I | Cluster <br> II | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cluster } \\ & \text { III } \end{aligned}$ | Cluster IV | Cluster V | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cluster } \\ \text { VI } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days to 50\% | 51 | 41 | 38 | 39.78 | 43.17 | 47.58 |
| flowering |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Days to maturity | 94 | 83.75 | 81.33 | 81.89 | 81.67 | 87.11 |
| Plant height | 112.5 | 82.1 | 83.36 | 79.94 | 85.52 | 87.86 |
| Number of primary branches/plant | 10.5 | 10 | 5.97 | 4.98 | 4.74 | 5.73 |
| Number of secondary branches/plant | 5.13 | 6.15 | 3.5 | 2.71 | 2.6 | 3.21 |
| Number of siliquae/plant | 145.25 | 71.74 | 66.73 | 60.86 | 61.38 | 37.78 |
| Length of siliquae | 5.46 | 5.6 | 6.03 | 4.95 | 4.92 | 4.13 |
| Number of seed/siliquae | 21.88 | 17.89 | 16.11 | 17.4 | 17.08 | 11.94 |
| 1000 seed weight / <br> plant | 3.31 | 3.2 | 3.27 | 3.12 | 3.19 | 2.66 |
| Yield/plant | 8.38 | 1.97 | 1.77 | 1.45 | 1.54 | 1.16 |

The average inter cluster distance were always higher than the average intra cluster distance (Table 9) in most of the cases suggesting wider genetic diversity among the genotypes of the groups. Cluster II had the highest intra-cluster distance ( 0.789 ) followed by cluster VI (0.666). Cluster I and III had no intra cluster distance ( 0.00 ) because only one genotype was felt in both the cluster. So, wide crossing should be possible between different groups.

Table 9. Average intra (bold) and inter-cluster $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ and D values of 6 clusters for $\mathbf{1 5}$ B. napus genotypes formed by Torcher's method.

| Clusters | I | II | III | IV | V | VI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | 5.258 | 6.212 | 7.111 | 4.485 | 2.358 |
|  | $\mathbf{( 0 . 0 0 )}$ | $(2.293)$ | $(2.492)$ | $(2.667)$ | $(2.118)$ | $(1.536)$ |
| II |  | $\mathbf{0 . 7 8 9}$ | 6.478 | 3.133 | 8.114 | 3.587 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 8 8 8})$ | $(2.545)$ | $(1.770)$ | $(2.849)$ | $(1.894)$ |
| III |  |  | 0.00 | 9.557 | 5.879 | 6.000 |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{( 0 . 0 0 )}$ | $(3.091)$ | $(2.425)$ | $(2.449)$ |
| IV |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 6 4 5}$ | 3.515 | 9.335 |
|  |  |  |  | $(\mathbf{0 . 8 0 3})$ | $(1.875)$ | $(3.055)$ |
| V |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 3 3 3}$ | 6.582 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 5 7 7 )}$ | $(2.566)$ |
| VI |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 6 6 6}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 8 1 6 )}$ |

Cluster distances denoted by the average inter and intra-cluster distances are the approximate measure of the cluster divergence. Inter cluster distance was maximum between cluster II and IV (9.557), followed by clusters IV and VI (9.335). The results revealed that genotypes chosen for hybridization from clusters with highest distances would give high heterotic $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ and broad spectrum of variability in segregating generations.

Golakiya and Makne (1991) while assessing genetic diversity of 23 genotypes and grouped them into six clusters. Inter and intra cluster values (D) were reported to be ranged from 9.50 to 22.20 and 5.18 to 8.45 (Katule et al., 1991), Reddy and Reddy (1993) reported on 48 genotypes of which were grouped into 11 clusters. On the other hand Baydar and Bayraktar (1994) reported 35 genotypes which were divided into 6 clusters of different genetic divergences.


Figure2. Diagram showing intra and inter cluster distances (D) of 15 B. napus genotypes

### 4.1.3 Genetic Divergence for B. rapa

Study of genetic diversity among 13 genotypes of $B$. rapa assessed through Mahalanobis' $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ statistics to measure the degree of diversification among the genotypes. Using this technique, grouping of genotypes was done in six clusters where genotypes grouped together were less divergent than the ones placed in different clusters. The clusters separated by greatest statistical distance exhibited maximum divergence. Composition of different clusters with their corresponding genotypes and their source are shown in Table 10. Cluster III and IV was the largest cluster comprising with 4 B. rapa genotypes followed by cluster II with 2 genotypes. So, crossing should be possible between different cluster groups.

Table 10. Clustering pattern of 13 B. rapa genotypes by Tocher's method

| Cluster group | Number of genotypes | Name of the genotypes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 1 | BD-7102 |
| II | 2 | BD-7958, BD-7114 |
| III | 4 | BD-7129, SAU-YC, BD-7118, <br> BD-7125 |
| IV | 4 | BD-9061 |

Table11. Cluster means for 10 characters of 15 B. rapa genotypes

| Characters | Cluster I | Cluster II | Cluster III | Cluster IV | Cluster V | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cluster } \\ & \text { VI } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days to 50\% | 27 | 30.33 | 41.36 | 36.8 | 43.33 | 42.33 |
| flowering |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Days to maturity | 67.67 | 76 | 81.1 | 80.7 | 79.67 | 82.67 |
| Plant height | 57.78 | 71.52 | 93.01 | 75.20 | 103.3 | 88.77 |
| Number of primary branches/plant | 4.36 | 5.55 | 7.28 | 5.29 | 5.3 | 5.4 |
| Number of scondary branches/plant | 8.12 | 7.48 | 0 | 1.85 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of siliquae/plant | 144.33 | 146.65 | 97.4 | 76.61 | 65.3 | 73.3 |
| Length of siliquae | 3.58 | 4.21 | 4.37 | 4.08 | 35.2 | 4.51 |
| Number of seed/siliquae | 22.8 | 17.88 | 24.65 | 25.85 | 22 | 22.5 |
| 1000 seed weight / plant | 3.09 | 2.74 | 3.14 | 2.68 | 3.48 | 2.72 |
| Yield/plant | 4.02 | 4.41 | 6.14 | 4.19 | 5.92 | 4.9 |

From the cluster mean value (11) it was observed that the mean value of cluster I ranked first secondary branches per plant. Cluster II ranked first for siliquae per plant. Cluster III ranked for primary branches per plant and yield per plant. length of siliquae and seed per siliquae. Cluster IV ranked for seed per siliquae. Cluster V ranked for days to $50 \%$ flowering, plant height, 1000 seed weight per plant. Cluster V ranked for days to maturity.

The average inter distance cluster were always higher than the average intra cluster distance (Table 12) that suggesting wider genetic diversity among the genotypes of the groups. Cluster II had the highest intra-cluster distance ( 0.901 ) followed by cluster III ( 0.666 ). Cluster I, V and VI had the lowest intra cluster distance ( 0.00 ) followed by cluster IV $(0.421)$.

Table 12. Average intra (bold) and inter-cluster $D^{2}$ and $D$ values of 6 clusters for 13 Brassica rapa genotypes formed by Torcher's method

| Clusters | I | II | III | IV | V | VI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | 5.336 | 7.558 | 8.557 | 4.892 | 6.223 |
|  | $\mathbf{( 0 . 0 0 )}$ | $(2.310)$ | $(2.749)$ | $(2.925)$ | $(2.212)$ | $(2.495)$ |
| II |  | $\mathbf{0 . 9 0 1}$ | 5.328 | 7.665 | 5.712 | 3.598 |
|  |  | $(0.949)$ | $(2.308)$ | $(2.769)$ | $(2.390)$ | $(1.897)$ |
| III |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 6 6 6}$ | 6.325 | 7.253 | 3.278 |
|  |  |  | $(0.816)$ | $(2.515)$ | $(2.693)$ | $(1.811)$ |
| IV |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 4 2 1}$ | 5.444 | 5.478 |
|  |  |  |  | $(\mathbf{0 . 6 4 9 )}$ | $(2.333)$ | $(2.341)$ |
| V |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | 6.195 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 )}$ | $(2.489)$ |
| VI |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 )}$ |  |

Cluster distances denoted by the average inter and intra-cluster distances are the approximate measure of the cluster divergence. Inter cluster distance was maximum (8.557) between clusters I and IV, followed by clusters II and IV (7.665). The results revealed that genotypes chosen for hybridization from clusters with highest distances would give high heterotic $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ and broad spectrum of variability in segregating generations.

Golakiya and Makne (1991) while assessing genetic diversity of 23 genotypes and grouped them into six clusters. Inter and intra cluster values (D) were reported to be ranged from 9.50 to 22.20 and 5.18 to 8.45 (Katule et al., 1991), Reddy and Reddy (1993) reported on 48 genotypes of which were grouped into 11 clusters.

On the other hand Baydar and Bayraktar (1994) reported 35 genotypes which were divided into 6 clusters of different genetic divergences. Badignnavar et al. (2002), Joel and Mylsamy (1998), Islam et al. (1995) were found the same results. Islam et al. (1995) observed that inter cluster distances were larger than that of intra cluster distances. Reddy et al. (1987) found.


Figure: Diagram showing intra and inter cluster distance(D) of 13 Brassica rapa genotypes
maximum inter cluster distance between cluster 1 and II. All these findings are in support with the present results.

### 4.1.4 Genetic Divergence for $B$. juncea

Study of genetic diversity among 13 genotypes of B. jimcea evaluated through Mahalanobis' $D^{2}$ statistics to measure the degree of diversification among the genotypes. Using this technique, grouping of genotypes was done in six clusters where genotypes grouped together were less divergent than the ones placed in different clusters. The clusters separated by greatest statistical distance exhibited maximum divergence. Composition of different clusters with their corresponding genotypes and their source are shown in Table 13. Cluster III was the largest cluster comprising of 4 genotypes followed by cluster $V$ with 3 genotypes.

Table 13. Clustering pattern of 13 B. juncea genotypes by Tocher's method

| Cluster group | Number of genotypes | Name of the genotypes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 2 | BD-7127, BD-8884 |
| II | 1 | BD-7136 |
| III | 4 | BD-7131, BD-7132, BD-7107, |
| BD-7104 |  |  |
| IV | 2 | BD-7133, BD-7135 |
| V | 3 | BD-7137, BD-7117, BD-7138 |
| VI | 1 | BD-7134 |

Table14. Cluster means for 10 characters of 13 B. juncea genotypes

| Characters | Cluster <br> I | Cluster <br> II | Cluster <br> III | Cluster <br> IV | Cluster <br> V | Cluster <br> VI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days to $50 \%$ | 48.33 | 37 | 36.5 | 39.83 | 39.22 | 36.33 |
| flowering | 86.67 | 79 | 80.5 | 81.33 | 83.11 | 86 |
| Days to maturity | 152.23 | 105.13 | 101.42 | 101.48 | 96.39 | 94.27 |
| Plant height | 6.23 | 9.4 | 7.93 | 6.52 | 5.97 | 7.6 |
| Number of primary <br> branches/plant | 8.93 | 6.43 | 7.59 | 6.38 | 3.34 | 4.2 |
| Number of scondary <br> branches/plant | 203.72 | 77.23 | 162.65 | 133.27 | 113.14 | 107.4 |
| Number of <br> siliquae/plant | 3.25 | 3.58 | 3.41 | 3.01 | 3.13 | 3.02 |
| Length of siliquae | 14.77 | 13.68 | 13.11 | 12.58 | 13.4 |  |
| Number of <br> seed/siliquae <br> 1000 seed weight / <br> plant | 3.1 | 3.15 | 2.69 | 2.63 | 2.48 | 2.89 |
| Yield/plant | 5.95 | 5.35 | 5.84 | 4.66 | 3.62 |  |

From the cluster mean value (14) it was observed that the mean value of cluster I ranked first for days to $50 \%$ flowering, days to maturity, plant height, secondary branches per plant, siliquae per plant, seed per siliquae, 1000 seed weight per plant and yield per plant. Cluster II ranked first for primary branches per plant, length of siliquae, 1000 seed weight per plant .


The average inter cluster were mostly higher than the average intra cluster distance (Table 15) that suggesting wider genetic diversity among the genotypes of the groups. Cluster I had the highest intra-cluster distance ( 0.779 ) followed by cluster $\mathrm{V}(0.763)$; cluster III $(0.748)$ and IV $(0.488)$ in order. While cluster II and VI had the lowest $(0.00)$ or no intra cluster distance.

Inter cluster distance was maximum (8.912) between clusters I and IV, followed by clusters II and V (8.332). The results revealed that genotypes chosen for hybridization from clusters with highest distances would give high heterotic $F_{1}$ and broad spectrum of variability in segregating generations.

Table 15. Average intra (bold) and inter-cluster $D^{2}$ and $D$ values of 6 clusters for $13 B$. juncea genotypes formed by Torcher's method

| Clusters | I | II | III | IV | V | VI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | $\mathbf{0 . 7 7 9}$ | 4.225 | 5.212 | 8.912 | 5.221 | 2.958 |
|  | $\mathbf{( 0 . 8 8 3 )}$ | $(2.055)$ | $(2.283)$ | $(2.985)$ | $(2.285)$ | $(1.719)$ |
| II |  | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | 7.526 | 3.558 | 8.332 | 5.558 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{( 0 . 0 0 )}$ | $(2.743)$ | $(1.886)$ | $(2.887)$ | $(2.358)$ |
| III |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 7 4 8}$ | 2.338 | 5.338 | 7.338 |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{( 0 . 8 6 5 )}$ | $(1.529)$ | $(2.310)$ | $(2.709)$ |
| IV |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 4 8 8}$ | 2.552 | 3.889 |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{( 0 . 6 9 9 )}$ | $(1.597)$ | $(1.972)$ |
| V |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 7 6 3}$ | 5.111 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{( 0 . 8 7 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 . 2 6 1 )}$ |
| VI |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 )}$ |  |

Reddy and Reddy (1993) reported on 48 genotypes of which were grouped into 11 clusters.
On the other hand Baydar and Bayraktar (1994) reported 35 genotypes which were divided into 6 clusters of different genetic divergences. Badignnavar et al. (2002), Joel and Mylsamy (1998), Islam et al. (1995) were found the same results.


Figure4. Diagram showing intra and inter cluster distances (D) of $13 B$. juncea genotyp

### 4.2 ANALYTICAL RESULTS

### 4.2.1 Analytical results for Brassica

The present experiment was conducted to determine the breeding values in respect of genotypic effects and comparative performances of Brassica genotypes which were used in this experiment. Analytical results of 41 genotypes have been estimated under different sections of phenotypic and genotypic variability, co-efficient of variation, heritability, genetic advance, genetic diversity, correlation co-efficient among different important yield contributing characters and also direct and indirect effect of yield related traits on yield.

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the data on different yield components and yield of Brassica are given in Table 12. The results have been presented and discussed, and possible interpretations have been given under the following headings:

The mean square values from one way analysis for different characters are presented in Table 12. The mean values for all the recorded characters are presented in Table 13 and genotypic and phenotypic variances also presented in Table 14.

### 4.2.1.1 Days to $50 \%$ Flowering

Analysis of variance of the data for days to $50 \%$ flowering showed highly significant difference among the genotypes of Brassica used in the present experiment. The mean squares value regarding to days to $50 \%$ flowering (Table 12) indicated the presence of variability among the genotypes. Maximum days to $50 \%$ flowering ( 51.33 ) was recorded in genotype BD-7788 and BD-7789 (Table 13) followed by 8884 and 7126 (49.33) and the minimum days to $50 \%$ flowering $(27,00)$ was recorded in the genotypes BD-7102 followed by BD-9061, BD-7958 and BD-7113, respectively ( $29.00,29.33$, respectively).

The phenotypic variance (41.13) was slightly higher than the genotypic variance ( 33.24 ) indicating less environmental influence on this characters (Table 14) which was supported by narrow difference between phenotypic ( $15.82 \%$ ) and genotypic ( $14.22 \%$ ) co-efficient of variation. Hossain and Alam (1989) and Deshmukh et al. (1986) reported similar results for the characters in their earlier experiment. Low phenotypic co-efficient of variation was also noticed by Prakash et al. (2000) which also supported the present experimental result. Yogendra et al. (2002) also reported low phenotypic co-efficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic co-efficient of variation (GCV) for this character.

### 4.2.1.2 Days to Maturity

A significant variation was recorded among the genotypes in consideration of days to maturity (Table 16). Maximum identical days to maturity ( $94.67,94.00$ and 93.67 days) were recorded in Brassica genotypes BD-7788, BD-7789, BD-7790. Minimum days to maturity ( 67.67 days) were obtained from the genotype BD-7102 followed by BD-7958 as 75.33 days (Table 17).

The phenotypic variance for days to maturity (27.59) was found higher than the genotypic variance (22.83). The phenotypic co-efficient of variation ( $6.377 \%$ ) was also higher than the genotypic co-efficient of variation ( $5.801 \%$ ) indicating the presence of considerable influence of environmental factors for expressing this character (Table 18). Deshmukh et al. (1986) also reported phenotypic co-efficient of variation was higher than the genotypic co-efficient of variation in their study.


Plate 4. Photograph of showing morphology of different lines of three Brassica spp


Plate 5. Photograph of showing morphology of different lines of B. rapa with B. napus


Plate 6. Photograph of showing morphology of different lines of B. rapa with B. juncea


Plate 7. Photograph of short duration $B$. rapa line


Plate 9. Photograph of medium duration B. napus line


Plate 8. Photograph of medium duration
B. rapa line


Plate 10. Photograph of long duration B. napus line


Plate 11. Photograph of two lines of $B$. juncea showing differences in maturity

Table 16. Analysis of variance of the data of 10 important characters in respect of 41 Brassica genotypes

| Source of variation | Degrees of freedom | Mean square |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Days to 50\% flowering | Days to maturity | Plant height (cm) | Number of primary branches! plant | Number of secondary branches/ plant | Number of Siliquae/ plant | Length of siliquae (cm) | Number of seed/ siliquae | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { seed } \\ & \text { weight } \\ & (\mathrm{g}) \end{aligned}$ | Yield/ plant (g) |
| Replication | 2 | 16.415 | 4.683 | 75.270 | 3.360 | 0.440 | 583.603 | 0.257 | 5.199 | 0.129 | 0.567 |
| Genotypes | 40 | 107.615** | 73.247** | 1469.95** | 13.980** | 34.632** | 7868.88** | 2.312** | 105.569** | 1.273** | 15.299** |
| Error | 80 | 7.890 | 4.766 | 27.921 | 0.794 | 0.668 | 256.633 | 0.043 | 3.411 | 0.045 | 1.417 |
| Coefficient variation | of | 6.93 | 2.65 | 5.78 | 13.71 | 8.61 | 15.83 | 5.06 | 10.66 | 7,31 | 9.63 |

