DISTRIBUTION AND ABUNDENCE OF MANGO MEALYBUG IN BANGLADESH

MD. NAHIAN HOSSAIN



DEPERTMENT OF ENTOMOLOGY

SHER-E-BANGLA AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY

DHAKA-1207

JUNE, 2016

DISTRIBUTION AND ABUNDENCE OF MANGO MEALYBUG IN BANGLADESH

BY MD. NAHIAN HOSSAIN REGISTRATION NO. : 10-03919

A Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of Agriculture, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, In Partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN ENTOMOLOGY

SEMISTER: JANUARY-JUNE, 2016

Approved By:

Professor Dr. Md. Abdul Latif Supervisor Departmant of Entomology Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University Dr. Mst. Nur Mohal Akhtar Banu Co-Supervisor Departmant of Entomology Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University

Dr. Mst. Nur Mohal Akhtar Banu Chairman Examination Committee Departmant of Entomology Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University



DEPARTMENT OF ENTOMOLOGY

Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University Sher-e-Bangla Nagar, Dhaka-1207

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that thesis entitled, "**DISTRIBUTION AND ABUNDENCE OF MANGO MEALYBUG IN BANGLADESH**" submitted to the Faculty of Agriculture, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of **MASTER OF SCIENCE** in **ENTOMOLOGY**, embodies the result of a piece of bona fide research work carried out by **Md. Nahian Hossain**, **Registration No. 10-03919** under my supervision and guidance. No part of the thesis has been submitted for any other degree or diploma.

I further certify that such help or source of information, as has been availed of during the course of this investigation has duly been acknowledged.

Dated: June, 2016 Dhaka, Bangladesh Prof. Dr. Md. Abdul Latif Supervisor Department of Entomology Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University Dhaka-1207

DEDICATED TO MY BELOVED PARENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All praises and thanks are due to the supreme ruler of the universe, the almighty Allah for His grace bestowed upon the author for accomplishment of this research study.

The author expresses the deepest sense of respect and heartiest gratitude to his respectable supervisor Professor Dr. Md. Abdul Latif, Department of Entomology, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University for his efficient and scholastic guidance, constructive criticism, valuable suggestions and immense help to carry out the research work toward successful completion and preparation of the thesis by necessary corrections and modification through reviewing the text.

He wishes to express his sincere appreciation and heartfelt gratitude to his cosupervisor & Chairman Dr. Mst Nur Mohal Akhter Banu, Associate Professor, Department of Entomology, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, for her valuable suggestions, constant cooperation, inspiration and sincere advice to improve the quality of the thesis.

He is grateful to all those people who made a contribution to this research work although it is not possible to mention all by their names.

Last but not the least, the author express his immense indebtedness and the deepest sense of gratitude to his Mother Mst. Nasreen Begum, his father Late. Md. Tofazzal Hossain who sacrificed all their happinessn during the whole study period. Specially thanks to his younger Brother Md. Ashikur Rahaman, Friend Md. Golam Muktadir, Md. Mahamudul Hasan, Md. Atik. The author is grateful to all respondents in the study area for their cooperation and help in accomplishing the objectives of this research work. Finally, he wishes to extend his heartfelt thanks and gratitude to all of his relatives for their inspiration, blessing and encouragement that opened the gate of his higher study.

Date: June, 2016

The Author

DISTRIBUTION AND ABUNDENCE OF MANGO MEALYBUG IN BANGLADESH

MD. NAHIAN HOSSAIN

ABSTRACT

The present study was conducted in all over Bangladesh during the period from November, 2015 to May, 2016 to know distribution, host preference, damage severity, percent of infestation, infested plant part and percent of plant part infestation and damage severity of mango mealybug. Survey was done at 26 districts and 87 upazilla in Bangladesh. Mango mealybug was recorded from 17 upazilla out of 87 upazilla in Bangladesh. Jackfruit was common host at all locations followed by mango. Among all the host plant Mango and Jackfruit are most preferable for mango mealybug. Comparatively higher infestation occurred on fruit of jackfruit (about 86.72%) compared to inflorescence (about 73%) and branch (about 78.3%). In, case of mango, more infestation occurred on fruit of jackfruit (about 86.72%) and inflorescence (about 84.38%) of mango in most of locations.

CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGES
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	i
	ABSTRACT	ii
	LIST OF CONTENTS	iii
	LIST OF TABELS	iv-v
	LIST OF PLATES	vi
	LIST OF ACRONYMS	vii
Ι	INTRODUCTION	1-4
II	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	5-19
III	MATERIALS AND METHODS	20-26
IV	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	27-48
V	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	49-51
VI	REFERENCES	52-68
VII	APPENDICES	69

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLES	PAGES
01	My visiting District, Upazilla and where mealybug found	20-23
02	Visiting District, found mealybug Upazilla, Host plant	27
03	Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Jiban Nagar, Chuadanga	29
04	Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Moheshpur, Jhenaidha	30
05	Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Sarsha, Jessore	31
06	Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Dinajpur Sadar	33
07	Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Birampur, Dinajpur	35
08	Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Birol, Dinajpur	36
09	Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Fulbari, Dinajpur	37
10	Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Hakimpur, Dinajpur	39
11	Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Gazipur Sadar	40

TABLE NO.	TITLES	PAGES
12	Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Dhanbari, Tangail	41
13	Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Hatibandha, Lalmonirhat	41
14	Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Jamalpur Sadar	42
15	Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Boxijang Jamalpur	43
16	Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Baliadangi, Thakurgaon	44
17	Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Thakurgaon Sadar	46
18	Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Autowary, Panchagar	47
19	Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Boda, Panchagar	48

LIST OF PLATES

PLATE NO.	TITLES	PAGE
01	Interview of a farmer in Dinajpur	23
02	Interview of DD Horticulture in Jamalpur	23
03	Adult female mealybug	24
04	Adult male mealybug	24
05	Infested branch of jackfruit	24
06	Infested inflorescence of jackfruit	24
07	Infested inflorescence of litchi	24
08	Infested leaves of litchi	24
09	Infested plant parts of Guava	25
10	Infested plant parts of cotton	25
11	Infestation of Ata	25
12	Infestation of Coconut	25
13	Some of my visiting places	26

LIST OF ACRONYMS

- AEZ = Agro-Ecological zone
- *et al.* = And other
- Kg = Kilogram
- SAU = Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University
- Sl. =Serial
- No. = Number
- Max =Maximum
- Min = Minimum
- % = Percentage

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Mango (Mangifera indica L.) is the member of family Anacardiaceae. It is regarded and appreciated for its strong aroma, delicious taste and high nutritive value (Litz 1997, Singh 1968). This tropical fruit mango is being grown in more than 100 countries (Sauco 1997). Apart from that, it is also valuable ornamental and shade tree with medicinal virtues (Almeida D,1995). Mango (Mangifera *indica L*) the king of all fruits is cultivated in about 750000 hectares of land in Indian subcontinent. Annually, about 12.5 million tones of mangoes from an area of 2021 thousand hectares of mango orchard were harvested in Indian subcontinent (Sekhar et al., 2013). In Bangladesh, about 101811 Mt. ton Mangoes From an area of 61997 acres of mango orchard was harvested (BBS,2015). It is sold on local markets in Bangladesh and constitutes an important source of energy and nutrients (Vitamins A, C and D, amino acids, carbohydrates, fatty acids, minerals, organic acids, proteins). Mango is also a valuable ornamental tree and contributes to the protection of soil against erosion (Almeida D,1995). Insect pests have been regarded as an important constrain to garden fruits throughout the centuries (Hill,2008). A number of insect pest are known to attack the mango trees, which have economic importance (Tandon et al., 1985, Herren 1981, Giani 1968).

Insect pests are the major threat to underscore the mango production accounting for huge seasonal loss (Ishaq et al., 2004). Grossly 400 insects and non insect pests have been recorded from Indian subcontinents that have pest property. However, out of that thirty are obnoxious and serious pests to mango orchard (Kapadia, 2003). Application of newer brands of insecticides though in practice in large scale but very often is less prudent to check the pest hazards (Ishaq et al., 2004). Several insects attack mango from nursery stage to fruit maturity. Among all of the mango insect pests, mealy bug, Droschia mangiferae (G.) is one of the notorious and destructive pests rendering huge scale of fruit loss (Karar et al.,2006). Bhagat (2004) had mentioned that though this insect is mainly a pest of mango tree, however, in the areas of heavy populations, it has the tendency to attack a variety of other fruit trees like peach (Prunus persica), plum (P. domestica), papaya (Carica papaya) and all citrus species. Karar (2009) had opined that mealy bug preferred mango varieties differentially. Mango mealybug became a serious pest of mango and citrus in West Africa which reduced mango fruit 50-90% and pest caused serious nuisance (Moore 2004). D. mangiferae is considered to be prime destructive mealy bugs species of mangoes in subcontinent of South East Asia. D. mangiferae is the serious, dilapidating, polyphagus, dimorphic and notorious pest of mango orchards in Indian sub-continent (Rao et. al.,2006). In consideration to tree/fruit injury, it ranked 2nd after leaf hopper. Extent of loss may extend up to 50% in some occasional cases (Atwal, 1976).