[^1]Table 17. Mean performance of 10 important characters in respect of 41 Brassica genotypes

| Genotypes | Days to 50\% flowering | Days to maturity | Plant height ( cm ) | No, of primary branches/plant | No. of secondary branches/plant | Number of Siliquae/ plant | Length of siliquae (cm) | Number of seed/ siliquae | 1000 seed weight (g) | Yield/ plant (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BD-6955 | 40.67 fk | $80.33 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{j}$ | 95.87f-i | 3.70 pq | 0.00 o | $52.43 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{n}$ | 3.83 i | 29.02 b | 4.52 a | $5.01 \mathrm{c-f}$ |
| BD-7102 | 27.00 n | 67.671 | 57.93 rs | $4.33 \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{q}$ | 8.20 cd | 144.33 d -f | 3.58 ij | 22.80 cd | $3.09 \mathrm{b-j}$ | $4.03 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{j}$ |
| BD-7113 | 29.33 n | $78.33 \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{k}$ | 59.72 r | $5.13 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{q}$ | $4.03 \mathrm{j}-\mathrm{n}$ | $98.63 \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{j}$ | $4.88 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{f}$ | $16.68 \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{m}$ | 0.34 p | $4.00 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{j}$ |
| BD-7114 | 31.67 mn | 76.67 i-k | 65.37 qr | $5.10 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{q}$ | 9.30 bc | 142.63 d-f | 4.25 gh | $16.43 \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{n}$ | $2.78 \mathrm{~g}-1$ | $2.95 \mathrm{f-1}$ |
| BD-7115 | $43.00 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{i}$ | 84.67 b-e | 78.331 p | $7.30 \mathrm{f}-\mathrm{j}$ | 0.00 o | $59.22 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{n}$ | 3.62 ij | 35.51 a | 3.15 b-h | $4.19 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{i}$ |
| BD-7116 | $42.33 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{j}$ | $82.67 \mathrm{c-g}$ | 88.77 i-k | $5.40 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{p}$ | 0.00 o | $73.30 \mathrm{j}-1$ | $4.51 \mathrm{f}-\mathrm{h}$ | 22.50 c - | $2.72 \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{m}$ | $4.90 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{f}$ |
| BD-7118 | $38.67 \mathrm{g-1}$ | 84.67 b-e | 108.70 cd | 9.17 b-e | 0.00 o | $122.00 \mathrm{f}-\mathrm{h}$ | $3.44 \mathrm{i-1}$ | $20.77 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{g}$ | 3.46 bc | 5.75 c -e |
| BD-7125 | $43.67 \mathrm{c-g}$ | $80.33 \mathrm{e-j}$ | $92.03 \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{k}$ | $6.43 \mathrm{g-1}$ | 0.000 | 64.03 kl | 4.73 ef | 34.27 a | $3.04 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{j}$ | 5.41 c -e |
| BD-7129 | $40.33 \mathrm{f-1}$ | $83.33 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{g}$ | $97.00 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{i}$ | $8.10 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{g}$ | 0.000 | $109.40 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{i}$ | 4.27 gh | $21.93 \mathrm{c-f}$ | $3.11 \mathrm{b-j}$ | 6.15 b-d |
| BD-7837 | 43.33 ch | $79.67 \mathrm{f-j}$ | $103.30 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{g}$ | $5.30 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{p}$ | 0.000 | 65.30 kl | $3.52 \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{k}$ | $22.00 \mathrm{c-f}$ | 3.48 b | $5.92 \mathrm{b-e}$ |
| BD-7958 | 29.00 n | 75.33 k | $77.67 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{p}$ | $6.00 \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{n}$ | 5.67 g -i | 150.67 c -f | 4.18 h | $19.33 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{i}$ | $2.70 \mathrm{j}-\mathrm{m}$ | 5.88 b-e |
| BD-9061 | 29.00 n | $77.00 \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{k}$ | 50.20 s | $4.57 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{q}$ | 6.43 fg | $135.25 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{g}$ | 3.82 i | $14.89 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r}$ | $2.73 \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{m}$ | $2.31 \mathrm{~h}-1$ |
| SAU-YC | $44.00 \mathrm{c-g}$ | 76.33 jk | 73.70 o-q | $5.10 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{q}$ | 0.00 o | $83.33 \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{k}$ | 5.14 cd | 24.49 c | $2.95 \mathrm{e}-1$ | 7.23 a-c |
| BD-7104 | 35.00 lm | $80.67 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{i}$ | $105.73 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{e}$ | 10.30 b | 5.87 gh | 139.77 ef | $3.24 \mathrm{j}-\mathrm{m}$ | $13.03 \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{t}$ | $2.76 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{m}$ | $4.04 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{j}$ |
| BD-7107 | 36.67 k-m | $79.33 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{k}$ | 76.30 n -p | 5.67 j-o | 14.67 a | 189.23 b | 3.64 ij | $13.26 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{t}$ | 2.21 n | $4.76 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{g}$ |
| BD-7117 | $39.00 \mathrm{g-l}$ | 84.00 b-f | $87.73 \mathrm{i}-1$ | $4.801-\mathrm{q}$ | $6.50 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{g}$ | $128.43 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{h}$ | $3.13 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{n}$ | $11.93 \mathrm{q}-\mathrm{u}$ | 2.27 n | $5.61 \mathrm{c-e}$ |
| BD-7127 | $47.33 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}$ | 85.33 b-d | 148.47 a | $7.93 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{h}$ | 10.10 b | 232.23 a | 2.78 no | $15.97 \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{p}$ | 1.820 | $7.16 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ |
| BD-7131 | 36.67 k-m | 84.00 b-f | 113.67 c | $7.30 \mathrm{f}-\mathrm{j}$ | $5.00 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{k}$ | $170.67 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{d}$ | $3.29 \mathrm{j}-\mathrm{m}$ | 13.87 l -s | $2.92 \mathrm{f}-1$ | $6.26 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{d}$ |
| BD-7132 | $37.67 \mathrm{i}-1$ | $78.00 \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{k}$ | 109.97 cd | $8.47 \mathrm{c-f}$ | $4.83 \mathrm{~h}-1$ | $150.93 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{f}$ | $3.45 \mathrm{i}-1$ | $14.57 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r}$ | $2.85 \mathrm{f}-1$ | $6.36 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{d}$ |
| BD-7133 | $38.67 \mathrm{~g}-1$ | $80.00 \mathrm{f-j}$ | 100.83 d-h | $6.33 \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{m}$ | $4.83 \mathrm{~h}-1$ | $132.50 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{g}$ | $3.47 \mathrm{i}-1$ | 11.95 q-u | $3,06 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{j}$ | 6.26 b-d |
| BD-7134 | $36,33 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{m}$ | 86.00 bc | $94.27 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{j}$ | $7.60 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{I}$ | $4.20 \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{m}$ | 107.40 g -i | 3.02 mn | $13.40 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{t}$ | $2.89 \mathrm{f-1}$ | $3.62 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{k}$ |
| BD-7135 | $41.00 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{k}$ | $82.67 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{g}$ | $102.13 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{g}$ | $6.70 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{k}$ | $7.93 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{e}$ | $134.03 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{g}$ | 2.550 | 14.27 1-r | 2.21 n | 5.42 c -e |


| Genotypes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Days to } \\ & 50 \% \\ & \text { flowering } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Days to maturity | Plant <br> height (cm) | No. of primary branches/plant | No. of secondary branches/plant | Number of Siliquae/ plant | Length of siliquae (cm) | Number of seed/ siliquae | 1000 seed weight (g) | Yield/ plant (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BD-7136 | 37.00 j-1 | $79.00 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{k}$ | 105.13 c -f | $9.40 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{d}$ | 6.43 fg | 151.23 c -f | 3.58 ij | $14.77 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{r}$ | $3.15 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{h}$ | 5.95 b-e |
| BD-7137 | $39.67 \mathrm{f}-1$ | $82.67 \mathrm{c-g}$ | 107.43 cd | $5.57 \mathrm{j}-\mathrm{o}$ | 7.40 d-f | $101.27 \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{j}$ | $3.091-\mathrm{n}$ | $12.61 \mathrm{o-u}$ | $2.80 \mathrm{~g}-1$ | $4.37 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{h}$ |
| BD-7138 | $39.00 \mathrm{~g}-1$ | $82.67 \mathrm{c-g}$ | $94.00 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{j}$ | $7.53 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{i}$ | $5.13 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{j}$ | 109.73 g -i | $3.17 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{m}$ | $13.23 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{t}$ | 2.37 mn | $4.01 \mathrm{d-j}$ |
| BD-8884 | 49.33 ab | 88.00 b | 156.00 a | $4.53 \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{q}$ | $7.63 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{f}$ | 175.20 bc | 3.73 i | $12.37 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{u}$ | 4.38 a | 8.09 ab |
| BD-7126 | 49.33 ab | 82.00 ch | $84.20 \mathrm{j}-\mathrm{n}$ | $6.50 \mathrm{~g}-1$ | 2.97 mn | $54.70 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{n}$ | 3.75 i | 12.29 q-u | 2.54 lm | 1.281 |
| BD-7128 | $42.33 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{j}$ | $80.00 \mathrm{f-j}$ | $82.83 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{o}$ | $5.30 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{p}$ | 2.63 n | 66.87 kl | 4.67 ef | $19.07 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{i}$ | $3.40 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{d}$ | $1.96 \mathrm{i}-1$ |
| BD-7788 | 51.33 a | 94.67 a | $89.67 \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{k}$ | $8.00 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{h}$ | 5.83 gh | 17.830 | $3.47 \mathrm{i}-1$ | $11.33 \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{u}$ | 2.18 n | 0.921 |
| BD-7789 | 51.33 a | 94.00 a | 114.17 c | 10.50 b | $5.20 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{j}$ | 154.50 c -e | 5.55 b | $22.33 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{e}$ | $3.37 \mathrm{b-e}$ | 8.95 a |
| BD-7790 | $48.67 \mathrm{a-c}$ | 93.67 a | 129.17 b | $6.00 \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{n}$ | 3.00 mn | $60.17 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{m}$ | $3.30 \mathrm{j}-\mathrm{m}$ | 9.17 u | $2.58 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{n}$ | 1.211 |
| BD-7815 | 46.33 a-f | $83.00 \mathrm{c-g}$ | $91.44 \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{k}$ | 3.70 pq | 0.00 o | 45.901 -o | 4.28 gh | $17.99 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{k}$ | 3.14 b -1 | 1.68 kl |
| BD-7830 | 44.67 b-f | 86.00 bc | 72.70 pq | 9.83 bc | $5.50 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{j}$ | 141.60 d-f | 5.60 b | 19.55 d-h | $3.13 \mathrm{b-i}$ | 1.49 kl |
| BD-7831 | $47.33 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}$ | 84.00 b-f | 71.00 pq | 5.37 k -p | $4.00 \mathrm{j}-\mathrm{n}$ | 29.00 no | 5.35 bc | 10.25 tu | $2.80 \mathrm{~g}-1$ | 0.921 |
| BD-7832 | $44.00 \mathrm{c-g}$ | $83.33 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{g}$ | $88.20 \mathrm{i}-1$ | 4.20 oq | 2.57 n | $55.83 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{n}$ | 5.17 cd | $15.11 \mathrm{j}-\mathrm{q}$ | $2.98 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{k}$ | 1.121 |
| BD-7833 | $41.33 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{k}$ | $84.00 \mathrm{b-f}$ | 64.97 qr | $6.43 \mathrm{g-1}$ | 2.77 mn | 67.13 kl | 5.25 b-d | $15.97 \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{p}$ | $3.03 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{j}$ | 1.51 kl |
| BD-7834 | 41.67 e-k | 84.67 b-e | $87.10 \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{m}$ | 13.67 a | 9.10 bc | $30.17 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{o}$ | 5.16 cd | 18.57 ff j | $3.18 \mathrm{b-g}$ | $2.48 \mathrm{~g}-1$ |
| BD-7835 | $42.33 \mathrm{d-j}$ | $85.33 \mathrm{b-d}$ | 61.67 r | $4.831-\mathrm{q}$ | $3.431-\mathrm{n}$ | 19.07 o | $4.60 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{g}$ | $10.60 \mathrm{s-u}$ | $2.70 \mathrm{j}-\mathrm{m}$ | 0.941 |
| BD-7836 | $38.00 \mathrm{~h}-1$ | $81.33 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{h}$ | 83.37 k -o | $5.97 \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{o}$ | $3.50 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{n}$ | 66.73 kl | 6.03 a | $16.11 \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{o}$ | $3.27 \mathrm{b-f}$ | 1.77 j-1 |
| BD-9101 | $40.00 \mathrm{f-1}$ | $82.00 \mathrm{c-h}$ | $87.83 \mathrm{i}-1$ | 4.97 k -q | $5.37 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{j}$ | $54.17 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{n}$ | $4.63 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{g}$ | 19.13 e-i | 3.15 b - | 1.67 kl |
| BD-9102 | $38.00 \mathrm{~h}-1$ | $79.67 \mathrm{f-j}$ | $87.03 \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{m}$ | 3.53 q | 0.000 | 61.27 kl | 4.98 c -e | $17.10 \mathrm{~h}-1$ | $3.18 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{g}$ | 1.171 |
| Range | 27-51.33 | 67.7-94.7 | 50.20-156 | 3.53-13.67 | 0.00-14.67 | 17.8-232.2 | 2.55-6.03 | 9.17-35.5 | 0.34-4.52 | 0.92-8.95 |
| Mean | 40.54 | 82.37 | 91.36 | 6.50 | 4.39 | 101.17 | 4.09 | 17.33 | 2.89 | 4.02 |

In a column means having similar letter(s) or without letter are identical and those having dissimilar letter(s) differ significantly as per 0.05 level of probability.

Table 18. Estimation of genetic parameters for yield and yield contributing characters of 41 genotypes of Brassica

| Characters | Genotypic <br> Variance <br> $\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}^{2}\right)$ | Phenotypic <br> Variance <br> $\left(\sigma_{p}^{2}\right)$ | \% Genotypic <br> Coefficient <br> of Variation | \% Phenotypic <br> Coefficient <br> of Variation | Heritability <br> $(\%)$ | Genetic <br> Advance <br> $(\mathrm{GA})$ | GA <br> in percentage <br> of mean |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Days to $50 \%$ Flowering | 33.242 | 41.132 | 14.223 | 15.819 | 80.818 | 13.683 | 33.751 |
| Days to Maturity | 22.827 | 27.593 | 5.801 | 6.377 | 82.728 | 11.473 | 13.928 |
| Plant Height | 480.676 | 508.597 | 23.997 | 24.685 | 94.510 | 56.269 | 61.590 |
| Primary Branch (No.) | 4.395 | 5.189 | 32.246 | 35.046 | 84.699 | 5.094 | 78.365 |
| Secondary Branch (No) | 11.321 | 11.989 | 76.651 | 78.884 | 94.428 | 8.633 | 196.650 |
| Length of Siliquae | 0.756 | 0.799 | 21.247 | 21.858 | 94.621 | 2.233 | 54.601 |
| No. of Seed/Siliquae | 34.053 | 37.464 | 33.676 | 35.320 | 90.895 | 14.688 | 84.756 |
| No. of Siliquae/Plant | 2537.416 | 2794.049 | 49.790 | 52.248 | 90.815 | 126.730 | 125.265 |
| 1000 Seed Weight | 0.409 | 0.454 | 22.145 | 23.322 | 90.095 | 1.603 | 55.471 |
| Yield/Plant | 4.627 | 6.044 | 53.507 | 61.169 | 76.557 | 4.970 | 123.629 |

### 4.2.1.3 Plant Height

The mean square due to genotype from the analysis of variance was found statistically significant at $1 \%$ level of probability for plant height indicating genotypic differences among the genotypes used under the present study (Table 16). From the mean value it was found that the tallest ( 156.0 cm ) genotype was BD-8884 (Table 17) which was identical with BD-7127 $(148.5 \mathrm{~cm})$ while the shortest $(50.20 \mathrm{~cm})$ genotype was BD-9061 followed by BD-7102 ( 57.93 cm ).

The phenotypic variance ( 508.60 ) was considerably higher than the genotypic variance (480.68) and the phenotypic and genotypic co-efficient of variations were $24.69 \%$ and 23.99 $\%$, respectively (Table 18). The result indicated the existence of inherent variability among the population with possibility of high potential for selection. Highest phenotypic and genotypic variances and genotypic and phenotypic co-efficient of variations for plant height were also observed by Mishra and Yadav (1992), Uddin et al. (1995), Azad and Hamid (2000) and Venkatramana et al. (2001) in their study.

### 4.2.1.4 Number of Primary Branches/Plant

Analysis of variance of the data for number of primary branches/plant showed highly statistically significant difference among the genotypes of Brassica used in the present piece of work. The mean squares value regarding the trait indicated the presence of variability among the genotypes (Table 16). Maximum number of primary branches/plant (13.67) was recorded in genotype BD-7834 (Table 17) followed by BD-7104 (10.30). On the other hand the minimum number of primary branches/plant (3.53) was recorded in the genotypes BD9102 followed by BD-6955 and BD-7815 (3.70).

The phenotypic variance $(5.189)$ was slightly higher than the genotypic variance $(4.395)$ indicating less environmental influence on this characters (Table 18) which was supported by
narrow difference between phenotypic (35.05\%) and genotypic ( $32.25 \%$ ) co-efficient of variation. Kuriakose and Joseph (1986), Alam et al. (1985) and Uddin et al. (1995) reported the similar results earlier.

### 4.2.1.5 Number of Secondary Branches/Plant

In the present experiment analysis of variance of the data for number of secondary branches/plant showed highly significant difference among the genotypes included in the present experiment. The mean squares value regarding to number of secondary branches/plant (Table 16) indicated the presence of variability among the genotypes. Highest number of secondary branches/plant (14.67) was recorded in genotype BD-7107 (Table 17) followed by the genotypes BD-7127 (10.10). On another way the genotypes BD-6955, BD7115, BD-7116, BD-7118, BD-7125, BD-7129, BD-7837, BD-9102, and accession SAU-YC produced no secondary branches per plant.

The phenotypic variance (11.99) was similar with the genotypic variance (11.32) indicating less environmental influence on this characters (Table 18) which was supported by slight difference between phenotypic (78.88\%) and genotypic (76.65\%) co-efficient of variation. Hossain and Alam (1989) and Deshmukh et al. (1986) reported similar results for the said character in their experiment earlier. Low phenotypic co-efficient of variation in this respect was noticed by Prakash et al. (2000) which also supported the results of the present experiment. Yogendra et al. (2002) also reported low phenotypic co-efficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic co-efficient of variation (GCV) for this character.

### 4.2.1.6 Number of Siliquae/Plant

The mean square value due to genotype from the analysis of variance was found statistically significant differences at $1 \%$ level of probability for number of siliquae/plant among the genotypes used as experimental material under the present experiment (Table 16). From the
mean value it was found that the highest number of siliquae/plant (232.2) was recorded for the genotype BD-7127 which was closely followed by the genotypes BD-7107 (189.2) while the minimum number (17.83) was recorded for the genotype BD-7788 which was identical with the genotype BD-7835 (19.07).

The phenotypic variance (2794.05) was considerably higher than the genotypic variance (2537.42) and the phenotypic and genotypic co-efficient of variations were $52.25 \%$ and $49.79 \%$, respectively (Table 18). The result indicated the existence of inherent variability among the population with possibility of high potential for selection. Highest phenotypic and genotypic variances and genotypic and phenotypic co-efficient of variations for number of siliquae / plant were also observed by Mishra and Yadav (1992), Uddin et al. (1995), Azad and Hamid (2000) and Venkatramana et al. (2001).

### 4.2.1.7 Length of Siliquae (cm)

A significant variation was recorded among the genotypes in consideration of length of siliquae (Table 16). Maximum length of siliquae ( 6.03 cm ) were recorded in Brassica genotypes BD-7836 followed by BD-7830 and BD-7789 (5.60 and 5.55 cm , respectively). Minimum length of siliquae ( 2.55 cm ) was recorded for the genotype BD-7135 (Table 17).

The phenotypic variance $(0.799)$ was similar to the genotypic variance $(0.756)$. The phenotypic co-efficient of variation ( $21.86 \%$ ) was also similar to the genotypic co-efficient of variation (21.25\%) indicating the presence of considerable influence of environmental factors on this trait (Table 18). Deshmukh et al. (1986) also reported phenotypic co-efficient of variation was higher than the genotypic co-efficient of variation.

### 4.2.1.8 Number of Seed/Siliquae

The value of the analysis of variance of the data for the number of seed/siliquae showed highly significant difference among the genotypes of Brassica used in the present experiment. The mean squares value regarding to the character (Table 16) indicated the presence of variability among the genotypes. Maximum number of seed/siliquae (35.51) was recorded in genotype $\mathrm{BD}-7115$ (Table 17) which was identical by the genotypes $\mathrm{BD}-7125$ (34.27) and the minimum (9.17) was recorded in the genotypes BD-7790 followed by BD7831 (10.25).

The phenotypic variance (37.46) was slightly higher than the genotypic variance (34.05) indicating less environmental influence on this characters (Table 18) which was supported by narrow difference between phenotypic ( $35.32 \%$ ) and genotypic ( $33.68 \%$ ) co-efficient of variation. Hossain and Alam (1989) and Deshmukh et al. (1986) reported similar results for the characters in their earlier investigation. Low phenotypic co-efficient of variation regarding this in earlier noticed by Prakash et al. (2000) which also supported the results of the present experiment. Yogendra et al. (2002) also reported low phenotypic co-efficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic co-efficient of variation (GCV) for this character.

### 4.2.1.9 1000 Seed Weight (g)

The mean square due to genotype from the analysis of variance was found statistically significant at $1 \%$ level of probability for 1000 seed weight indicating genotypic differences among the genotypes used under the present experiment (Table 16). From the mean value it was found that the highest 1000 seed weight $(4.52 \mathrm{~g})$ was recorded in the genotype BD-6955 which was identical with BD-8884 ( 4.38 g ) while the lowest 1000 seed weight ( 0.344 g ) was in the BD-7113 followed by the genotype BD-7127 $(1.82 \mathrm{~g})$.

The phenotypic variance ( 0.454 ) was considerably higher than the genotypic variance (0.409) and the phenotypic and genotypic co-efficient of variations were $23.32 \%$ and $22.15 \%$, respectively (Table 18) for 1000 seed weight of Brassica genotypes. The result indicated the existence of inherent variability among the population with possibility of high potential for selection. Highest phenotypic and genotypic variances and genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variations for 1000 seed weight were also observed by Mishra and Yadav (1992), Uddin et al. (1995), Azad and Hamid (2000) and Venkatramana et al. (2001).

### 4.2.1.10 Yield/Plant (g)

Analysis of variance of the data for yield/plant showed statistically highly significant difference among the genotypes of Brassica used in the present piece of work. The mean square values for to the trait indicated the presence of variability among the genotypes (Table 16). The highest yield/plant ( 8.95 g ) was recorded in genotype BD-7789 (Table 17) followed by the genotypes BD-8884 ( 8.09 g ). On the other hand the lowest yield/plant ( 0.921 g ) was recorded in the genotypes BD-7831.

The phenotypic variance (6.044) was higher than the genotypic variance (4.627) indicating less environmental influence on yield/plant for Brassica (Table 18) which was supported by narrow difference between phenotypic ( $61.17 \%$ ) and genotypic ( $53.51 \%$ ) co-efficient of variation. Almost similar results were observed by Uddin et al. (1995), Islam and Rasul (1998), Nazzar et al. (2000) and Azad and Hamid (2000).

### 4.2.2 Analytical results for $B$. napus

This experiment was conducted to determine the breeding values in respect of genotypic effects and comparative performances of B. napus entries that included in this trial. Analytical results of 15 genotypes have been estimated under different sections of phenotypic and genotypic variability, co-efficient of variation, heritability, genetic advance, genetic
diversity, correlation co-efficient among different important yield contributing characters and also direct and indirect effect of yield related traits on yield.

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the data on different yield components and yield of $B$. napus are given in Table 19. The results have been presented and discussed, and possible interpretations have been given under the following headings:

The mean square values from one way analysis for different characters are presented in Table 18. The mean values for all the recorded characters are presented in Table 20 and genotypic and phenotypic variances also presented in Table 21.

### 4.2.2.1 Days to 50\% Flowering

Analysis of variance of the data for days to $50 \%$ flowering showed highly significant in difference among the $B$. napus genotypes used in the present experiment. The mean squares value regarding to days to $50 \%$ flowering (Table 19) indicated the presence of variability among the genotypes. Maximum days to $50 \%$ flowering (51.33) was recorded in genotype BD-7788 and BD-7789 (Table 20) followed by BD-7126 (49.33) and the minimum days to $50 \%$ flowering ( 38.00 ) was recorded in the genotypes BD-7836 and BD-9102.

The phenotypic variance ( 25.56 ) was slightly higher than the genotypic variance (16.99) indicating less environmental influence on this characters (Table 21) which was supported by slender difference between phenotypic ( $11.38 \%$ ) and genotypic ( $9.28 \%$ ) co-efficient of variation. Hossain and Alam (1989) and Deshmukh et al. (1986) reported similar results for the characters in their earlier experiment. Low phenotypic co-efficient of variation was also noticed by Prakash et al. (2000) which also supported the results of the present experiment.

### 4.2.2.2 Days to Maturity

A significant variation was recorded among the genotypes in consideration of days to maturity (Table 19. Maximum identical days to maturity ( $94.67,94.00$ and 93.67 days) were recorded in B. napus genotypes BD-7788, BD-7789, BD-7790, respectively followed by BD7830 ( 86.00 days). Minimum days to maturity ( 79.67 days) were obtained from the genotype BD-9102 (Table 20).

The phenotypic variance (27.01) was higher than the genotypic variance (23.35). The phenotypic co-efficient of variation ( $6.101 \%$ ) was also higher than the genotypic co-efficient of variation (5.67\%) indicating the presence of considerable influence of environmental factors on this character (Table 21). Deshmukh et al. (1986) also reported phenotypic coefficient of variation was higher than the genotypic co-efficient of variation.

### 4.2.2.3 Plant Height

The mean square due to genotype from the analysis of variance was found statistically significant at $1 \%$ level of probability for plant height indicating genotypic differences among the B. napus entries used under the present trial (Table 19). Starting the mean value it was found that the tallest ( 129.17 cm ) genotype was BD-7790 (Table 20) which was identical with BD-7789 ( 114.17 cm ) while the shortest ( 61.67 cm ) genotype was BD-7835.

The phenotypic variance ( 319.78 ) was considerably higher than the genotypic variance (286.35) and the phenotypic and genotypic co-efficient of variations were $20.71 \%$ and 19.60 $\%$, respectively (Table 21 ). The result indicated the existence of inherent variability among the population with possibility of high potential for selection. Highest phenotypic and genotypic variances and genotypic and phenotypic co-efficient of variations for plant height were also observed Azad and Hamid (2000) and Venkatramana et al. (2001).

Table 19. Analysis of variance of the data of 10 important characters in respect of 15 genotypes of $B$. napus

| Source of variation | Degrees of freedom | Mean square |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Days to 50\% flowering | Days to maturity | Plant height (cm) | Number of primary branches/ plant | Number of secondary branches/ plant | Number of Siliquae/ plant | Length of siliquae (cm) | Number of seed/ siliquae | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { seed } \\ & \text { weight } \\ & (\mathrm{g}) \end{aligned}$ | Yield/ plant (g) |
| Replication | 2 | 0.822 | 1.089 | 30.489 | 5.411 | 2.070 | 325.547 | 0.461 | 17.867 | 0.159 | 1.379 |
| Genotypes | 14 | 59.556** | 73.708** | 892.488** | 23.771** | 15.830** | 4558.983** | 1,930** | 48.633** | 0.358** | 11.850** |
| Error | 28 | 8.560 | 3.660 | 33.426 | 1.548 | 0.650 | 104.822 | 0.056 | 2.342 | 0.060 | 0.468 |
| Coefficient variation | of | 6.58 | 2.25 | 6.69 | 18.89 | 21.65 | 16.60 | 4.93 | 9.79 | 8.26 | 35.28 |

[^2]Table 20. Mean performance of 10 important characters in respect of 15 genotypes of B. napus

| Genotypes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Days to } \\ & 50 \% \\ & \text { flowering } \end{aligned}$ | Days to maturity | Plant height (cm) | Number of primary branches/ plant | Number of secondary branches/ plant | Number of Siliquae/ plant | Length of siliquae (cm) | Number of seed/ siliquae | 1000 seed weight (g) | Yield/ plant (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 82.00 cdef | 84.20 c | 6.50 de | 2.97 d | 54.70 bc | 3.75 f | 12.29 e | 2.54 de | 1.28 bc |
| BD-7126 | 49.33 ab | 82.00 cder | 82.83 c | 5.30 efg | 2.63 d | 66.87 b | 4.67 de | 19.07 b | 3.40 a | 1.96 bc |
| BD-7128 | 42.33 cdef | 80.00 ef | 82.83 c | 8.00 cd | 5.83 b | 17.83 e | 3.47 fg | 11.33 ef | 2.18 e | 0.92 c |
| BD-7788 | 51.33 a | 94.67 a | 89.67 b | 8.00 cd | 5.20 bc | 154.50 a | 5.55 b | 22.33 a | 3.37 a | 8.95 a |
| BD-7789 | 51.33 a | 94.00 a | 114.17 a |  | 3.20 bc |  | 3.30 g | 9.17 f | 2.58 de | 1.21 bc |
| BD-7790 | 48.67 ab | 93.67 a | 129.17 a | 6.00 def | 3.00 d | 45.90 cd | 4.28 e | 17.99 bc | 3.14 abc | 1.68 bc |
| BD-7815 | 46.33 abcd | 83.00 bcdef | 91.44 d | 3.70 fg | 00 | 141.60 a | 5.60 b | 19.55 b | 3.13 abc | 1.49 bc |
| BD-7830 | 44.67 bcde | 86.00 b | 72.70 de | 9.83 bc | 5.50 b | 141.60 a | 5.35 bc | 10.25 ef | 2.80 bcd | 0.92 c |
| BD-7831 | 47.33 abc | 84.00 bcd | 71.00 c | 5.37 efg | 4.00 cd | 29.00 de | 5.17 bc | 15.11 d | 2.98 abcd | 1.12 c |
| BD-7832 | 44.00 bcde | 83.33 bode | 88.20 de | 4.20 efg | 2.57 d | 67.13 b | 5.25 bc | 15.97 cd | 3.03 abc | 1.51 bc |
| BD-7833 | 41.33 def | 84.00 bed | 64.97 c | 6.43 de | 2.77 d | 37.13 d | 5.16 bc | 18.57 bc | 3.18 ab | 2.48 b |
| BD-7834 | 41.67 def | 84.67 bcd | 87.10 e | 13.67 a | 9.10 a | 19.07 e | 4.60 de | 10.60 ef | 2.70 cd | 0.94 c |
| BD-7835 | 42.33 cdef | 85.33 bc | 61.67 e | 4.83 efg | 3.43 d | 19.07 e | 6.03 a | 16.11 cd | 3.27 ab | 1.77 bc |
| BD-7836 | 38.00 f | 81.33 def | 83.37 c | 5.97 def | 3.50 d | 54.17 b | 4.63 de | 19.13 b | 3.15 abc | 1.67 bc |
| BD-9101 | 40.00 ef | 82.00 cdef | 87.83 c | 4.97 efg | 5.3 | 61.27 bc | 4.98 cd | 17.10 bod | 3.18 ab | 1.17 bc |
| BD-9102 | 38.00 f | 79.67 f | 87.03 c | 3.53 g | 0.00 e | 17.83-154.50 | 3.30-6.03 | 9.17-22.33 | 2.18-3.39 | 0.917-8.95 |
| Range | 38.00-51.33 | 79.67-94.67 | 61.67-129 | 3.53-13.67 | 0.00-9.10 | $\frac{17.83-154.50}{61.66}$ | 4.79 | 15.64 | 2.98 | 1.94 |
| Mean | 44.44 | 85.18 | 86.36 | 6.59 | 3. | 61.66 |  |  |  |  |

In a colunn means having similar letter(s) or without letter is identical and those having dissimilar letter(s) differ significantly as per 0.05 level of probability.

Table 21. Estimation of genetic parameters for yield and yield contributing characters of 15 genotypes of B. Napus

| Characters | Genotypic <br> Variance <br> $\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}^{2}\right)$ | Phenotypic <br> Variance <br> $\left(\sigma_{p}^{2}\right)$ | \% Genotypic <br> Coefficient <br> of Variation | \% Phenotypic <br> Coefficient <br> of Variation | Heritability <br> $(\%)$ | Genetic <br> Advance <br> $(G A)$ | GA <br> in percentage <br> of mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days to 50\% Flowering | 16.999 | 25.559 | 9.277 | 11.376 | 66.508 | 8.877 | 19.974 |
| Days to Maturity | 23.349 | 27.009 | 5.673 | 6.101 | 86.449 | 11.861 | 13.925 |
| Plant Height | 286.354 | 319.780 | 19.596 | 20.707 | 89.547 | 42.274 | 48.953 |
| Primary Branch (No.) | 7.408 | 8.956 | 41.324 | 45.438 | 82.715 | 6.536 | 99.222 |
| Secondary Branch (No) | 5.060 | 5.710 | 60.392 | 64.178 | 88.616 | 5.591 | 150.144 |
| No. of Siliquae/Plant | 1484.720 | 1589.542 | 62.489 | 64.657 | 93.406 | 98.313 | 159.439 |
| Length of Siliquae | 0.625 | 0.681 | 16.524 | 17.234 | 91.773 | 1.999 | 41.755 |
| No. of Seed/Siliquae | 15.430 | 17.772 | 25.118 | 26.960 | 86.822 | 9.664 | 61.795 |
| 1000 Seed Weight | 0.099 | 0.159 | 10.588 | 13.412 | 62.343 | 0.657 | 22.074 |
| Yield/Plant | 3.794 | 4.262 | 100.516 | 106.553 | 89.019 | 4.853 | 250.411 |

### 4.2.2.4 Number of Primary Branches/Plant

Analysis of variance of the data for number of primary branches/plant showed highly statistically significant difference among the genotypes of B. napus used in the present piece of experiment. The mean squares value regarding to the individuality indicated the incidence of variability among the genotypes (Table 19). Maximum number of primary branches/plant (13.67) was recorded in genotype BD-7834 (Table 20). On the other hand the minimum number of primary branches/plant (3.53) was recorded in the genotypes BD-9102 followed by BD-7815 (3.70).

The phenotypic variance (8.96) was slightly higher than the genotypic variance (7.41) indicating less environmental influence on this characters (Table 21 which was supported by narrow difference between phenotypic ( $45.44 \%$ ) and genotypic ( $41.32 \%$ ) co-efficient of variation. Alam et al. (1985) and Uddin et al. (1995) reported the same results earlier.

### 4.2.2.5 Number of Secondary Branches/Plant

In the present experiment analysis of variance of the data for number of secondary branches/plant showed highly significant difference among the $B$. napus genotypes used in the present experiment. The mean squares value regarding to number of secondary branches/plant (Table 19 indicated the presence of variability among the genotypes. Highest number of secondary branches/plant (9.10) was recorded in genotype BD-7834 (Table 20). On another way the genotypes BD-9102 and BD-7815 had no secondary branches/plant.

The phenotypic variance (5.71) was similar with the genotypic variance (5.06) indicating less environmental influence on this characters (Table 21) which was supported by slight difference between phenotypic ( $64.18 \%$ ) and genotypic ( $60.39 \%$ ) co-efficient of variation. Hossain and Alam (1989) and Deshmukh et al. (1986) reported similar results for the characters in their experiment earlier. Yogendra et al. (2002) also reported low phenotypic
co-efficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic co-efficient of variation (GCV) for this character.

### 4.2.2.6 Number of Siliquae/Plant

The mean square value due to genotype from the analysis of variance was found statistically significant differences at $1 \%$ level of probability for number of siliquae/plant among the genotypes used under the present experiment (Table 19). From the mean value it was found that the highest number of siliquae/plant (154.50) was recorded for the genotype BD-7789 which was statistically identical with the genotypes BD-7830 (141.60) while the minimum number (17.83) was recorded for the genotype BD-7788 which was identical with the genotype BD-7835 (19.07).

The phenotypic variance ( 1589.54 ) was considerably higher than the genotypic variance (1484.72) and the phenotypic and genotypic co-efficient of variations were $64.66 \%$ and $62.49 \%$, respectively (Table 21 ). The result indicated the existence of inherent variability among the population with possibility of high potential for selection.

### 4.2.2.7 Length of Siliquae (cm)

A significant variation was recorded among the genotypes in consideration of length of siliquae (Table 19). Maximum length of siliquae ( 6.03 cm ) were recorded in B. napus genotypes BD-7836 followed by BD-7830 and BD-7789 ( 5.60 and 5.55 cm , respectively). Minimum length of siliquae ( 3.30 cm ) was recorded for the genotype BD-7790 (Table 20).

The phenotypic variance $(0.681)$ was similar than the genctypic variance $(0.625)$. The phenotypic co-efficient of variation ( $17.23 \%$ ) was also similar than the genotypic co-efficient of variation ( $16.52 \%$ ) indicating the presence of considerable influence of environmental factors on this character (Table 21). Deshmukh et al. (1986) also reported phenotypic coefficient of variation was higher than the genotypic co-efficient of variation.

### 4.2.2.8 Number of Seed/Siliquae

The value of the analysis of variance of the data for number of seed/siliquae showed highly significant difference among the genotypes of $B$. napus used in the present experiment. The mean squares value regarding to the character indicated the presence of variability among the genotypes. Maximum number of seed/siliquae (22.33) was recorded in genotype BD-7789 (Table 20) and the minimum (9.17) was recorded in the genotypes BD-7790 followed by BD7831 (10.25).

The phenotypic variance (17.77) was slightly higher than the genotypic variance (15.43) indicating less environmental influence on this characters (Table 21) which was supported by narrow difference between phenotypic ( $26.96 \%$ ) and genotypic ( $25.11 \%$ ) co-efficient of variation. Hossain and Alam (1989) and Deshmukh et al. (1986) reported similar results for the characters in their earlier experiment.

### 4.2.2.9 1000 Seed Weight (g)

The mean square due to genotype from the analysis of variance was found statistically significant at $1 \%$ level of probability for 1000 seed weight indicating genotypic differences among the entries used under the present experiment (Table 19). From the mean value it was found that the highest 1000 seed weight $(3.40 \mathrm{~g})$ was recorded in the genotype BD-7128 which was identical with BD-7789 ( 3.37 g ) while the lowest 1000 seed weight ( 2.18 g ) was in the BD-7788.

The phenotypic variance ( 0.159 ) was considerably higher than the genotypic variance $(0.099)$ and the phenotypic and genotypic co-efficient of variations were $13.41 \%$ and $10.59 \%$, respectively (Table 21) for 1000 seed weight. The result indicated the existence of inherent variability among the population with possibility of high potential for selection. Highest phenotypic and genotypic variances and genotypic and phenotypic co-efficient of variations
for 1000 seed weight were also observed by Azad and Hamid (2000) and Venkatramana et al. (2001).

### 4.2.2.10 Yield/Plant (g)

Analysis of variance of the data for yield/plant showed highly statistically significant difference among the genotypes used in the present piece of trial. The mean squares value regarding to the traits indicated the presence of variability among the genotypes (Table 19). The highest yield/plant ( 8.95 g ) was recorded in genotype BD-7789 (Table 21). On the other hand the lowest yield/plant ( 0.92 g ) was recorded in the genotypes BD-7788 and BD-7831, respectively which was identical with the genotypes BD-7835 (0.943).

The phenotypic variance (4.26) was higher than the genotypic variance (3.79) indicating less environmental influence on yield/plant for B. napus (Table 21) which was supported by narrow difference between phenotypic (106.55\%) and genotypic (100.52\%) co-efficient of variation. Almost similar results were observed by Nazzar et al. (2000) and Azad and Hamid (2000).

### 4.2.3 Analytical results for B. rapa

Analytical results of 13 genotypes of $B$. rapa have been estimated and presented under different sections for phenotypic and genotypic variability, co-efficient of variation, heritability, genetic advance, genetic diversity, correlation co-efficient among different important yield contributing characters and also direct and indirect effect of different traits related to yield.

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the data on different yield components and yield of $B$. rapa are given in Table 22. The results have been presented and discussed, and possible interpretations have been given under the following headings:

The mean square values from one way analysis for different characters are presented in Table 22. The mean values for all the recorded characters are presented in Table 23 and genotypic and phenotypic variances also presented in Table 24.

### 4.2.3.1 Days to $50 \%$ Flowering

Analysis of variance of the data for days to $50 \%$ flowering showed highly significant difference among the genotypes of $B$ rapa used in the present experiment. The mean squares value regarding to days to $50 \%$ flowering (Table 22) indicated the presence of variability among the genotypes. Maximum days to $50 \%$ flowering ( 44,00 ) was recorded in genotype SAU-YC (Table 23) which was statistically identical with BD-7125 (43.67), BD-7837 (43.33) and BD-7116 (43.00) and the minimum days to $50 \%$ flowering (27.00) was recorded for the genotype BD-7115 followed by BD-9061, BD-7958 and BD-7113 (29.00, 29.33, respectively).

The phenotypic variance (48.35) was slightly higher than the genotypic variance (43.385) indicating less environmental influence on this characters (Table 24) which was supported by narrow difference between phenotypic (18.753\%) and genotypic (17.766\%) co-efficient of variation. Hossain and Alam (1989) and Deshmukh et al. (1986) reported similar results for the characters in their earlier experiment. Low phenotypic co-efficient of variation was also noticed by Prakash et al. (2000) which also supported the results of the present experiment. Yogendra et al. (2002) also reported low phenotypic co-efficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic co-efficient of variation (GCV) for this character.

### 4.2.3.2 Days to Maturity

A significant variation was recorded among the genotypes in consideration of days to maturity (Table 22). Maximum identical days to maturity ( 84.67 ) were recorded in B. rapa genotypes BD-7115, BD-7118, respectively followed by BD-7129 (83.33 days). Minimum
days to maturity ( 67.67 days) was obtained from the genotype BD-7102 followed by ( 75.33 ) in BD-7958 (Table 23).

The phenotypic variance (24.406) was higher than the genotypic variance (20.214). The phenotypic co-efficient of variation ( $6.253 \%$ ) was also higher than the genotypic co-efficient of variation (5.691\%) indicating the presence of considerable influence of environmental factors on this character (Table 24). Deshmukh et al. (1986) also reported phenotypic coefficient of variation was higher than the genotypic co-efficient of variation.

### 4.2.3.3 Plant Height

The mean square due to genotype from the analysis of variance was found statistically significant at $1 \%$ level of probability for plant height indicating genotypic differences among the $B$. rapa genotypes used under the present experiment (Table 22). From the mean value it was found that the tallest ( 108.70 cm ) genotype was BD-7118 (Table 23) which was identical with BD-7837 ( 103.30 cm ) while the shortest $(50.20 \mathrm{~cm})$ genotype was BD-9061.

The phenotypic variance (362.593) was considerably higher than the genotypic variance (340.138) and the phenotypic and genotypic co-efficient of variations were $23.607 \%$ and $22.865 \%$, respectively (Table 24). The result indicated the existence of inherent variability among the population with possibility of high potential for selection. Highest phenotypic and genotypic variances and genotypic and phenotypic co-efficient of variations for plant height were also observed by Mishra and Yadav (1992), Uddin et al. (1995), Azad and Hamid (2000) and Venkatramana et al. (2001).

Table 22. Analysis of variance of the data of 10 important characters in respect of 13 genotypes of Brassica rapa

| Source of variation |  | Mean square |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Days to 50\% flowering | Days to maturity | Plant height (cm) | Number of primary branches/ plant | Number of secondary branches/ plant | Number of Siliquae/ plant | Length of siliquae (cm) | Number of seed/ siliquae | 1000 <br> seed weight (g) | Yield/ plant <br> (g) |
| Replication | 2 | 6.077 | 3.692 | 44.20 | 0.696 | 0.002 | 73.398 | 0.025 | 4.887 | 0.003 | 2,230 |
| Genotypes | 12 | 135.120** | 64.833** | 1042.87** | 7.307** | 39.120** | 3895.288** | 0.931** | 122.852** | 2.52.4** | 5.656** |
| Error | 24 | 4.966 | 4.192 | 22.455 | 0.178 | 0.242 | 202.439 | 0.049 | 5.388 | 0.016 | 1.450 |
| Coefficient variation | of | 6.01 | 2.59 | 5.87 | 7.26 | 19.00 | 14.22 | 5.33 | 10.04 | 4.25 | 24.57 |

[^3]Table 23. Mean performance of 10 important characters in respect of 13 genotypes of Brassica rapa

| Genotypes | Days to $50 \%$ flowering | Days to maturity | Plant height (cm) | Number of primary branches/ plant | Number of secondary branches/ plant | Number of Siliquae/ plant | Length of siliquae (cm) | Number of seed/ siliquae | 1000 seed weight (g) | Yield/ plant (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BD-6955 | 40.67 ab | 80.33 bc | 95.87 bc | 3.70 i | 0.00 e | 52.43 f | 3.83 de | 29.02 b | 4.52 a | 5.01 abc |
| BD-7102 | 27.00 d | 67.67 f | 57.93 ef | 4.33 hi | 8.20 b | 144.33 ab | 3.58 e | 22.80 cd | 3.09 c | 4.03 bcd |
| BD-7113 | 29.33 cd | 78.33 cde | 59.72 e | 5.13 fg | 4.03 d | 98.63 cd | 4.88 ab | 16.68 efg | 0.34 f | 4.00 bcd |
| BD-7114 | 31.67 c | 76.67 cde | 65.37 e | 5.10 fgh | 9.30 a | 142.63 ab | 4.25 c | 16.43 fg | 2.78 de | 2.95 cd |
| BD-7115 | 43,00 a | 84.67 a | 78.33 d | 7.30 c | 0.00 e | 59.22 ef | 3.62 e | 35.51 a | 3.15 c | 4.19 bcd |
| BD-7116 | 42.33 ab | 82.67 ab | 88.77 c | 5.40 ef | 0.00 e | 73.30 ef | 4.51 bc | 22.50 cd | 2.72 de | 4.90 bc |
| BD-7118 | 38.671 b | 84.67 a | 108.70 a | 9.17 a | 0.00 e | 122.00 bc | 3.44 e | 20.77 cde | 3.46 b | 5.75 ab |
| BD-7125 | 43.67 a | 80.33 bc | 92.03 c | 6.43 d | 0.00 e | 64.03 ef | 4.73 b | 34.27 a | 3.04 c | 5.41 ab |
| BD-7129 | 40.33 ab | 83.33 ab | 97.00 bc | 8.10 b | 0.00 e | 109.40 c | 4.27 c | 21.93 cd | 3.11 c | 6.15 ab |
| BD-7837 | 43.33 a | 79.67 bcd | 103.30 ab | 5.30 efg | 0.00 e | 65.30 ef | 3.52 e | 22.00 cd | 3.48 b | 5.92 ab |
| BD-7958 | 29.00 cd | 75.33 e | 77.67 d | 6.00 de | 5.67 c | 150.67 a | 4.18 cd | 19.33 def | 2.70 e | 5.88 ab |
| BD-9061 | 29.00 cd | 77.00 cde | 50.20 f | 4.57 gh | 6.43 c | 135.25 ab | 3.82 de | 14.89 g | 2.73 de | 2.31 d |
| SAU-YC | 44.00 a | 76.33 de | 73.70 d | 5.10 fgh | 0.00 e | 83.33 de | 5.14 a | 24.49 c | 2.95 cd | 7.23 a |
| Range | 27.00-43.67 | 67.67-84.67 | 50.2-108.7 | 3.70-9.17 | 0.00-9.30 | 52.43-150.67 | 3.44-5.14 | 14.89-35.51 | 0.34-4.52 | 2.31-7.23 |
| Mean | 37.08 | 79.00 | 80.66 | 5.82 | 2.59 | 100.04 | 4.14 | 23.12 | 2.93 | 4.90 |

In a column means having similar letter(s) or without letter are identical and those having dissimilar letter(s) differ significantly as per 0.05 level of probability

Table 24. Estimation of genetic parameters for yield and yield contributing characters of 13 genotypes of Brassica rapa

| Characters | Genotypic <br> Variance <br> $\left(\sigma^{2}\right)$ | Phenotypic <br> Variance <br> $\left(\sigma_{p}^{2}\right)$ | \% Genotypic <br> Coefficient <br> of Variation | \% Phenotypic <br> Coefficient <br> of Variation | Heritability <br> $(\%)$ | Genetic <br> Advance <br> $(\mathrm{GA})$ | GA <br> in percentage <br> of mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days to 50\% Flowering | 43.385 | 48.351 | 17.766 | 18.753 | 89.729 | 16.471 | 44.423 |
| Days to Maturity | 20.214 | 24.406 | 5.691 | 6.253 | 82.824 | 10.802 | 13.673 |
| Plant Height | 340.138 | 362.593 | 22.865 | 23.607 | 93.807 | 47.158 | 58.464 |
| Primary Branch (No.) | 2.376 | 2.554 | 26.487 | 27.466 | 93.031 | 3.925 | 67.459 |
| Secondary Branch (No) | 12.959 | 13.201 | 139.157 | 140.433 | 98.167 | 9.415 | 363.947 |
| No. of Siliquae/Plant | 1230.950 | 1433.389 | 35.071 | 37.844 | 85.877 | 85.834 | 85.799 |
| Length of Siliquae | 0.294 | 0.343 | 13.104 | 14.168 | 85.714 | 1.326 | 32.061 |
| No. of Seed/Siliquae | 39.155 | 44.543 | 27.058 | 28.862 | 87.904 | 15.488 | 66.978 |
| 1000 Seed Weight | 0.836 | 0.852 | 31.195 | 31.502 | 98.122 | 2.391 | 81.603 |
| Yield/Plant | 1.402 | 2.852 | 24.153 | 34.455 | 49.158 | 2.192 | 44.716 |

### 4.2.3.4 Number of Primary Branches/Plant

Analysis of variance of the data for number of primary branches/plant showed highly statistically significant difference among the genotypes of $B$. rapa used in the present piece of research work The mean squares value regarding to the traits indicated the presence of variability among the genotypes (Table 22). Maximum number of primary branches/plant (9.17) was recorded in genotype BD- 7118 (Table 19) followed by BD-7129 (8.10). On the other hand the minimum number of primary branches/plant (3.70) was recorded in the genotypes BD-6955 followed by BD-7102 (4.33).

The phenotypic variance (2.554) was slightly higher than the genotypic variance (2.376) indicating less environmental influence on this characters (Table 24) which was supported by narrow difference between phenotypic ( $27.466 \%$ ) and genotypic ( $26.487 \%$ ) co-efficient of variation. Kuriakose and Joseph (1986), Alam et al. (1985) and Uddin et al. (1995) reported the same results earlier.

### 4.2.3.5 Number of Secondary Branches/Plant

In the present experiment analysis of variance of the data for number of secondary branches/plant showed highly significant difference among the rapa genotypes used in the present experiment. The mean squares value regarding to number of secondary branches/plant (Table 22) indicated the presence of variability among the genotypes. Highest number of secondary branches/plant (9.30) was recorded in genotype BD-7114 (Table 23) followed by the genotypes BD-7102 (8.20). On another way the genotypes BD-6955, BD7115, BD-7116, BD-7118, BD-7125, BD-7129, BD-7837, SAU-YC had no secondary branches/plant.

The phenotypic variance (13.201) was similar with the genotypic variance ( 12.959 ) indicating less environmental influence on this characters (Table 24) which was supported by
slight difference between phenotypic (140.433\%) and genotypic (139.157\%) co-efficient of variation. Hossain and Alam (1989) and Deshmukh et al. (1986) reported similar results for the characters in their experiment earlier. Low phenotypic co-efficient of variation in this respect was noticed by Prakash et al. (2000) which also supported the results of the present experiment. Yogendra et al. (2002) also reported low phenotypic co-efficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic co-efficient of variation (GCV) for this character.

### 4.2.3.6 Number of Siliquae/Plant

The mean square value due to genotype from the analysis of variance was found statistically significant differences at $1 \%$ level of probability for number of siliquae/plant among the rapa genotypes used as experimental material under the present experiment (Table 22). From the mean value it was found that the highest number of siliquae/plant (150.67) was recorded for the genotype BD-7958 while the minimum number (52.43) was recorded for the genotype BD-6955.

The phenotypic variance (1433.389) was considerably higher than the genotypic variance (1230.950) and the phenotypic and genotypic co-efficient of variations were $37.844 \%$ and $35.071 \%$, respectively (Table 24). The result indicated the existence of inherent variability among the population with possibility of high potential for selection. Highest phenotypic and genotypic variances and genotypic and phenotypic co-efficient of variations in number of siliquae/plant were also observed by Mishra and Yadav (1992), Uddin et al. (1995), Azad and Hamid (2000) and Venkatramana et al. (2001).

### 4.2.3.7 Length of Siliquae (cm)

A significant variation was recorded among the $B$. rapa genotypes in consideration of length of siliquae (Table 22). Maximum length of siliquae ( 5.14 cm ) were recorded in B. rapa
genotypes SAU-YC followed by BD-7113 ( 4.88 cm ). Minimum length of siliquae ( 3.44 cm ) was recorded for the genotype BD-7118 (Table 23).

The phenotypic variance $(0.343)$ was similar than the genotypic variance $(0.294)$. The phenotypic co-efficient of variation ( $14.168 \%$ ) was also similar than the genotypic coefficient of variation ( $13.104 \%$ ) indicating the presence of considerable influence of environmental factors on this trait (Table 24). Deshmukh ef al. (1986) also reported phenotypic co-efficient of variation was higher than the genotypic co-efficient of variation.

### 4.2.3.8 Number of Seed/Siliquae

The value of the analysis of variance of the data for number of seed/siliquae showed highly significant difference among the genotypes of $B$. rapa used in the present experiment. The mean squares value regarding the character (Table 22) indicated the presence of variability among the genotypes. Maximum number of seed/siliquae (35.51) was recorded in genotype BD-7115 (Table 23) which was identical by the genotypes BD-7125 (34.27) and the minimum ( 14,89 ) was recorded in the genotypes BD-9061.

The phenotypic variance (44.543) was slightly higher than the genotypic variance $(39,155)$ indicating less environmental influence on this characters (Table 24) which was supported by narrow difference between phenotypic (28.862\%) and genotypic (27.058\%) co-efficient of variation. Hossain and Alam (1989) and Deshmukh et al. (1986) reported similar results for the characters in their earlier investigation. Low phenotypic co-efficient of variation regarding this in earlier noticed by Prakash et al. (2000) which also supported the results of the present experiment. Yogendra et al. (2002) also reported low phenotypic co-efficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic co-efficient of variation (GCV) for this character.

### 4.2.3.9 1000 Seed Weight (g)

The mean square due to genotype from the analysis of variance was found statistically significant at $1 \%$ level of probability for 1000 seed weight indicating genotypic differences among the genotypes used under the present experiment (Table 22). From the mean value it was found that the highest 1000 seed weight ( 4.52 g ) was recorded in the genotype BD-6955 while the lowest 1000 seed weight ( 0.34 g ) was in the BD-7113.

The phenotypic variance ( 0.852 ) was slightly higher than the genotypic variance $(0.836)$ and the phenotypic and genotypic co-efficient of variations were $31.502 \%$ and $31.195 \%$, respectively (Table 24) for 1000 seed weight of $B$. rapa genotypes. The resuit indicated the existence of inherent variability among the population with possibility of high potential for selection. Highest phenotypic and genotypic variances and genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variations for 1000 seed weight were also observed by Mishra and Yadav (1992), Uddin er al. (1995), Azad and Hamid (2000) and Venkatramana et al. (2001).

### 4.2.3.10 Yield/Plant (g)

Analysis of variance of the data for yield/plant showed highly statistically significant difference among the genotypes of $B$. rapa used in the present piece of research work. The mean squares value regarding to the traits indicated the presence of variability among the genotypes (Table 22). The highest yield/plant ( 7.23 g ) was recorded in genotype SAU-YC77 (Table 23). On the other hand the lowest yield/plant ( 2.31 g ) was recorded in the genotypes BD-9061 which was identical with the genotypes BD-7114 ( 2.95 g ).

The phenotypic variance ( 2.852 ) was higher than the genotypic variance (1.402) indicating less environmental influence on yield/plant for B. rapa (Table 24) which was supported by
narrow difference between phenotypic ( $34.455 \%$ ) and genotypic ( $24.153 \%$ ) co-efficient of variation. Almost similar results were observed by Uddin et al. (1995), Islam and Rasul (1998), Nazzar et al. (2000) and Azad and Hamid (2000).

### 4.2.4 Analytical results for $B$. juncea

Results of 13 genotypes of $B$. juncea have been predictable under different subdivision of phenotypic and genotypic variability, co-efficient of variation, heritability, genetic advance, genetic diversity, correlation co-efficient among different important yield contributing characters and also direct and indirect effect of yield associated character on yield.

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the data on different yield components and yield of $B$. juncea are presented in Table 25. The results have been discussed, and possible interpretations have been given under the following headings:

The mean square values from one way analysis for different characters are presented in Table 25. The mean values for all the recorded characters are presented in Table 26 and genotypic and phenotypic variances also presented in Table 27.

### 4.2.4.1 Days to $\mathbf{5 0} \%$ Flowering

Analysis of variance of the data for days to $50 \%$ flowering showed highly significant difference among the genotypes of $B$. juncea used in the present experiment. The mean squares value regarding to days to $50 \%$ flowering (Table 25) indicated the presence of variability among the genotypes. Maximum days to $50 \%$ flowering (49.33) was recorded in genotype BD-8884 which was statistically identical (47.33) with the juncea genotypes BD7127 (Table 26) and the minimum days to $50 \%$ flowering ( 35.00 ) was recorded in the genotypes BD-7104.

The phenotypic inconsistency (24.58) was higher than the genotypic variance (14.89) indicating less environmental influence on this characters (Table 27) which was supported by narrow difference between phenotypic ( $12.56 \%$ ) and genotypic ( $9.77 \%$ ) co-efficient of variation. Hossain and Alam (1989) and Deshmukh et al. (1986) reported similar results for the characters. Low phenotypic co-efficient of variation was also noticed by Prakash et al. (2000) which also supported the results of the present experiment.

### 4.2.4.2 Days to Maturity

Statistically significant variation was recorded among the genotypes of juncea in consideration of days to maturity (Table 25). Maximum days to maturity ( 88.00 days) were recorded in B. juncea genotypes BD-884. Minimum days to maturity ( 78.00 days) were obtained from the genotype BD-7132 followed by BD-7107 (Table 26).

The phenotypic variance (12.79) was higher than the genotypic variance (7.01). The phenotypic co-efficient of variation (4.33\%) was also higher than the genotypic co-efficient of variation ( $3.21 \%$ ) indicating the presence of considerable influence of environmental factors on this character (Table 27). Deshmukh et al. (1986) also reported phenotypic coefficient of variation was higher than the genotypic co-efficient of variation.

Table 25. Analysis of variance of the data of 10 important characters in respect of 13 genotypes of $B$. Juncea

| Source of variation | Degrees of freedom | Mean square |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Days to 50\% flowering | Days to maturity | Plant height (cm) | Number of primary branches/ plant | Number of secondary branches/ plant | Number of Siliquae/ plant | Length <br> of <br> siliquae <br> (cm) | Number of seed/ siliquae | 1000 <br> seed weight (g) | Yield/ plant (g) |
| Replication | 2 | 29.410 | 19.718 | 13.434 | 0.339 | 0.294 | 636.679 | 0.001 | 0.037 | 0.103 | 0.525 |
| Genotypes | 12 | 54.368** | 26.812** | 1469.20** | 8.900** | 24.093** | 4062.660** | 0.348** | 4.227* | 1.201** | 5.321* |
| Error | 24 | 9.688 | 5.774 | 30.547 | 0.405 | 1.066 | 493.044 | 0.010 | 1.782 | 0.051 | 2.429 |
| Coefficient of variation |  | 7.88 | 2.91 | 5,13 | 8.98 | 14.83 | 15.01 | 3.12 | 9.90 | 8.25 | 28.18 |

- Significant at $5 \%$ level of probability
** Significant at $1 \%$ level of probability

Table 26. Mean performance of 10 important characters in respect of 13 genotypes of $B$. juncea

| Genotypes | Days to $50 \%$ flowering | Days to maturity | Plant height (cm) | Number of primary branches/ plant | Number of secondary branches/ plant | Number of Siliquae/ plant | Length of siliquae (cm) | Number of seed/ siliquae | 1000 seed weight (g) | Yield/ plant (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BD-7104 | 35.00 b | 80.67 cde | 105.73 bc | 10.30 a | 5.87 def | 139.77 cdef | 3.24 er | 13.03 bc | 2.76 b | 4.04 c |
| BD-7107 | 36.67 b | 79.33 de | 76.30 f | 5.67 fg | 14.67 a | 189.23 b | 3.64 ab | $13,26 \mathrm{bc}$ | 2.21 cd | 4.76 bc |
| BD-7117 | 39.00 b | 84.00 abc | 87.73 e | 4.80 g | 6.50 cde | 128.43 def | 3.13 efg | 11.93 c | 2.27 c | 5.61 abc |
| BD-7127 | 47.33 a | 85.33 ab | 148.47 a | 7.93 c | 10.10 b | 232.23 a | 2.78 h | 15.97 a | 1.82 d | 7.16 ab |
| BD-7131 | 36.67 b | 84.00 abc | 113.67 b | 7.30 cde | 5.00 ef | 170.67 bcd | 3.29 de | 13.87 abc | 2.92 b | 6.26 abc |
| BD-7132 | 37.67 b | 78.00 e | 109.97 bc | 8.47 bc | 4.83 ef | 150.93 bcde | 3.45 cd | 14.57 ab | 2.85 b | 6.36 abc |
| BD-7133 | 38.67 b | 80.00 cde | 100.83 cd | 6.33 ef | 4.83 ef | 132.50 def | 3.47 bc | 11.95 c | 3.06 b | 6.26 abc |
| BD-7134 | 36.33 b | 86.00 ab | 94.27 de | 7.60 cd | 4.20 f | 107.40 f | 3.02 g | 13.40 bc | 2.89 b | 3.62 c |
| BD-7135 | 41.00 b | 82.67 bcd | 102.13 cd | 6.70 def | 7.93 c | 134.03 cdef | 2.55 i | 14.27 abc | 2.21 cd | 5.42 abc |
| BD-7136 | 37.00 b | 79.00 de | 105.13 bc | 9.40 ab | 6.43 cde | 151.23 bcde | 3.58 abc | 14.77 ab | 3.15 b | 5.95 abc |
| BD-7137 | 39.67 b | 82.67 bcd | 107.43 bc | 5.57 fg | 7.40 cd | 101.27 f | 3.09 fg | 12.61 bc | 2.80 b | 4.37 bc |
| BD-7138 | 39.00 b | 82.67 bcd | 94.00 de | 7.53 cd | 5.13 ef | 109.73 ef | 3.17 efg | 13.23 bc | 2.37 c | 4.01 c |
| BD-8884 | 49.33 a | 88.00 a | 156.00 a | 4.53 g | 7.63 cd | 175.20 bc | 3.73 a | 12.37 bc | 4.38 a | 8.09 a |
| Range | 35,00-49.33 | 78.00-88.00 | 76.30-156.00 | 4.53-10.3 | 4.20-14.67 | 101.27-232.23 | 2.55-3.73 | 11.93-15.97 | 1.82-4.38 | 4.01-8.09 |
| Mean | 39.49 | 82,49 | 107.82 | 7.09 | 6.96 | 147.90 | 3.24 | 13.48 | 2.75 | 5.53 |

In a column means having similar letter(s) or without letter are identical and those having dissimilar letter(s) differ significantly as per 0.05 level of probability

Table 27. Estimation of genetic parameters for yield and yield contributing characters of 13 genotypes of B. Juncea

| Characters | Genotypic <br> Variance <br> $\left(\sigma_{8}^{2}\right)$ | Phenotypic <br> Variance <br> $\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ | \% Genotypic <br> Coefficient <br> of Variation | \% Phenotypic <br> Coefficient <br> of Variation | Heritability <br> $(\%)$ | Genetic <br> Advance <br> $(\mathrm{GA})$ | GA <br> in percentage <br> of mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days to 50\% Flowering | 14.893 | 24.581 | 9.773 | 12.556 | 60.588 | 7.930 | 20.084 |
| Days to Maturity | 7.013 | 12.787 | 3.210 | 4.334 | 54.844 | 5.176 | 6.275 |
| Plant Height | 479.551 | 510.098 | 20.311 | 20.947 | 94.012 | 56.054 | 51.988 |
| Primary Branch (No.) | 2.832 | 3.237 | 23.748 | 25.385 | 87.487 | 4.155 | 58.630 |
| Secondary Branch (No) | 7.676 | 8.742 | 39.790 | 42.461 | 87.806 | 6.855 | 98.428 |
| No. of Siliquae/Plant | 1189.872 | 1682.916 | 23.324 | 27.738 | 70.703 | 76.572 | 51.774 |
| Length of Siliquae | 0.113 | 0.123 | 10.370 | 10.833 | 91.848 | 0.851 | 26.268 |
| No. of Seed/Siliquae | 0.815 | 2.597 | 6.700 | 11.960 | 31.382 | 1.336 | 9.909 |
| 1000 Seed Weight | 0.383 | 0.434 | 22.550 | 24.007 | 88.258 | 1.535 | 55.937 |
| Yield/Plant | 0.964 | 3.393 | 17.754 | 33.303 | 28.411 | 1.382 | 24.979 |

### 4.2.4.3 Plant Height

The mean square due to genotype from the analysis of variance was found statistically significant for plant height indicating genotypic differences among the genotypes used under the present experiment (Table 25). From the mean value it was found that the tallest (156,0 cm ) genotype was BD-8884 (Table 10) which was identical with BD-7127 ( 148.5 cm ) while the shortest $(76.30 \mathrm{~cm})$ genotype was BD-7107.

The phenotypic variance ( 510.10 ) was considerably higher than the genotypic variance (479.55) and the phenotypic and genotypic co-efficient of variations were $20.95 \%$ and 20.31 $\%$, respectively (Table 27). The result indicated the existence of inherent variability among the population with possibility of high potential for selection. Highest phenotypic and genotypic variances and genotypic and phenotypic co-efficient of variations for plant height were also observed by Mishra and Yadav (1992) and Venkatramana et al. (2001).

### 4.2.4.4 Number of Primary Branches/Plant

Analysis of variance of the data for number of primary branches/plant showed statistically significant variation among the genotypes of $B$. juncea used in the present trail. The mean squares value regarding to the traits indicated the presence of variability among the genorypes (Table 25). Maximum number of primary branches/plant (10.30) was recorded in genotype BD-7104 (Table 26). On the other hand the minimum number of primary branches/plant (4.53) was recorded in the genotypes BD-8884.

The phenotypic variance (3.237) was slightly higher than the genotypic variance (2.832) indicating less environmental influence on this characters (Table 27) which was supported by narrow difference between phenotypic (25.39\%) and genotypic (23.75\%) co-efficient of variation.

### 4.2.4.5 Number of Secondary Branches/Plant

In the present trail analysis of variance of the data for number of secondary branches/plant showed highly significant variation among the genotypes of $B$. juncea used. The mean squares value regarding to number of secondary branches/plant (Table 25) indicated the presence of variability among the genotypes. Highest number of secondary branches/plant (14.67) was recorded in genotype BD-7107 (Table 26). On another way the genotypes BD7134 produced the lowest (4.20) secondary branches/plant. In B. juncea all the genotypes produced secondary branches.

The phenotypic variance (8.742) was similar with the genotypic variance (7.676) indicating less environmental influence on this characters (Table 27) which was supported by slight difference between phenotypic ( $42.46 \%$ ) and genotypic ( $39.79 \%$ ) co-efficient of variation. Low phenotypic co-efficient of variation in this respect was noticed by Prakash et al. (2000) which also supported the results of the present experiment.

### 4.2.4.6 Number of Siliquae/Plant

The mean square value due to genotype from the analysis of variance was found statistically significant differences for number of siliquae/plant among the genotypes used as experimental material under the present trail (Table 25). From the mean value it was found that the highest number of siliquae/plant (232.23) was recorded for the genotype BD-7127 which was closely followed by the genotypes BD-7107 (189.23) while the minimum number (101.27) was recorded for the genotype BD-7137.

The phenotypic variance (1682.92) was considerably higher than the genotypic variance (1189.87) and the phenotypic and genotypic co-efficient of variations were $27.74 \%$ and $23.32 \%$, respectively (Table 27). Genotypic and phenotypic co-efficient of variations in
number of siliquae/plant were also observed by Azad and Hamid (2000) and Venkatramana etal. (2001).

### 4.2.4.7 Length of Siliquae (cm)

A significant variation was recorded among the genotypes in consideration of length of siliquae (Table 25). Maximum length of siliquae ( 3.73 cm ) were recorded in juncea genotypes BD-8884 followed by BD-7107 (3.64). Minimum length of siliquae ( 2.55 cm ) was recorded for the genotype BD-7135 (Table 26).

The phenotypic variance $(0.123)$ was similar to the genotypic variance $(0.113)$. The phenotypic co-efficient of variation ( $10.83 \%$ ) was also similar than the genotypic co-efficient of variation ( $10.37 \%$ ) indicating the presence of considerable influence of environmental factors on this character (Table 27). Deshmukh et al. (1986) also reported phenotypic coefficient of variation was higher than the genotypic co-efficient of variation.

### 4.2.4.8 Number of Seed/Siliquae

The value of the analysis of variance of the data for number of seed/siliquae showed highly significant difference among the genotypes of $B$. juncea used in the present piece of experiment. The mean squares value regarding to the character (Table 25) indicated the presence of variability among the genotypes. Maximum number of seed/siliquae (15.97) was recorded in genotype BD-7127 (Table 26) and the minimum (11.93) was recorded in the genotypes BD-7117.

The phenotypic variance (2.597) was higher than the genotypic variance $(0.815)$ indicating less environmental influence on this characters (Table 27) which was supported by narrow difference between phenotypic (11.96\%) and genotypic (6.70\%) co-efficient of variation. Yogendra et al. (2002) also reported low phenotypic co-efficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic co-efficient of variation (GCV) for this character.

### 4.2.4.9 1000 Seed Weight (g)

The mean square due to genotype from the analysis of variance was found statistically significant for 1000 seed weight indicating genotypic differences among the genotypes used under the present experiment (Table 25). From the mean value it was found that the highest 1000 seed weight ( 4.38 g ) was recorded in the genotype BD-8884 while the lowest 1000 seed weight $(1.82 \mathrm{~g})$ was in the BD-7127.

The phenotypic variance ( 0.434 ) was considerably higher than the genotypic variance $(0.383)$ and the phenotypic and genotypic co-efficient of variations were $24.01 \%$ and $22.55 \%$, respectively (Table 27) for 1000 seed weight of $B$. juncea genotypes. The result indicated the existence of inherent variability among the population with possibility of high potential for selection.

### 4.2.4.10 Yield/Plant (g)

Analysis of variance of the data for yield/plant showed highly statistically significant difference among the genotypes of $B$. juncea used in the present piece of experiment. The mean squares value regarding to the traits indicated the presence of variability among the genotypes (Table 25). The highest yield/plant ( 8.09 g ) was recorded in genotype BD-8884 (Table 26). On the other hand the lowest yield/plant ( 4.01 g ) was recorded in the genotypes BD-7138 which was identical with the genotypes BD-7107.

The phenotypic variance ( 3.393 ) was higher than the genotypic variance ( 0.964 ) indicating less environmental influence on yield/plant for B. juncea (Table 27) which was supported by difference between phenotypic ( $33.30 \%$ ) and genotypic ( $17.75 \%$ ) co-efficient of variation. Almost similar results were observed by Uddin et al. (1995), Islam and Rasul (1998).

### 4.3 HERITABILITY AND GENETIC ADVANCE

### 4.3.1 Heritability and Genetic Advance for Brassica

Results of analysis of variance revealed that the genotypes of Brassica differed significantly for all the characters under study and indicating the prevalence of genetic variation and providing scope to find out the superior genotypes through selection. Results of the heritability, genetic advance and genetic advance in percentage of mean of individual character are discussed in this part of the thesis and the results related to this character are presented in Table 18.

### 4.3.1.1 Days to $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ Flowering

Days of $50 \%$ flowering showed high heritability ( $80.82 \%$ ) with genetic advance ( $13.68 \%$ ) and genetic advance in percentage of mean (33.75) revealing possibility of predominance of additive gene action in the inheritance of this character as compared to other yield contributing characters and also indicating limited possibility of involvement of additive gene effect with less response to selection.

### 4.3.1.2 Days to Maturity

The magnitude of heritability in broad sense $\left(\mathrm{h}^{2} \mathrm{~b}\right)$ of this character was high ( $82.73 \%$ ) and low genetic advance $(11.47 \%)$ and low genetic advance in percentage of mean (13.93). These results indicate both additive and non-additive genes involvements in the expression of the character and this with limited scope of improvement by direct selection. These results were reported by Alam et al. (1985) and Hossain (1988).

### 4.3.1.3 Plant Height

Very high heritability ( $94.51 \%$ ) together with high genetic advance ( $56.27 \%$ ) and genetic advance in percentage of mean (61.59) revealing possibility of predominance of additive
gene action in the inheritance of this character as has been reported by Singh and Singh (1999).

### 4.3.1.4 Number of Primary Branches/Plant

Number of primary branches/plant showed high heritability (84.70\%) coupled with low genetic advance ( $5.094 \%$ ) and high genetic advance in percentage of mean (61.59). These findings revealed the action of additive gene effect on the expression of this character as well as a scope of improvement through selection which was also earlier reported by Islam and Rasul (1998), Singh and Singh (1999).

### 4.3.1.5 Number of Secondary Branches/Plant

High heritability ( $94.428 \%$ ) coupled with low genetic advance ( $8.633 \%$ ) and high genetic advance in percentage of mean (196.650) was calculated in respect of number of secondary branches/plant. These findings discovered the action of additive gene effect on the expression of this character as well as a scope of improvement through selection which was also earlier reported by Kumar et al. (1998).

### 4.3.1.6 Number of Siliquae/Plant

Number of siliquae/plant showed very high heritability ( $90.82 \%$ ) coupled with very high genetic advance ( $126.73 \%$ ) and very high genetic advance in percentage of mean (125.27). As this trait possessed high variation, it was high potential for effective selection for further genetic improvement of this trait.

### 4.3.1.7 Length of Siliquae (cm)

Length of siliquae/plant showed very high heritability ( $94.62 \%$ ) connected with very low genetic advance ( $2.233 \%$ ) and high genetic advance in percentage of mean ( 54.60 ) which findings exposed the action of additive gene effect on the expression of this character as well as a scope of improvement through selection.

### 4.3.1.8 Number of Seed/Siliquae

The magnitude of heritability in broad sense ( $\mathrm{h}^{2} \mathrm{~b}$ ) of this trait was high ( $90.90 \%$ ) and low genetic advance ( $14.697 \%$ ) and high genetic advance in percentage of mean (84.76). These results indicate both additive and non-additive genes involvements in the expression of the character and this with limit scope of improvement by direct selection.

### 4.3.1.9 1000 Seed Weight (g)

Very high heritability $(90.10 \%$ ) associated with very low genetic advance ( $1.603 \%$ ) and high genetic advance in percentage of mean (55.47) was calculated in respect of 1000 seed weight of Brassica genotypes. These findings exposed the action of additive gene effect on the expression of this character as well as a scope of improvement through selection.

### 4.3.1.10 Yield/Plant (g)

High heritability ( $76.56 \%$ ) coupled with low genetic advance ( $4.970 \%$ ) and high genetic advance in percentage of mean ( 123.63 ) was recorded in respect of yield/plant. These findings discovered the action of additive gene effect on the expression of this character as well as a scope of improvement through selection.

### 4.3.2 Heritability and Genetic Advance for B. napus

Results of analysis of variance revealed that the genotypes of $B$. napus differed significantly for all the characters under study and indicating the prevalence of genetic variation and providing scope to find out the superior genotypes through selection. Findings of the heritability, genetic advance and genetic advance in percentage of mean of individual character are discussed in this part of the thesis and the results related to this character are presented in Table 21.

### 4.3.2.1 Days to 50\% Flowering

Days of $50 \%$ flowering showed high heritability ( $66.51 \%$ ) with genetic advance $(8.88 \%)$ and genetic advance in percentage of mean (19.97) revealing possibility of predominance of additive gene action in the inheritance of this character as compared to other yield contributing characters and also indicating limited possibility of involvement of additive gene effect with less response to selection.

### 4.3.2.2 Days to Maturity

The magnitude of heritability in broad sense ( $\mathrm{h}^{2} \mathrm{~b}$ ) of this character was high ( $86.45 \%$ ) and low genetic advance $(11.86 \%)$ and low genetic advance in percentage of mean (13.93). These results indicate both additive and non-additive genes involvements in the expression of the character and this with limit scope of improvement by direct selection. These results were reported by Alam et al. (1985) and Hossain (1988).

### 4.3.2.3 Plant Height

Very high heritability ( $89.55 \%$ ) together with high genetic advance ( $42.27 \%$ ) and genetic advance in percentage of mean (48.95) revealing possibility of predominance of additive gene action in the inheritance of this character as has been reported by Singh and Singh (1999).

### 4.3.2.4 Number of Primary Branches/Plant

Number of primary branches/plant showed high heritability ( $82.72 \%$ ) coupled with low genetic advance ( $6.54 \%$ ) and high genetic advance in percentage of mean (99.22). These findings revealed the action of additive gene effect on the expression of this character as well as a scope of improvement through selection which was also earlier reported by Islam and Rasul (1998), Singh and Singh (1999).

### 4.3.2.5 Number of Secondary Branches/Plant

High heritability ( $88.62 \%$ ) coupled with low genetic advance ( $5.59 \%$ ) and high genetic advance in percentage of mean (150.14) was calculated in respect of number of secondary branches/plant. These findings discovered the action of additive gene effect on the expression of this character as well as a scope of improvement through selection which was also earlier reported by Kumar et al. (1998).

### 4.3.2.6 Number of Siliquae/Plant

Number of siliquae/plant showed very high heritability ( $93.41 \%$ ) coupled with very high genetic advance $\mathbf{~} 98.31 \%$ ) and very high genetic advance in percentage of mean (159.44). As this trait possessed high variation, it was high potential for effective selection for further genetic improvement of this trait.

### 4.3.2.7 Length of Siliquae (cm)

Length of siliquae/plant showed very high heritability ( $91.77 \%$ ) connected with very low genetic advance ( $1.999 \%$ ) and high genetic advance in percentage of mean (41.76) which findings exposed the action of additive gene effect on the expression of this character as well as a scope of improvement through selection.

### 4.3.2.8 Number of Seed/Siliquae

The magnitude of heritability in broad sense $\left(\mathrm{h}^{2} \mathrm{~b}\right)$ of this trait was high $(86.82 \%)$ and low genetic advance ( $9.664 \%$ ) and high genetic advance in percentage of mean ( 61.80 ). These results indicate both additive and non-additive genes involvements in the expression of the character and this with limited scope of improvement by direct selection.

### 4.3.2.9 1000 Seed Weight (g)

Very high heritability ( $62.34 \%$ ) associated with very low genetic advance ( $0.657 \%$ ) and high genetic advance in percentage of mean (22.07) was calculated in respect of 1000 seed weight
of $B$. napus genotypes. These findings exposed the action of additive gene effect on the expression of this character as well as a scope of improvement through selection.

### 4.3.2.10 Yield/Plant (g)

High heritability ( $89.02 \%$ ) coupled with low genetic advance (4.853\%) and high genetic advance in percentage of mean $(250,41)$ was recorded in respect of yield/plant. These findings discovered the action of additive gene effect on the expression of this character as well as a scope of improvement through selection.

### 4.3.3 Heritability and Genetic Advance for B. rapa

Results of analysis of variance revealed that the genotypes of Brassica differed significantly for all the characters under study and indicating the prevalence of genetic variation and providing scope to find out the superior genotypes through selection. Results of the heritability, genetic advance and genetic advance in percentage of mean of individual character are discussed in this part of the thesis and the results related to this character are presented in Table 24.

### 4.3.3.1 Days to 50\% Flowering

Days of $50 \%$ flowering showed high heritability ( $89.729 \%$ ) with genetic advance ( $16.471 \%$ ) and genetic advance in percentage of mean (44.423) revealing possibility of predominance of additive gene action in the inheritance of this character as compared to other yield contributing characters and also indicating limited possibility of involvement of additive gene effect with less response to selection.

### 4.3.3.2 Days to Maturity

The magnitude of heritability in broad sense $\left(\mathrm{h}^{2} \mathrm{~b}\right)$ of this character was high $(82.824 \%)$ and low genetic advance $(10.802 \%)$ and low genetic advance in percentage of mean (13.673). These results indicate both additive and non-additive genes involvements in the expression of
the character and this with limited scope of improvement by direct selection. Similar results were also reported by Alam et al. (1985) and Hossain (1988).

### 4.3.3.3 Plant Height

Very high heritability ( $93.807 \%$ ) together with high genetic advance ( $47.158 \%$ ) and genetic advance in percentage of mean $(58.464)$ revealing possibility of predominance of additive gene action in the inheritance of this character as has been reported by Singh and Singh (1999).

### 4.3.3.4 Number of Primary Branches/Plant

Number of primary branches/plant showed high heritability ( $93.031 \%$ ) coupled with low genetic advance $(3.925 \%)$ and high genetic advance in percentage of mean (67.459). These findings revealed the action of additive gene effect on the expression of this character as well as a scope of improvement through selection which was also earlier reported by Islam and Rasul (1998), Singh and Singh (1999).

### 4.3.3.5 Number of Secondary Branches/Plant

High heritability ( $98.167 \%$ ) coupled with low genetic advance ( $9.415 \%$ ) and high genetic advance in percentage of mean (363.95) was calculated in respect of number of secondary branches/plant. These findings discovered the action of additive gene effect on the expression of this character as well as a scope of improvement through selection which was also earlier reported by Kumar et al. (1998).

### 4.3.3.6 Number of Siliquae/Plant

Number of siliquae/plant showed very high heritability ( $85.88 \%$ ) coupled with very high genetic advance $(85.83 \%)$ and very high genetic advance in percentage of mean (85.80). As this trait possessed high variation, it was highly potential for effective selection for further genetic improvement of this trait.

### 4.3.3.7 Length of Siliquae (cm)

Length of siliquae/plant showed very high heritability ( $85.71 \%$ ) connected with very low genetic advance ( $1.326 \%$ ) and high genetic advance in percentage of mean (32.061) which expressed the action of additive gene effect on the expression of this character as well as having scope of improvement through selection.

### 4.3.3.8 Number of Seed/Siliquae

The magnitude of heritability in broad sense $\left(\mathrm{h}^{2} \mathrm{~b}\right)$ of this trait was high $(87.90 \%)$ and low genetic advance ( $15.49 \%$ ) and high genetic advance in percentage of mean ( 66,98 ). These results indicate both additive and non-additive genes involvements while the expressing of the character with limited scope of improvement by direct selection.

### 4.3.3.9 1000 Seed Weight (g)

Very high heritability ( $98.12 \%$ ) associated with very low genetic advance ( $2.391 \%$ ) and high genetic advance in percentage of mean (81.60) was calculated in respect of 1000 seed weight of B. rapa genotypes. These findings exposed the action of additive gene effect on the expression of this character as well as a scope of improvement through selection.

### 4.3.3.10 Yield/Plant (g)

High heritability ( $49.16 \%$ ) coupled with low genetic advance ( $2.19 \%$ ) and high genetic advance in percentage of mean (44.72) was recorded in respect of yield/plant. These findings discovered the action of additive gene effect on the expression of this character as well as a scope of improvement through selection.

### 4.3.4 Heritability and Genetic Advance for B. juncea

Results of analysis of variance showed that the genotypes of B. juncea differed statistically significant for all the characters under trial and indicating the prevalence of genetic variation and providing scope to find out the advanced genotypes through selection. Results of the
heritability, genetic advance and genetic advance in percentage of mean of individual character are discussed in this part of the thesis and the results related to this character are presented in Table 27.

### 4.3.4.1 Days to $50 \%$ Flowering

Days of $50 \%$ flowering showed high heritability ( $60.59 \%$ ) with genetic advance ( $7.930 \%$ ) and genetic advance in percentage of mean (20.084) enlightening possibility of predominance of additive gene with action the inheritance of this character as compared to other yield contributing factors and also indicating limited possibility of involvement of additive gene effect with less response to selection.

### 4.3.4.2 Days to Maturity

The magnitude of heritability in broad sense $\left(\mathrm{h}^{2} \mathrm{~b}\right)$ of this character found to be high ( $54.84 \%$ ) with low genetic advance ( $5.176 \%$ ) and low genetic advance in percentage of mean (6.275). These results indicate both additive and non-additive genes involvements in the expression of the character and with limited scope of improvement by direct selection.

### 4.3.4.3 Plant Height

Very high heritability ( $94.01 \%$ ) together with high genetic advance ( $56.05 \%$ ) and with high genetic advance in percentage of mean (51.99) revealing possibility of predominance of additive gene action in the inheritance of this trait as has been reported by Singh and Singh (1999).

### 4.3.4.4 Number of Primary Branches/Plant

Number of primary branches/plant showed high heritability ( $87.49 \%$ ) coupled with low genetic advance ( $4.155 \%$ ) and high genetic advance in percentage of mean ( 58.63 ). These findings revealed the action of additive gene effect on the expression of this character as well
as a scope of improvement through selection which was also earlier reported by Islam and Rasul (1998), Singh and Singh (1999).

### 4.3.4.5 Number of Secondary Branches/Plant

High heritability ( $87.81 \%$ ) coupled with low genetic advance ( $6.86 \%$ ) and high genetic advance in percentage of mean ( 98.43 ) was calculated in respect of number of secondary branches/plant.

### 4.3.4.6 Number of Siliquae/Plant

Number of siliquae/plant showed very high heritability (70.70\%) coupled with very high genetic advance ( $76.57 \%$ ) and very high genetic advance in percentage of mean (51.77). As this trait possessed high variation, it was high potential for effective selection for further genetic improvement of this trait.

### 4.3.4.7 Length of Siliquae (cm)

Length of siliquae/plant showed very high heritability ( $91.85 \%$ ) connected with very low genetic advance $(0.851 \%$ ) and high genetic advance in percentage of mean (26.27) which findings exposed the action of additive gene effect on the expression of this character as well as a scope of improvement through selection.

### 4.3.4.8 Number of Seed/Siliquae

The magnitude of heritability in broad sense ( $\left(h^{2} \mathrm{~b}\right.$ ) of this trait was high ( $31.38 \%$ ) and low genetic advance ( $1.336 \%$ ) and high genetic advance in percentage of mean (9.909). These results indicate both additive and non-additive genes involvements in the expression of the character which limits the scope of improvement by direct selection.

### 4.3.4.9 1000 Seed Weight (g)

Very high heritability ( $88.26 \%$ ) associated with very low genetic advance ( $1.535 \%$ ) and high genetic advance in percentage of mean $(55.94)$ was calculated in respect of 1000 seed weight of $B$. juncea genotypes. These findings exposed the action of additive gene effect on the expression of this character as well as a scope of improvement through selection.

### 4.3.4.10 Yield/Plant (g)

High heritability ( $28.41 \%$ ) coupled with low genetic advance (1.382\%) and high genetic advance in percentage of mean (24.98) was recorded in respect of yield/plant. These findings discovered the action of additive gene effect on the expression of this character as well as a scope of improvement through selection.

### 4.4 CORRELATION MATRIX

### 4.4.1 Correlation Matrix for Brassica

Correlation matrix analysis was done to measure the mutual relationship between eight different yield and yield contributing characters and to determine the component characters on which selection could be based for improvement in yield of 41 Brassica genotypes (Table 28).

### 4.4.1.1 Days to $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ Flowering

Days to $50 \%$ flowering in Oleiferous Brassica genotypes independent of species showed significant positive association with days to maturity ( 0.760 ), plant height ( 0.424 ) and 1000 seed weight ( 0.206 ) while insignificant association with primary branches ( 0.129 ) and siliquae length ( 0.130 ) was observed. The results revealed that early flowering plants produced tallest plant, having maximum days to maturity would increase considerably with more 1000 seed weight. On the other hand secondary branches/plant and siliquae/plant showed the negative significant relation with on days to $50 \%$ flowering. This suggested that

Table 28. Correlation matrix among the yield and yield contributing characters of 41 genotypes of Brassica

| Characters | Days to 50\% flowering | Days to maturity | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Plant } \\ & \text { height } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | Primary branches /plant (No.) | Secondary branches/ plant (No.) | Length of siliquae (cm) | Seed/ siliguae (No.) | Siliquae/ plant (No.) | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \text { seed } \\ & \text { weight (g) } \end{aligned}$ | Yicld plant (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days to $50 \%$ flowering | 1.00 | 0.760** | 0.424** | 0.129 | -0.208* | 0.130 | -0.023 | -0.292** | 0.206* | -0.058 |
| Days to maturity |  | 1.00 | 0.440** | 0.314** | -0.046 | 0.007 | -0.225* | -0.179* | 0.033 | -0.092 |
| Plant height (cm) |  |  | 1.00 | 0.266** | 0.081 | -0.407** | -0.118 | 0.379** | 0.241** | 0.503* |
| Primary branches/ plant (No.) |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.243** | 0.009 | 0.037 | 0.214* | -0.014 | $0.206^{*}$ |
| Secondary branches/plant ( No .) |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | -0.259** | 0.439** | 0.575** | -0,290** | 0.118 |
| Length of siliquae (cm) |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.259** | -0.372** | 0.172 | $-0.277^{*}$ |
| Seed/ siliquae ( No .) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | -0.104 | 0.342** | 0,303* |
| Siliquae/ plant (No.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | -0.101 | 0.658* |
| 1000 seed weight (g) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.155 |
| Yield/ plant (g) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |

** Significant at $1 \%$ level of probability

* Significant at $5 \%$ level of probability
early days to $50 \%$ flowering genotypes were more potential to allocate their photosynthates towards siliquae formation.


### 4.4.1.2 Days to Maturity

Days to maturity confirmed highly significant positive association with plant height (0.440) and primary branches/plant (0.314) but insignificant association with length of siliquae $(0.007)$ and 1000 seed weight $(0.033)$. The results revealed that with the increase in days to maturity increase plant height and more number of primary branches/plant increased considerably. On the other hand, days to maturity showed significant negative correlation with seed/siliquae $(-0.225)$ and siliquae/plant $(-0.179)$ and insignificant negative relation with secondary branches/plant $(-0.046)$ and yield/plant $(-0.092)$. Reasons behind these the reverse phenomenons were probably damaged or prevent development of mature pods before harvest ultimately resulting in reduced yield/plant. Negative association of days to maturity with plant height has also been reported by Kumar and Yadava (1982) which don't support with the findings of the presents experiment.

### 4.4.1.3 Plant Height

Plant height had significant negative association ( -0.407 ) with length of siliquae among the genotypes suggesting that tall genotypes were not physiologically potential for siliquae length. In addition, plant height showed simple significant negative association with seed/siliquae $(-0.118)$. The results revealed that even though tall plants do not produced highest number of seed/siliquae, their ultimate results in the lowest yield/plant. Plant height showed the positive relation with yield/plant (0.503). Hossain (1988) reported negative association of plant height with length of siliquae which also support the present experiment in relation with correlation matrix.

### 4.4.1.4 Number of Primary Branches/Plant

Number of primary branches/plant established highly significant positive association with plant height ( 0.440 ), secondary branches/plant (0.243) and yield/plant (0.206) and positive insignificant association with length of siliquae ( 0.009 ) and seed/siliquae (0.037). The results revealed that with the increase in number of primary branches/plant increase plant height and increase considerably more secondary primary branches/plant. On the other hand, number of primary branches/plant showed negative correlation with 1000 seed weight ( -0.014 ). Negative association of number of primary branches/plant and 1000 seed weight has also been reported by Kumar and Yadava (1982) which also support with the findings of the presents study.

### 4.4.1.5 Number of Secondary Branches/Plant

Number of secondary branches/plant showed significant positive association with seed/siliquae ( 0.439 ) and siliquae/plant ( 0.575 ). The results revealed that highest numbers of secondary branches are the ultimate result of maximum number of primary branches/plant. On the other hand, length of siliquae $(-0.259), 1000$ seed weight $(-0.290)$ and also days to $50 \%$ flowering showed the negative significant correlation on number of secondary branches/plant. This suggested that highest number of secondary branches/plant genotypes were more potential to apportion their photosynthesis to siliquae formation and maximum siliquae/plant.

### 4.4.1.6 Number of Siliquae/Plant

Number of siliquae/plant had significant negative association ( -0.407 ) with plant height among the genotypes suggesting that tall genotypes were not physiologically potential for producing highest number of siliquae/plant. In addition, number of siliquae/plant showed simple significant negative association with 1000 seed weight $(-0.101)$. The results revealed
that highest number of seed/siliquae never ensured though tall plants. Number of siliquae/plant showed the positive relation with yield/plant (0.658). Hossain (1988) reported negative association of plant height with length of siliquae which also support the present experiment in relation with correlation.

### 4.4.1.7 Length of Siliquae (cm)

Length of siliquae showed highly significant positive association with number of seed/siliquae ( 0.259 ) but insignificant association was found with primary branches/plant $(0.009), 1000$ seed weight $(0.172)$ and days to flowering $(0.130)$. On the other hand, length of siliquae showed significant negative correlation with siliquae/plant ( -0.372 ). Negative association of length of siliquae with 1000 seed weight has also been reported by Kumar and Yadava (1982) which also support with the findings of the presents experiment.

### 4.4.1.8 Number of Seed/Siliquae

Number of seed/siliquae showed significant positive association with secondary branches/plant ( 0.439 ), length of siliquae $(0.259)$ and 1000 seed weight $(0.342)$. The results revealed that number of seed/siliquae would increase considerably more 1000 seed weight. On the other hand the negative significant correlation was found in days to maturity $(-0.225)$.

### 4.4.1.9 1000 Seed Weight (g)

1000 seed weight showed significant negative association with secondary branches $(-0.290)$. 1000 seed weight height showed the positive relation with plant height ( 0.241 ), seed/siliquae (0.342)

### 4.4.1.10 Yield/Plant (g)

Yield/plant confirmed highly significant positive association with plant height $(0.503)$ and primary branches/plant ( 0.206 ) but insignificant association with secondary branches/plant ( 0.118 ), 1000 seed weight $(0,155)$. On the other hand, yield/plant showed significant negative
correlation with length of siliquae $(-0.277)$ and insignificant negative relation with days to flowering $(-0.058)$, days to maturity $(-0.092)$.

### 4.4.2 Correlation Matrix for B. napus

Correlation matrix analysis was done to measure the mutual relationship between eight different yield and yield contributing characters and to determine the component characters on which selection could be based for improvement in yield of 15 B. napus genotypes (Table 29)

### 4.4.2.1 Days to 50\% Flowering

Days to $50 \%$ flowering showed significant positive association with days to maturity ( 0.742 ) and plant height (0.362). The results revealed that early flowering plants ensure early maturity. On the other hand length of siliquae and 1000 seed weight showed the negative significant correlation on days to $50 \%$ flowering. This suggested that early days to $50 \%$ flowering genotypes were more potential to allocate their photosynthesis to enlargement of siliquae.

### 4.4.2.2 Days to Maturity

Days to maturity confirmed highly significant positive association with plant height ( 0.534 ), primary branches/plant ( 0.391 ), secondary branches/plant ( 0.357 ) and yield/plant ( 0.373 ) but insignificant association with siliquae/plant ( 0.188 ). The results revealed that with the increase in days to maturity the above traits under study increase considerably in Brassica with exception to siliquae/plant. On the other hand, it showed significant negative correlation with 1000 seed weight $(-0.329)$ and insignificant negative relation with length of siliquae $(-0.317)$ and seed/siliquae $(-0.201)$.

Table 29. Correlation matrix among the yield and yield contributing characters of 15 genotypes of B. napus

| Characters | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Days to } \\ 50 \% \\ \text { flowering } \end{array}$ | Days to maturity | Plant height (cm) | Primary branches/ plant (No.) | Secondary branches/ plant (No.) | Length of siliquae (cm) | Seed/ siliquae (No.) | Siliquae/ plant (No.) | 1000 seed weight (g) | Yield/ plant (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days to $50 \%$ flowering | 1.00 | 0.742** | 0.362* | 0.192 | 0.134 | -0.406** | -0.245 | 0.111 | -0.358** | 0.275 |
| Days to maturity |  | 1.00 | 0.534** | 0.391** | 0.357** | -0.317 | -0.201 | 0.188 | -0.329* | 0.373** |
| Plant height (cm) |  |  | 1.00 | 0.183 | 0.035 | $-0.330^{*}$ | 0.071 | 0,286 | -0.006 | 0.439** |
| Primary branches/ plant (No.) |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.848** | 0.245 | 0.351* | 0.338* | 0.089 | 0.466** |
| Secondary branches/ plant (No.) |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.181 | 0.184 | 0.071 | -0,015 | 0,254 |
| Length of siliquae (cm) |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.578** | 0.461** | 0.658** | 0.352* |
| Seed/ siliquae (No.) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.635** | 0.761** | 0.595** |
| Siliquae/ plant (No.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.488** | 0.689** |
| 1000 seed weight (g) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.458** |
| Yield/ plant (g) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |

** Signilicant at $1 \%$ level of probability

* Significant at $5 \%$ level of probability


### 4.4.2.3 Plant Height

Plant height had significant negative association ( -0.330 ) with length of siliquae among the genotypes suggesting that tall genotypes were not physiologically potential for siliquae length. In addition, plant height showed simple significant negative association with 1000 seed weight $(-0.006)$. The results revealed that even though tall plants do not produced highest number of seed/siliquae, they are ultimately results in the lowest yield/plant. Plant height showed the positive relation both with days to flowering and maturity ( 0.362 and $0.534)$ yield/plant ( 0.439 ).

### 4.4.2.4 Number of Primary Branches/Plant

Number of primary branches/plant established highly significant positive association with secondary branches/plant $(0.848)$, seed/siliquae $(0.351)$, siliquae/plant ( 0.338 ) and yield/plant $(0.466)$ and also showed positive insignificant association with length of siliquae $(0.245)$ and 1000 seed weight $(0.089)$. The results revealed that with the increase in number of primary branches/plant the considerably increase in secondary branches/plant helped to produce more siliquae / plant sowing ultimate increase in yield.

### 4.4.2.5 Number of Secondary Branches/Plant

Number of secondary branches/plant showed significant positive association with primary branches/plant $(0.848)$ and days to maturity ( 0.357 ). It appears the results that the highest numbers of secondary branches are the ultimate result of maximum number of primary branches/plant. On the contrary, 1000 seed weight $(-0.015)$ showed the negative insignificant correlation for number of secondary branches/plant.

### 4.4.2.6 Number of Siliquae/Plant

Number of siliquae/plant showed the positive relation with 1000 seed weight ( 0.488 ), length of siliquae (0.461), seed/siliquae (0.635) and yield/plant (0.689). Hossain (1988) reported
negative association of plant height with length of siliquae which also do not support the present experiment in relation with correlation.

### 4.4.2.7 Length of Siliquae (cm)

Length of siliquae showed highly significant positive association with number of seed/siliquae ( 0.578 ), siliquae/plant ( 0.461 ), 1000 seed weight $(0.658)$. The results revealed that with the increase of 1000 seed weight with the result of increasing trend of siliquae length. On the other hand, length of siliquae showed significant negative correlation with days to flowering $(-0.406)$ and plant height $(-0.330)$.

### 4.4.2.8 Number of Seed/Siliquae

Number of seed/siliquae showed significant positive association with primary branches/plant ( 0.351 ), length of siliquae ( .578 ), siliquae/plant $(0.635)$ and 1000 seed weight $(0.761)$ and yield/plant (0.595). The results revealed that number of seed/siliquae would attribute considerably for ultimate more yield/plant. On the other hand the negative correlation was found in days to flowering $(-0.245)$ and for days to maturity $(-0.371)$.

### 4.4.2.9 1000 Seed Weight (g)

1000 seed weight showed significant positive association with length of siliquae ( 0.658 ), seed/siliquae ( 0.761 ), siliquae / plant ( 0.488 ) and yield/plant ( 0.458 ). 1000 seed weight showed the negative relation with days to flowering $(-0.358)$ and days to maturity $(-0.329)$ seed.

### 4.4.2.10 Yield/Plant (g)

Yield/plant confirmed highly significant positive association with days to maturity (0.373) plant height $(0.439)$ and primary branches/plant $(0.466)$, length of siliquae ( 0.352 ), seed/siliquae ( 0.595 ), and siliquae/plant ( 0.689 ) and 1000 seed weight ( 0.458 ). Insignificant
association was observed with days to flowering (0.275) and for secondary branches/plant (0.254)

### 4.4.3 Correlation Matrix for B. rapa

Correlation matrix analysis was done to measure the mutual relationship between eight different yield and yield contributing characters and to determine the component characters on which selection could be based for improvement in yield of 13 B. rapa genotypes (Table 30)

### 4.4.3.1 Days to $50 \%$ Flowering

Days to $50 \%$ flowering showed significant positive association with days to maturity ( 0.663 ), plant height ( 0.678 ), seed/siliquae ( 0.595 ), 1000 seed weight ( 0.438 ) and yield/plant ( 0.415 ). The results revealed that the tallest plant initiated with early flowering required maximum days to maturity would increase considerably with more 1000 seed weight gained for highest seed yield. On the other hand secondary branches/plant and siliquae/plant showed the negative significant correlation ( -0.861 and -0.746 ) on days to $50 \%$ flowering. This suggested that early days to $50 \%$ flowering genotypes were more potential to allocate their photosynthates for maximum plant height, maximum viable seeds per siliquae with maximum seed weight gained towards highest yield per plant.

### 4.4.3.2 Days to Maturity

Days to maturity confirmed highly significant positive association with plant height (0.594) and primary branches/plant $(0.580)$ but insignificant association with seed/siliquae ( 0.276 ), 1000 seed weight $(0.142)$ and yield / plant ( 0.123 ). The results revealed that with the increase in days to maturity the plant height increased considerably with more primary branches/plant. On the other hand, days to maturity showed significant negative correlation with secondary branches/plant ( -0.692 ) and siliquae/plant $(-0.515)$ and insignificant negative relation with
length of siliquae $(-0.045)$. Reasons behind these where reverse phenomenon's were probably damaged or rotting on development of mature pods before harvest ultimately resulting in reduced yield/plant. Negative association of days to maturity with plant height has also been reported by Kumar and Yadava (1982) which do not support the findings of the presents experiment.

### 4.4.3.3 Plant Height

Plant height had significant negative association $(-0.748)$ with secondary branches/plant and for number of siliquae/plant $(-0.430)$ suggesting that tall genotypes were not physiologically potential for both. In addition, plant height showed simple non significant negative association with length of siliquae $(-0.169)$. The results revealed that even though tall plants do not produced longest siliquae it showed the positive relation with primary branches/plant $(0.530)$ seed $/$ siliquae $(0.420), 1000$ seed weight ( 0.541 ) and yield/plant ( 0.570 ). Hossain (1988) reported negative association of plant height with length of siliquae which also support the present experiment in relation with correlation matrix.

### 4.4.3.4 Number of Primary Branches/Plant

Number of primary branches/plant established highly significant positive association with days to maturity ( 0.530 ), plant height ( 0.530 ) and positive insignificant association with seed/siliquae $(0.179)$ and siliquae/plant $(0.063), 1000$ seed weight $(0.046)$ and yield/plant (0.274). The results revealed that with the increase in number of primary branches/plant the trait plant height and days to maturity increased considerably. On the other hand, number of primary branches/plant showed negative correlation with secondary branches/plant ( -0.402 ) Negative association of number of primary branches/plant and 1000 seed weight has also been reported by Kumar and Yadava (1982) which also support with the findings of the presents study.

Table 30. Correlation matrix among the yield and yield contributing characters of 13 genotypes of Brassica rapa

| Characters | Days to $50 \%$ flowering | Days to maturity | Plant height (cm) | Primary branches! plant (No.) | Secondary branches/ plant (No.) | Length of siliquae $(\mathrm{cm})$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Seed/ } \\ \text { siliquae }\end{array}$ (No.) | Siliquae/ plant (No.) | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \text { seed } \\ & \text { weight } \\ & \text { (g) } \end{aligned}$ | Yield/ <br> plant (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days to 50\% flowering | 1.00 | 0.663** | 0.678** | 0.304 | -0.861** | 0.118 | 0.595** | $-0.746^{* *}$ | 0.438** | 0.415** |
| Days to maturity |  | 1.00 | 0.594** | 0.580** | -0.692** | -0.045 | 0.276 | -0,515** | 0.142 | 0.123 |
| Plant height (cm) |  |  | 1.00 | 0.530** | -0.748** | -0.169 | 0.420** | -0.430 ** | 0.541** | 0.570** |
| Primary branches/ plant (No.) |  |  |  | 1.00 | -0.402** | -0.123 | 0.179 | 0.063 | 0.046 | 0.274 |
| Secondary branches/ plant ( No . $)$ |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | -0.077 | -0.559** | 0.788** | -0.324* | -0.515** |
| Length of siliquae (cm) |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.011 | -0.069 | -0.496** | 0.243 |
| Seed/ siliquae (No.) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | -0.609** | $0.438^{* *}$ | 0.361* |
| Siliquae/ plant (No.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | -0.234 | -0.175 |
| 1000 seed weight (g) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.271 |
| Yield/plant (g) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |

** Significant at $1 \%$ level of probability

- Significant at $5 \%$ level of probability


### 4.4.3.5 Number of Secondary Branches/Piant

Number of secondary branches/plant showed significant positive association with siliquae/plant $(0.788)$. The results revealed that highest numbers of secondary branches are the ultimate result of maximum number of siliquae/plant. On the other hand, seed / siliquae $(-0.559), 1000$ seed weight $(-0.324)$ and yield/plant $(-0.515)$ showed the negative significant correlation on number of secondary branches/plant. This suggested that the genotypes with highest number of secondary branches/plant were more potential to apportion for their photosynthates to siliquae formation and maximum siliquae/plant.

### 4.4.3.6 Number of Siliquae/Plant

Number of siliquae/plant had significant negative association ( -0.746 ) with days to $50 \%$ flowering, ( 0.515 ) for days to maturity, $(-0.430)$ for plant height and $(-0.609)$ for seed / siliquae production. In addition, number of siliquae/plant showed simple significant negative association with 1000 seed weight $(-0.234)$ and for yield/plant $(-0.175)$. The results revealed that highest number of seed/siliquae never ensured though tall plants. Number of siliquae/plant showed the positive insignificant relation with number of primary branches/plant (0.063). Hossain (1988) reported negative association of plant height with length of siliquae which also support the present experiment in relation with correlation.

### 4.4.3.7 Length of Siliquae (cm)

Length of siliquae showed positive association with days to $50 \%$ flowering (0.118) but insignificant association was found with seed/siliquae ( 0.011 ) and yield/plant $(0,243)$. On the other hand, length of siliquae showed significant negative correlation with 1000 seed weight (-0.496). Negative association of length of siliquae with 1000 seed weight has also been reported by Kumar and Yadava (1982) which also support with the findings of the presents experiment.

### 4.4.3.8 Number of Seed/Siliquae

Number of seed/siliquae showed significant positive association with plant height (0.420), 1000 seed weight $(0.438)$ and yield/plant ( 0.361 ). The results revealed that number of seed/siliquae would increase considerably more 1000 seed weight. On the other hand the negative significant correlation was found in siliquae/plant ( -0.609 ) and for secondary branches/plant ( -0.559 ).

### 4.4.3.9 1000 Seed Weight (g)

1000 seed weight showed significant negative association with secondary branches $(-0,324)$ and length of siliquae $(-0,496) .1000$ seed weight showed the positive insignificant relation with days to maturity ( 0.142 ), number of primary branches/plant ( 0.046 ) and yield/plant (0.271)

### 4.4.3.10 Yield/Plant (g)

Yield/plant confirmed highly significant positive association with days to flowering (0.415), plant height ( 0.570 ) and number of seed/siliquae (0.361) but insignificant association with days to maturity ( 0.123 ), number of primary branches/plant ( 0.274 ), siliquae length ( 0.243 ) and for 1000 seed weight ( 0.271 ). On the other hand, yield/plant showed significant negative correlation with secondary branches/plant $(-0.515)$ and insignificant negative relation with siliquae/pant $(-0,175)$.

### 4.4.4 Correlation Matrix for B. juncea

Correlation matrix analysis of the $B$. juncea was done to measure the communal relationship between eight different yield and yield contributing characters and to conclude the component characters on which selection could be based for enhancement in yield of the genotypes (Table 31).

### 4.4.4.1 Days to $\mathbf{5 0} \%$ Flowering

Days to $50 \%$ flowering showed significant positive association with days to maturity ( 0.725 ), plant height ( 0.689 ), siliquae/plant ( 0.383 ) and for yield/plant ( 0.395 ). The results discovered that early flowering plants produced tallest plant height; maximum days to maturity would increase considerably with more siliquae/plant. On the other hand primary branches/plant showed the negative significant correlation ( -0.307 ) on days to $50 \%$ flowering.

### 4.4.4.2 Days to Maturity

Days to maturity confirmed highly significant positive association with plant height (0.431) but insignificant association with siliquae/plant (0.085), 1000 seed weight ( 0.174 ) and yield/plant $(0.087)$. The results revealed that with the increase in days to maturity increase siliquae production also increase 1000 seed weight. On the other hand, days to maturity showed significant negative correlation ( -0.312 ) with primary branches/plant and insignificant negative relation with secondary branches/plant ( -0.011 ), length of siliquae (0.246 ) and seed/siliquae ( -0.077 ). Negative association of davs to maturity with plant height has also been reported by Kumar and Yadava (1982) which don't support with the findings of the presents experiment.

### 4.4.4.3 Plant Height

Plant height had significant positive association (0.523) with siliquae/plant, 1000 seed weight ( 0.422 ) and yield/plant ( 0.610 ) the genotypes suggesting that tall genotypes were not physiologically potential for siliquae production. Plant height showed the positive insignificant relation with primary and secondary branches/plant, (0.003 and 0.004 ), seed/siliquae and siliquae length ( 0.290 and 0.034 ). Hossain (1988) reported negative association of plant height with siliquae/plant which also supports the present experiment in relation with correlation matrix.

Table 31. Correlation matrix among the yield and yield contributing characters of 13 genotypes of $B$. juncea

| Characters | Days to <br> $50 \%$ <br> flowering | Days to <br> maturity | Plant <br> height <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Primary <br> branches/ <br> plant <br> (No.) | Secondary <br> branches/ <br> plant <br> (No.) | Length <br> of <br> siliquae <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Seed/ <br> siliquae <br> $($ No.) | Siliquae/ <br> plant <br> (No.) | 1000 <br> seed <br> weight <br> $(\mathrm{g})$ | Yield/ <br> plant (g) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days to $50 \%$ flowering | 1.00 | $0.725^{* *}$ | $0.689^{* *}$ | $-0.307^{*}$ | 0.255 | -0.093 | 0.135 | $0.383^{*}$ | 0.174 | $0.395^{*}$ |
| Days to maturity |  | 1.00 | $0.431^{* *}$ | $-0.312^{*}$ | -0.011 | -0.246 | -0.077 | 0.085 | 0.174 | 0.087 |
| Plant height (cm) |  |  | 1.00 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.034 | 0.290 | $0.523^{* *}$ | $0.422^{* *}$ | $0.610^{* *}$ |
| Primary branches/ plant (No.) |  |  |  | 1.00 | -0.253 | -0.076 | $0.460^{* *}$ | 0.111 | -0.130 | -0.110 |
| Secondary branches/ plant (No.) |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.088 | 0.202 | $0.569^{* *}$ | $-0.307^{*}$ | 0.161 |
| Length of siliquae (cm) |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | -0.182 | 0.146 | $0.617^{* *}$ | 0.255 |
| Seed/ siliquae (No.) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | $0.490^{* *}$ | -0.123 | $0.396^{*}$ |
| Siliquae/ plant (No.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | -0.061 | $0.679^{* *}$ |
| 1000 seed weight (g) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | $0.320^{*}$ |
| Yield/plant (g) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |

** Significant at $1 \%$ level of probability

* Significant at $5 \%$ level of probability


### 4.4.4.4 Number of Primary Branches/Plant

Number of primary branches/plant established highly significant positive association with seed/siliquae ( 0.460 ) and positive insignificant association with plant height ( 0.003 ) and siliquae/plant ( 0.111 ). The results expressed that with the increase in number of primary branches/plant increase plant height and increase considerably more secondary siliquae/plant. On the other hand, number of primary branches/plant showed negative significant correlation with days to flowering and days to maturity ( -0.307 and -0.312 ). Negative association of number of primary branches/plant and days to flowering has also been reported by Kumar and Yadava (1982) which also support with the findings of the presents study.

### 4.4.4.5 Number of Secondary Branches/Piant

Number of secondary branches/plant showed significant positive association with siliquae/plant ( 0.569 ). The results revealed that highest numbers of secondary branches are the ultimate result of maximum number of siliquae/plant. On the other hand, days to maturity $(-0.011)$, primary branches/plant ( -0.253 ) showed negative insignificant differences while 1000 seed weight $(-0,307)$ showed the negative significant correlation on number of secondary branches/plant. This suggested that genotypes with highest number of secondary branches/plant were more potential to apportion their photosynthates to siliquae formation and maximum siliquae/plant.

### 4.4.4.6 Number of Siliquae/Plant

Number of siliquae/plant had negative insignificant association ( -0.061 ) with 1000 seed weight among the genotypes studied. Number of siliquae/plant showed significantly positive relation with days to flowering ( 0.383 ), plant height ( 0.523 ), secondary branches/plant ( 0.569 ), seed/siliquae ( 0.490 ) and yield/plant ( 0.679 ). Hossain (1988) reported negative
association of plant height with length of siliquae which also support the present experiment in relation with correlation.

### 4.4.4.7 Length of Siliquae ( cm )

Length of siliquae showed highly significant positive association with 1000 seed weight ( 0.617 ) but insignificant association was found with plant height ( 0.034 ), secondary branches/plant ( 0.088 ), siliquae/plant ( 0.146 ) and yield/plant ( 0.255 ). On the other hand, length of siliquae showed negative correlation with days to flowering and maturity ( -0.093 and -0.246$)$ and primary branches/plant ( -0.076 ).

### 4.4.4.8 Number of Seed/Siliquae

Number of seed/siliquae showed significant positive association with primary branches/plant ( 0.460 ) , siliquae/plant ( 0.490 ) and yield/plant ( 0.396 ). The results revealed that number of seed/siliquae would increase considerably more seed yield. On the other hand the negative association was recorded in days to maturity ( -0.077 ).

### 4.4.4.9 1000 Seed Weight (g)

1000 seed weight showed significant negative association with secondary branches $(-0.307)$ while positive significant association was observed for plant height ( 0.422 ), length of siliquae (0.617) and yield/plant (0.320).

### 4.4.4.10 Yield/Plant (g)

Yield/plant confirmed highly significant positive association with days to flowering (0.395), plant height $(0.610)$, seed/siliquae ( 0.396 ) siliquae/plant ( 0.679 ) and 1000 seed weight ( 0.320 ) but insignificant association with days to maturity ( 0.087 ) secondary branches/plant ( 0.161 ) length of siliquae $(0.255)$. On the other hand, yield/plant showed negative correlation with primary branches/plant $(-0.110)$.

### 4.5. PATH CO-EFFICIENT ANALYSIS

### 4.5.1 Path Co-efficient Analysis for Brassica

Path co-efficient analysis screens the components of correlation co-efficient into direct and indirect effects and indicates the relationship in more meaningful way. Path co-efficient were analyzed using the genotypic correlation only. The results of the path co-efficient using genotypic correlation are presented in Table 32.

### 4.5.1.1 Yield/plant vs. Days to $50 \%$ Flowering

Path analysis revealed that days to $50 \%$ flowering had negative direct effect $(-0.184)$ on yield/plant (Table 28). It showed negligible negative indirect effect through branches/plant and pods/plant. Days to $50 \%$ flowering showed positive indirect effect through number of primary and secondary branches/plant, seed/siliquae. Yadava et al. (1994), Deshmark et al. (1986) reported direct effect of days of $50 \%$ flowering on yield/plant.

### 4.5.1.2 Yield/plant vs. Days to Maturity

Days to maturity showed positive direct effect ( 0.205 ) on yield/plant (Table 28). The positive correlation between days to maturity and yield was probably due to cumulative indirect influence of negatively associated traits. However, days to maturity had indirect negative effect also through days to flowering, plant height, primary and secondary branches. Yadava et al. (1984) founds days to maturity exerting significant positive direct and indirect effects on pod yield/plant. Similar direct positive effect of days to maturity on plant yield was reported by Kumar and Yadava (1978) and Hossain (1988).

### 4.5.1.3 Yield/plant vs. Plant Height

Plant height had positive direct effect ( 0.405 ) on yield and negative indirect effects through days to flowering, primary branches/plant (Table 28). The correlation coefficient between yield/plant and plant height was also negative due to the negative contribution of others
characters towards yield. On the contrary, plant height had positive contribution via days to $50 \%$ flowering, days to maturity. Oleagineux (1983) also showed that plant height had a direct negative effect on plant yield.

### 4.5.1.4 Yield/plant $v$ s. Number of Primary Branches/Plant

Path analysis showed that number of primary branches/plant had positive direct effect ( 0.311 ) on yield/plant (Table 28). It showed negligible negative indirect effect through secondary branches/plant, seed/siliquae. Number of primary branches/plant showed positive indirect effect through days to flowering and days to maturity, length of siliquae and siliquae/plant.

### 4.5.1.5 YieId/plant vs. Number of Secondary Branches/Plant

Number of secondary branches/plant had positive direct effect (0.119) on yield and negative indirect effects through days to maturity, length of siliquae. On the contrary, number of secondary branches/plant had positive contribution via days to flowering, number of primary branches/plant, seed/siliquae, siliquae/plant and 1000 seed weight.

### 4.5.1.6 Yield/plant vs. Length of Siliquae (cm)

Length of siliquae had negative direct effect $(-0,378)$ on yield and negative indirect effects through days to flowering and maturity, secondary branches/plant. On the other hand, length of siliquae had positive contribution via plant height, primary branches/plant, and seed/siliquae

### 4.5.1.7 Yield/plant us. Number of Seed/Siliquae

Path analysis showed that number of seed/siliquae had positive direct effect $(0.504)$ on yield/plant (Table 28). It showed negligible negative indirect effect through primary branches/plant, length of siliquae and siliquae/plant, while positive indirect effect through days to flowering and days to maturity, plant height and secondary branches/plant observed.

Table 32. Partitioning of genetic correlation into direct (bold) and indirect effects of yield contributing characters on yield of 41 genotypes of Brassica by path analysis

| Characters | Days <br> $50 \%$ <br> flowering | Days to <br> maturity | Plant <br> height <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Primary <br> branches/ <br> plant (No.) | Secondary <br> branches/ <br> plant (No.) | Length <br> of <br> siliquae <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Seed/ <br> siliquae <br> $($ No. $)$ | Siliquae/ <br> plant <br> (No.) | 1000 <br> seed <br> weight <br> $(\mathrm{g})$ | Yield/ <br> plant <br> $(\mathrm{g})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Days to 50\% flowering | -0.184 | 0.037 | 0.063 | -0.058 | -0.012 | 0.015 | -0.042 | 0.085 | 0.038 | -0.058 |
| Days to maturity | -0.064 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0 5}$ | -0.039 | -0.013 | -0.008 | -0.022 | -0.084 | 0.006 | -0.073 | -0.092 |
| Plant height (cm) | -0.092 | 0.047 | $\mathbf{0 . 4 0 5}$ | -0.029 | 0.055 | 0.042 | 0.026 | 0.039 | 0.010 | 0.503 |
| Primary branches/ plant (No.) | 0.016 | 0.082 | 0.029 | $\mathbf{0 . 3 1 1}$ | -0.038 | 0.075 | -0.038 | 0.018 | -0.249 | 0.206 |
| Secondary branches/ plant (No.) | 0.045 | -0.078 | -0.022 | 0.030 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 1 9}$ | -0.068 | 0.032 | 0.019 | 0.041 | 0.118 |
| Length of siliquae (cm) | -0.008 | -0.068 | 0.025 | 0.078 | -0.045 | -0.378 | 0.023 | 0.051 | 0.045 | -0.277 |
| Seed/ siliquae (No.) | 0.098 | 0.047 | 0.025 | -0.088 | 0.099 | -0.087 | $\mathbf{0 . 5 0 4}$ | -0.055 | -0.240 | 0.303 |
| Siliquae/ plant (No.) | 0.012 | -0.078 | -0.033 | 0.011 | 0.032 | 0.095 | 0.024 | $\mathbf{0 . 6 2 8}$ | -0.033 | 0.658 |
| 1000 seed weight (g) | 0.077 | 0.033 | 0.112 | 0.096 | 0.032 | -0.088 | 0.069 | 0.092 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 2 6 8}$ | 0.155 |

Residual effect $=0.4533$

### 4.5.1.8 Yield/plant v. Number of Siliquae/Plant

Number of siliquae/plant had positive direct effect ( 0.628 ) on yield and negative indirect effects through days to maturity, plant height and 1000 seed weight. On the other hand, number of siliquae/plant had positive contribution via days to flowering, primary and secondary branches/plant, length of siliquae and seed/siliquae.

### 4.5.1.9 Yield/plant vs. 1000 Seed Weight (g)

Path analysis showed that 1000 seed weight had negative direct effect $(-0.268)$ on yield/plant (Table 28). It showed negligible negative indirect effect through length of siliquae and positive indirect effect through days to flowering and days to maturity, plant height, primary and secondary branches/plant siliquae/plant and seeds/siliquae.

### 4.5.2 Path Co-efficient Analysis for B. napus

Path co-efficient analysis screens the components of correlation co-efficient into direct and indirect effects and indicates the relationship in more meaningful way. Path co-efficient were analyzed using the genotypic correlation only. The results of the path co-efficient using genotypic correlation are presented in Table 33.

### 4.5.2.1 Yield/plant vs. Days to $50 \%$ Flowering

Path analysis revealed that days to $50 \%$ flowering had positive direct effect ( 0.398 ) on yield/plant (Table 29). It showed negligible negative indirect effect through primary and secondary branches/plant, seed/siliquae and 1000 seed weight. Days to $50 \%$ flowering showed positive indirect effect through days to maturity, plant height, length of siliquae and siliquae/plant. Yadava et al. (1994), Deshmark et al. (1986) reported direct effect of days of $50 \%$ flowering on yield/plant.

### 4.5.2.2 Yield/plant vs. Days to Maturity

Days to maturity showed negative direct effect $(-0.175)$ on yield/plant (Table 29). The positive correlation between days to maturity and yield was probably due to cumulative indirect influence of negatively associated traits. However, days to maturity had indirect negative effect also through plant height, secondary branches/plant, seed/siliquae and 1000 seed weight.

### 4.5.2.3 Yield/plant vs. Plant Height

Plant height had positive direct effect ( 0.388 ) on yield and negative indirect effects through days to flowering, primary branches/plant, length of siliquae and siliquae/plant (Table 29). On the contrary, plant height had positive contribution via days to maturity, secondary branches and 1000 seed weight.

### 4.5.2.4 Yield/plant vs. Number of Primary Branches/Plant

Path analysis showed that number of primary branches/plant had negative direct effect (0.125 ) on yield/plant (Table 29). It showed negligible negative indirect effect through secondary branches/plant, seed/siliquae and 1000 seed weight. Number of primary branches/plant showed positive indirect effect through days to flowering and days to maturity, plant height, length of siliquae and siliquae/plant.

### 4.5.2.5 Yield/plant vs. Number of Secondary Branches/Plant

Number of secondary branches/plant had positive direct effect $(0.228)$ on yield and negative indirect effects through days to flowering and maturity, plant height, and length of siliquae. On the contrary, number of secondary branches/plant had positive contribution via number of primary branches/plant, seed/siliquae, siliquae/plant and 1000 seed weight.

Table 33. Partitioning of genetic correlation into direct (bold) and indirect effects of yield contributing characters on yield of $\mathbf{1 5}$ genotypes of $B$. napus by path analysis

| Characters | Days to <br> $50 \%$ <br> flowering | Days to <br> maturity | Plant <br> height <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Primary <br> branches/ <br> plant (No.) | Secondary <br> branches/ <br> plant (No.) | Length <br> of <br> siliquae <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Seed/ <br> siliquae <br> $($ No. $)$ | Siliquae/ <br> plant <br> $(\mathrm{No})$. | 1000 <br> seed <br> weight <br> $(\mathrm{g})$ | Yield/ <br> plant <br> $(\mathrm{g})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days to $50 \%$ flowering | $\mathbf{0 . 3 9 8}$ | 0.303 | 0.088 | -0.198 | -0.087 | 0.007 | -0.240 | 0.142 | -0.138 | 0.275 |
| Days to maturity | 0.123 | -0.175 | -0.101 | 0.214 | -0.008 | 0.322 | -0.084 | 0.151 | -0.069 | $0.373^{* *}$ |
| Plant height (cm) | -0.119 | 0.278 | 0.388 | -0.094 | 0.166 | -0.187 | 0.002 | -0.005 | 0.010 | $0.439^{* *}$ |
| Primary branches/ plant (No.) | 0.005 | 0.038 | 0.122 | -0.125 | -0.108 | 0.375 | -0.015 | 0.205 | -0.031 | $0.466^{* *}$ |
| Secondary branches/ plant (No.) | -0.032 | -0.018 | -0.111 | 0.145 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 2 8}$ | -0.006 | 0.021 | 0.007 | 0.020 | 0.254 |
| Length of siliquae (cm) | -0.011 | -0.007 | 0.205 | 0.177 | -0.046 | -0.342 | 0.178 | -0.001 | 0.199 | $0.352^{*}$ |
| Seed/ siliquae (No.) | 0.235 | 0.097 | -0.038 | -0.060 | 0.277 | -0.087 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 6 6}$ | -0.055 | -0.040 | $0.595^{* *}$ |
| Siliquae/ plant (No.) | -0.054 | -0.018 | -0.107 | 0.155 | -0.031 | 0.095 | 0.198 | $\mathbf{0 . 4 5 5}$ | -0.004 | $0.689^{* *}$ |
| 1000 seed weight (g) | 0.127 | 0.006 | 0.085 | -0.092 | 0.039 | -0.081 | 0.069 | 0.091 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 1 4}$ | $0.458^{* *}$ |

Residual effect $=0,4852$

* Significant at $1 \%$ level of probability
* Significant at $5 \%$ level of probability


### 4.5.2.6 Yield/plant vs. Length of Siliquae (cm)

Length of siliquae had negative direct effect $(-0.342)$ on yield and negative indirect effects through days to flowering and maturity, secondary branches/plant. On the other hand, length of siliquae had positive contribution via plant height, primary branches/plant, seed/siliquae and 1000 seed weight.

### 4.5.2.7 Yield/plant vs. Number of Seed/Siliquae

Path analysis showed that number of seed/siliquae had positive direct effect $(0.266)$ on yield/plant (Table 29). It showed negligible negative indirect effect through plant height, primary branches/plant, length of siliquae, siliquae/plant and 1000 seed weight and positive indirect effect through days to flowering and days to maturity, and secondary branches/plant.

### 4.5.2.8 Yield/plant ws. Number of Siliquae/Plant

Number of siliquae/plant had positive direct effect $(0.455)$ on yield and negative indirect effects through days to flowering and maturity, plant height, secondary branches/plant and 1000 seed weight. On the other hand, number of siliquae/plant had positive contribution via primary branches/plant, length of siliquae and seed/siliquae.

### 4.5.2.9 Yield/plant vs. 1000 Seed Weight (g)

Path analysis showed that 1000 seed weight had positive direct effect $(0.214)$ on yield/plant (Table 29). It showed negligible negative indirect effect through primary branches/plant, length of siliquae and positive indirect effect through days to flowering and days to maturity, plant height, secondary branches/plant seed/siliquae and siliquae/plant.

### 4.5.3 Path Co-efficient Analysis for B. rapa

Path co-efficient analysis screens the components of correlation co-efficient into direct and indirect effects and indicates the relationship in more meaningful way. Path co-efficient were
analyzed using the genotypic correlation only. The results of the path co-efficient using genotypic correlation are presented in Table 34.

### 4.5.3.1 Yield/plant vs. Days to $50 \%$ Flowering

Path analysis revealed that days to $50 \%$ flowering had positive direct effect (0.351) on yield/plant (Table 30). It showed negligible negative indirect effect through plant height, primary branches/plant and seed/siliquae. Days to $50 \%$ flowering showed positive indirect effect through days to maturity, number of secondary branches/plant, length of siliquae, siliquae/plant. Yadava et al. (1994), Deshmark et al. (1986) reported direct effect of days of $50 \%$ flowering on yield/plant.

### 4.5.3.2 Yield/plant vs. Days to Maturity

Days to maturity showed positive direct effect (0.339) on yield/plant (Table 30). The positive correlation between days to maturity and yield was probably due to cumulative indirect influence of negatively associated traits. However, days to maturity had indirect negative effect also through plant height, secondary branches/plant and 1000 seed weight. Yadava et al. (1984) founds days to maturity exerting significant positive direct and indirect effects on pod yield/plant. Similar direct positive effect of days to maturity on plant yield was reported by Kumar and Yadava (1978) and Hossain (1988).

### 4.5.3.3 Yield/plant vs. Plant Height

Plant height had negative direct effect ( 0.398 ) on yield and negative indirect effects through days to flowering, secondary branches/plant, and seed/siliquae (Table 30). The correlation coefficient between yield/plant and plant height was also negative due to the negative contribution of majority of characters towards yield. On the contrary, plant height had positive contribution via days to maturity, primary branches/plant. Oleagineux (1983) also showed that plant height had a direct negative effect on plant yield.

### 4.5.3.4 Yield/plant vs. Number of Primary Branches/Plant

Path analysis showed that number of primary branches/plant had positive direct effect ( 0.458 ) on yield/plant (Table 30). It showed negligible negative indirect effect through days to maturity, seed/siliquae, siliquae/plant, 1000 seed weight. Number of primary branches/plant showed positive indirect effect through days to flowering, plant height, secondary branches/plant and length of siliquae.

### 4.5.3.5 Yield/plant vs. Number of Secondary Branches/Plant

Number of secondary branches/plant had positive direct effect (0.331) on yield and negative indirect effects through days to maturity, plant height, length of siliquae and 1000 seed weight. On the contrary, number of secondary branches/plant had positive contribution via number of primary branches/plant, siliquae/plant.

### 4.5.3.6 Yield/plant ws. Length of Siliquae (cm)

Length of siliquae had negative direct effect $(-0.256)$ on yield and negative indirect effects through primary branches/plant and 1000 seed weight. On the other hand, length of siliquae had positive contribution via days to flowering and days to maturity, plant height, secondary branches/plant, seed/siliquae and siliquae/plant.

### 4.5.3.7 Yield/plant vs. Number of Seed/Siliquae

Path analysis showed that number of seed/siliquae had positive direct effect ( 0.129 ) on yield/plant (Table 30). It showed negligible negative indirect effect through days to flowering, plant height, primary branches/plant, length of siliquae, 1000 seed weight and positive indirect effect through days to maturity and secondary branches/plant, siliquae/plant.

Table 34. Partitioning of genetic correlation into direct (bold) and indirect effects of yield contributing characters on yield of 13 genotypes of Brassica rapa by path analysis

| Characters | Days to <br> $50 \%$ <br> flowering | Days to <br> maturity | Plant <br> height <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Primary <br> branches/ <br> plant (No.) | Secondary <br> branches/ <br> plant (No.) | Length <br> of <br> siliquae <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Seed/ <br> siliquae <br> (No.) | Siliquad/ <br> plant <br> (No.) | 1000 <br> seed <br> weight <br> $(\mathrm{g})$ | Yield/ <br> plant (g) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days to 50\% flowering | $\mathbf{0 . 3 5 1}$ | 0.297 | -0.214 | -0.110 | 0.058 | 0.251 | -0.194 | 0.211 | -0.235 | $0.415^{* *}$ |
| Days to maturity | 0.111 | $\mathbf{0 . 3 3 9}$ | -0.445 | 0.255 | -0.108 | 0.027 | 0.089 | 0.012 | -0.157 | 0.123 |
| Plant height (cm) | -0.258 | 0.308 | -0.398 | 0.147 | -0.117 | 0.397 | -0.142 | 0.245 | 0.388 | $0.570^{* *}$ |
| Primary branches/ plant (No.) | 0.118 | -0.145 | 0.184 | $\mathbf{0 . 4 5 8}$ | 0.089 | 0.375 | -0.238 | -0.318 | -0.249 | 0.274 |
| Secondary branches/ plant (No.) | 0.005 | -0.103 | -0.030 | 0.185 | 0.331 | -0.358 | -0.295 | 0.019 | -0.269 | $-0.515^{* *}$ |
| Length of siliquae (cm) | 0.107 | 0.028 | 0.089 | -0.134 | 0.075 | -0.256 | 0.214 | 0.129 | -0.009 | 0.243 |
| Seed/ siliquae (No.) | -0.018 | 0.187 | -0.168 | -0.158 | 0.199 | -0.030 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 2 9}$ | 0.355 | -0.135 | $0.361^{*}$ |
| Siliquae/ plant (No.) | -0.007 | 0.147 | -0.070 | -0.311 | 0.022 | -0.277 | 0.082 | $\mathbf{0 . 4 0 7}$ | -0.168 | -0.175 |
| 1000 seed weight (g) | 0.128 | -0.052 | 0.222 | 0.055 | -0.006 | -0.031 | 0.169 | 0.145 | $-\mathbf{- 0 . 3 5 9}$ | 0.271 |

Residual effect $=0.5833$
** Significant at $1 \%$ level of probability
*Significant at $5 \%$ level of probability

### 4.5.3.8 Yield/plant vs. Number of Siliquae/Plant

Number of siliquae/plant had positive direct effect (0.407) on yield and negative indirect effects through days to flowering, plant height, primary branches/plant, length of siliquae and 1000 seed weight. On the other hand, number of siliquae/plant had positive contribution via days to maturity, secondary branches/plant, and seed/siliquae.

### 4.5.3.9 Yield/plant vs. 1000 Seed Weight (g)

Path analysis showed that 1000 seed weight had negative direct effect $(-0.359)$ on yield/plant (Table 30). It showed negligible negative indirect effect through days to maturity, length of siliquae and positive indirect effect through days to flowering and, plant height, primary branches/plant seed/siliquae and siliquae/plant.

### 4.5.4 Path Co-efficient Analysis for B. juncea

Path co-efficient analysis screens the components of correlation co-efficient into direct and indirect effects and indicates the relationship in more momentous way. Path co-efficient were analyzed using the genotypic correlation only. The results of the path co-efficient using genotypic correlation are presented in Table 35.

### 4.5.4.1 Yield/plant vs. Days to 50\% Flowering

Path analysis revealed that days to $50 \%$ flowering had negative direct effect $(-0.245)$ on yield/plant (Table 31). It showed negligible negative indirect effect through secondary branches/plant and 1000 seed weight. Days to $50 \%$ flowering showed positive indirect effect through plant height, primary branches/plant, length of siliquae and seed/siliquae. Yadava et al. (1994), Deshmark et al. (1986) reported direct effect of days of $50 \%$ flowering on yield/plant.

### 4.5.4.2 Yield/plant v. Days to Maturity

Days to maturity showed negative direct effect ( -0.165 ) on yield/plant (Table 31). However, days to maturity had indirect negative effect also through plant height, secondary branches/plant, siliquae/plant and 1000 seed weight. On the other hand positive indirect effect also recorded through days to flowering, primary branches/plant, length of siliquae, seed/siliquae.

### 4.5.4.3 Yield/plant vs. Plant Height

Plant height had negative direct effect $(-0.289)$ on yield and negative indirect effects through days to flowering and length of siliquae (Table 31). On the contrary, plant height had positive contribution via days to maturity, primary and secondary branches/plant, seed/siliquae, siliquae/plant and 1000 seed weight. Oleagineux (1983) also showed that plant height had a direct negative effect on plant yield.

### 4.5.4.4 Yield/plant vs. Number of Primary Branches/Plant

Path analysis showed that number of primary branches/plant had positive direct effect ( 0.171 ) on yield/plant (Table 31). It showed negligible negative indirect effect through days to maturity, secondary branches/plant, seed/siliquae, siliquae/plant and 1000 seed weight. Number of primary branches/plant showed positive indirect effect through days to maturity, length of siliquae and seed/siliquae and 1000 seed weight.

### 4.5.4.5 Yield/plant vs. Number of Secondary Branches/Plant

Number of secondary branches/plant had negative direct effect $(-0.221)$ on yield and negative indirect effects through days to flowering, plant height, primary branches/plant, and siliquae/plant. On the contrary, number of secondary branches/plant had positive contribution via days to maturity, length of siliquae, seed/siliquae and 1000 seed weight.

Table 35. Partitioning of genetic correlation into direct (bold) and indirect effects of yield contributing characters on yield of 13 genotypes of $B$. juncea by path analysis

| Characters | Days to 50\% flowering | Days to maturity | Plant height (cm) | Primary branches/ <br> plant <br> (No.) | Secondary branches/ plant (No.) | Lengh of siliquae (cm) | Seed/ siliquae (No.) | Siliquae/ plant (No.) | 1000 seed weight (g) | Yield/ <br> plant <br> (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days to 50\% flowering | -0.245 | -0.121 | 0.217 | 0.009 | -0.202 | 0.321 | 0.196 | 0.235 | -0.015 | 0.395* |
| Days to maturity | 0.129 | -0.165 | -0.111 | 0.210 | -0.042 | 0.081 | 0.209 | -0.132 | -0.092 | 0.087 |
| Plant height (cm) | -0.151 | 0.012 | -0.289 | 0.143 | 0.147 | -0,015 | 0.321 | 0.288 | 0.154 | 0.610** |
| Primary branches/ plant (No.) | 0.247 | -0.027 | 0.133 | 0.171 | -0.192 | 0.033 | -0.244 | -0.082 | -0.149 | -0.110 |
| Secondary branches/plant (No.) | -0.086 | 0.129 | -0.147 | -0.168 | -0.221 | 0.235 | 0.309 | -0.055 | 0.165 | 0.161 |
| Length of siliquae (cm) | 0.111 | -0.032 | 0,122 | 0.113 | -0.107 | -0.155 | 0.068 | 0.174 | -0.039 | 0.255 |
| Seed/ siliquae (No.) | -0.042 | 0.149 | 0.269 | -0.126 | 0.237 | -0.105 | 0.309 | -0,055 | -0.240 | 0.396* |
| Siliquae/ plant (No.) | 0.055 | 0.031 | -0.079 | 0.289 | 0.139 | 0.095 | -0.228 | 0.425 | -0.048 | 0.679** |
| 1000 seed weight (g) | 0.147 | -0,104 | 0.142 | -0,030 | 0.047 | -0.202 | 0.031 | 0.112 | 0.177 | 0.320* |

Residual effect $=0,3985$
** Significant at $1 \%$ level of probability

- Significant at $5 \%$ level of probability


### 4.5.4.6 Yield/plant vs. Length of Siliquae (cm)

Length of siliquae had negative direct effect $(-0.155)$ on yield and negative indirect effects through days to maturity, secondary branches/plant and 1000 seed weight. On the other hand, length of siliquae had positive contribution via days to flowering, plant height, primary branches/plant, seed/siliquae and siliquae/plant.

### 4.5.4.7 Yield/plant vs. Number of Seed/Siliquae

Path analysis showed that number of seed/siliquae had positive direct effect ( 0.309 ) on yield/plant (Table 31). It showed negligible negative indirect effect through days to flowering, primary branches/plant, length of siliquae, siliquae/plant, 1000 seed weight and positive indirect effect through days to maturity, secondary branches/plant.

### 4.5.4.8 Yield/plant vs. Number of Siliquae/Plant

Number of siliquae/plant had positive direct effect (0.425) on yield and negative indirect effects through plant height, seed/siliquae and 1000 seed weight. On the other hand, number of siliquae/plant had positive contribution via days to flowering and maturity, primary and secondary branches/plant and length of siliquac.

### 4.5.4.9 Yieid/plant us. 1000 Seed Weight (g)

Path analysis showed that 1000 seed weight had positive direct effect ( 0.177 ) on yield/plant (Table 31). It showed negligible negative indirect effect through days to maturity, primary branches/plant, length of siliquae and positive indirect effect through days to flowering, plant height, secondary branches/plant, seed/siliquae and siliquae/plant.


## Chapter V

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

A field experiment was conducted in the Genetics and Plant Breeding experimental field of Sher-e Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, Bangladesh to study the morphological characterization and genetic divergence in oleiferous Brassica. In this research work, each of the oliferous Brassica genotypes was grown in the 2004-2005 cropping season. The 41 genotypes used in this study were not under single mustard rape seed species. They showed wide variation and thus were categorized under three cultivated species - B. rapa, B, napus and $B$. juncea based on the morphological characteristics. Data on yield and yield contributing characters were recorded. Analytical results of the genotypes have been estimated under different sections of phenotypic and genotypic variability, co-efficient of variation, heritability, genetic advance, genetic diversity, correlation co-efficient among different important yield contributing characters and also direct and indirect effect of yield related traits on yield.

The genotypes of three different species showed greater diversity. They were grouped into 6 clusters. Many of the groups comprise of the genotypes of the three different species. There is diversity among the genotypes of each species. On the other hand, the inclusion of genotypes of 3 species into the same group showed the close relationships among the genotypes. This closeness was probably due to the presence of AA genome in all the three species as common. Cluster VI was the largest cluster comprising of 6 genotypes followed by cluster IV with 3 genotypes in B. napus. Cluster II had the highest intra-cluster distance (0.789) followed by cluster VI ( 0.666 ). In B. rapa Cluster III and IV was the largest cluster comprising of 4 genotypes followed by cluster II with 2 genotypes. Cluster II had the highest intra-cluster distance $(0.901)$ followed by cluster III $(0.666)$. Inter cluster distance was maximum (8.912) between clusters I and IV, followed by clusters II and III (7.526). In
B. juncea Cluster I had the highest intra-cluster distance ( 0.779 ) while cluster II and VI had the lowest $(0.00)$ or no intra cluster distance. Inter cluster distance was maximum (8.912) between clusters I and IV, followed by clusters II and V (8.332).

Maximum days to $50 \%$ flowering (51.33) was recorded in genotype B. napus BD-7788 and BD-7789 and the minimum days to $50 \%$ flowering ( 38.00 ) was recorded in the genotypes BD-9102 and BD-7836. The highest yield/plant ( 8.95 g ) was recorded in genotype BD-7789 and the lowest yield/plant ( 0.92 g ) was recorded in the genotypes BD-7788. In B. rapa data on yield and yield contributing characters showed significant difference among the genotypes. Maximum and minimum days to flowering were recorded in the genotypes SAUYC and BD-7102 (44 and 27 days) respectively. The highest yield/plant ( 7.23 g ) was recorded in genotype SAU-YC and the lowest yield/plant ( 2.31 g ) was recorded in the genotype BD-9061. Results of 13 genotypes of B. juncea have been predictable under different subdivision. Maximum days to $50 \%$ flowering (49.33) was recorded in genotype BD-8884 and the minimum days to $50 \%$ flowering ( 35.00 ) was recorded in the genotypes BD-7104. Maximum days to maturity ( 88.00 days) were recorded in B. juncea genotypes BD-884 and minimum days to maturity ( 78.00 days) were obtained from the genotype BD7132. The highest yield/plant ( 8.09 g ) was recorded in genotype BD-8884 and the lowest yield/plant ( 4.01 g ) was recorded in the genotypes BD-7138.

The phenotypic variance of each of characters was higher than respective genotypic variance showing the minimum role of environment on these characters. High heritability with low genetic advance was observed for the characters days to $50 \%$ flowering, days to maturity, primary branches/plant, 1000 -seed weight and yield/plant. In B. napus the phenotypic variance for days to $50 \%$ flowering (25.56) was slightly higher than the genotypic variance (16.99) which was supported by slender difference between phenotypic ( $11.38 \%$ ) and genotypic ( $9.28 \%$ ) co-efficient of variation. The phenotypic variance for 1000 seed weight
(0.159) was considerably higher than the genotypic variance ( 0.099 ) and the phenotypic and genotypic co-efficient of variations were $13.41 \%$ and $10.59 \%$, respectively. Days of $50 \%$ flowering showed high heritability ( $66.51 \%$ ) with genetic advance ( $8.88 \%$ ) and genetic advance in percentage of mean (19.97). High heritability ( $89.02 \%$ ) coupled with low genetic advance ( $4.853 \%$ ) and high genetic advance in percentage of mean (250.41) was recorded in respect of yield/plant.

In B. rapa the phenotypic variance for days to $50 \%$ flowering (48.35) was slightly higher than the genotypic variance (43.385) which was supported by narrow difference between phenotypic ( $18.753 \%$ ) and genotypic (17.766\%) co-efficient of variation. Days of $50 \%$ flowering showed high heritability (89.729\%) with genetic advance ( $16.471 \%$ ) and genetic advance in percentage of mean (44.423). High heritability (49.16\%) coupled with low genetic advance ( $2.19 \%$ ) and high genetic advance in percentage of mean (44.72) was recorded in respect of yield/plant.

On the other hand in B. juncea the phenotypic variation for days to flowering (24.58) was slightly higher than the genotypic variance (14.89) and phenotypic (12.56\%) and genotypic $(9.77 \%)$ co-efficient of variation. The phenotypic variance (3.393) was higher than the genotypic variance ( 0.964 ) and narrow difference between phenotypic ( $33.30 \%$ ) and genotypic ( $17.75 \%$ ) co-efficient of variation for yield/plant. Days of $50 \%$ flowering showed high heritability $(60.59 \%)$ with genetic advance $(7.930 \%)$ and genetic advance in percentage of mean (20.084). High heritability $(28.41 \%)$ coupled with low genetic advance $(1.382 \%)$ and high genetic advance in percentage of mean (24.98) was recorded in respect of yield/plant.

Days to $50 \%$ flowering showed significant positive association with days to maturity ( 0.663 ), plant height ( 0.678 ), seed/siliqua ( 0.595 ), 1000 seed weight ( 0.438 ) and yield/plant ( 0.415 ). Yield/plant confirmed highly significant positive association with days to flowering (0.415),
plant height ( 0.570 ) and seed/siliqua ( 0.361 ) but insignificant association with days to maturity (0.123), primary branches/plant (0.27). Days to $50 \%$ flowering showed significant positive association with days to maturity ( 0.725 ), plant height ( 0.689 ) and siliqua/plant (0.383). Yieid/plant confirmed highly significant positive association with days to flowering (0.395), plant height ( 0.610 ), seed/siliqua ( 0.396 ) siliqua/plant ( 0.679 ) and 1000 seed weight (0.320). Days to $50 \%$ flowering showed significant positive association with days to maturity (0.742) and plant height ( 0.362 ). Days to maturity confirmed highly significant positive association with plant height ( 0.534 ) and primary branches/plant ( 0.391 ) and yield/plant but insignificant association with siliqua/plant (0.188). Yield/plant confirmed highly significant positive association with days to maturity (0.373) plant height (0.439) and primary branches/plant (0.466), length of siliqua (0.352), seed/siliqua (0.595), siliqua/plant ( 0.689 ) and 1000 seed weight ( 0.458 ). In B. napus Path analysis revealed that days to $50 \%$ flowering had positive direct effect ( 0.398 ) on yield/plant. Path analysis revealed that days to $50 \%$ flowering had negative direct effect $(-0.245)$ on $B$. juncea yield/plant. Path analysis revealed that days to $50 \%$ flowering had positive direct effect ( 0.351 ) on B. rapa yield/plant.

Considering the situation of the present experiment, further studies in the following areas may be suggested:

1. Such study is needed in different agro-ecological zones (AEZ) of Bangladesh for regional adaptability and other performance
2. Wide crossing should be possible between different group and intra specific crossing should be possible between same group
3. If we select the parents from cluster II \& IV then maximum heterosis will be manifested
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## APPENDIX

Appendix I. Monthly average temperature, relative humidity and total rainfall of the experimental site during the period from November 2005 to March 2006

| Month | Air temperature $\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { RH }(\%) \\ 9 \mathrm{am}\end{array}\right.$ |  |  | Total rainfall <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Sunshine <br> (hrs/day) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Maximum | Minimum | Mean | 208.9 |  |  |
| October 05 | 30.97 | 23.31 | 27.14 | 75.25 | 208 | 208.9 |
| November 05 | 29.45 | 18.63 | 24.04 | 69.52 | 00 | 233.2 |
| December 05 | 26.85 | 16.23 | 21.54 | 70.61 | 00 | 210.5 |
| January 06 | 24.52 | 13.86 | 19.19 | 68.46 | 04 | 194.1 |
| February | 28.88 | 17.98 | 23.43 | 61.04 | 03 | 221.5 |
| March | 29.55 | 18.25 | 23.90 | 61.51 | 24 | 225.4 |


[^0]:    Source: Krishi Projukti Hatboi, BARI, Joydebpur, Gazipur

[^1]:    ** Significant at $1 \%$ level of probability

[^2]:    ** Significant at $1 \%$ Ievel of probability

[^3]:    ** Significant at $1 \%$ level of probability