Mealybug is a polyphagous pest which was reported to cause serious damage to various fruit trees particularly mango (Akinlosotu et al., 1994). The major host plants are mango (Mangifera indica), citrus (Citrus spp.), frangipani (Plumeria rubra) and fig (Ficus spp.) (Ivbijaro et al., 1992). Mealybugs are sucking insects, soft bodied, oval shape and cottony in appearance, which are found to attack on leaves, stems, roots and fruits which are covered like whitish powder. They suck a large amount of sap from all parts of the tree. They are found in moist warm climate and also act as a vector for several plant diseases. They attach themselves to the plant and secrete a powdery wax layer used for protection while they suck the plant juices. Some species of mealybug lay their eggs in the same waxy layer used for protection in the quantities of 50-100; other species are born directly from the female. Juvenile mealybugs can crawl from an infested plant to non-infected plant. The other mode of transfer is the small 'crawlers' are transferred by wind, rains, birds, ants, clothing and vehicles and settled on new plants. The wax which sticks to each egg also facilitates passive transport by equipments, animals or people. The female mealy bug is unable to fly and not active. In fact, humans are great friends helping in transport of mealy bug. As the infested plant back the colonies of mealy bugs migrate from shoot tips to twigs, branches and finally down the trunk. Ants attracted by the honeydew, have been seen carrying mealybugs from plant to plant. Severe infestation affects the growing fruits resulting in fruit drop. Both the quality and the quantity of the food are greatly

affected due to this infestation (Herren, 1981). Damage to plants is principally manifested due to the unremitting sucking of 'cell sap' from tender leaves, stem and inflorescence and even from the growing fruits. The nymphs and females of this bug suck sap from inflorescence, tender leaves, shoots and fruit peduncles. Affected panicles shrive and become died. Infested plants are affected by the sooty mould (Tandon and lal1978). Severe infestation often leads to fruit drops or makes the fruit unfit for marketing (Karar et al., 2013). In general, D. mangiferae is found to infest almost all mango cultivars resulting severe fruit necrosis. Due to the growth of sooty mould on the leaves, photosynthetic activity is affected (Pruthi et al. 1960). Further the sooty mould of D. mangiferae provides an effective medium for rapid growth of black and sooty fungi which decolorizes the fruit and makes it unacceptable to consume (CABI,2005). The response of insects to the climatic conditions is very imperative to predict possible geographic range of a species and to develop.

Objectives

Considering the above facts the research work was designed with the following objectives -

- ⇒ To know distribution and abundance of mango mealybug in Bangladesh
- ⇒ To record host plants, infested plant parts, percent of infestation and damage severity of mango mealybug on different host plants.

CHAPTER II

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

This review is an overview of the literature on mango pests which focuses on the mango mealybug and its distribution and abundance. Literatures cited below under the following headings and sub-headings reveal some information about the present study.

Mango pests

A number of insect pests are known to attack the mango trees, which have been studied in detail (Giani 1968, Herren 1981, Sen & Prasad 1956, Tandon and Verghese 1985). Some of these are certainly responsible for causing considerable damage and become a limiting factor in many mango-growing areas. To effectively monitor a mango orchard for insect pest outbreaks, growers must be first aware of the types of insect pests they are likely to encounter and should conduct the surveys on a regular basis. (Patriquin *et al.*,1995).

According to Bokonon-Ganta *et al.*,1995 and several others entomologists and actors from the production and processing chains in the countries we surveyed, until recently, damages by pests and diseases on mango in Africa in general, and in West Africa in particular were of minor economic importance. It is only in the eighties that a mealybug later identified as *Rastrococcus invadens* Williams

(Homoptera: Pseudococcidae) and a fruit fly identified as *Bactrocera invadens* were reported causing serious damage to various fruit trees, especially mango, in Benin, Togo and Ghana (Vayssieres 2005, Agounke *et al.*, 1988).

Babu ,1998 recorded 18 species of insects at various stages of mango crop in an overlapping manner from August 1998 to July 1999 and August 1999 to July 2000 in Chittoor and Cuddapah regions of Andhra Pradesh, India, wherein they identified *Amritodus atkinsoni, Idioscopus* spp, *Procontarinia matteiana, Orthaga exvinacea, Sternochetus mangiferae* and *Bactrocera* spp. attaining major status or in a severe form whereas, three species, *Apoderus tranquebaricus, Coptosoma varigatum* and *Dasychira mendose* were recorded as stray pests. The remaining ten insect species appeared as minor pests without causing any severe and perceptible economic damage to the crop.

The mango seed could be used as a potential source for functional food ingredients, natural antioxidants, antimicrobial compounds, cosmetic and activated carbon. In addition to that, it could be further processed into therapeutic functional food products. This suggests that the mango seeds should be further utilized rather than just discarded as a waste. (Kittiphoom 2012).

Mango mealybug (Drosicha mangiferae)

The main problem, mentioned by mango producers throughout the survey, was the infestation of mango trees by the mango mealybug. All producers had some

knowledge of the mango mealybug. The names given varied from insect to disease or both. One of the best definitions recorded for the pest was "A white worm with black powder, producing honey-like oil". All producers declared the mango mealybug a pest and 97% answered that it decreased fruit production. In 68% of all cases, the incidence of the pest was considered higher in the dry season than in the rainy season *R. invadens* is a native pest from Southeast Asia. It was introduced into western Africa through plant materials (Tobih *et al.*, 2002).

It is a pest of more than 21 economically important plant species, but mango is its major host plant. The pest has been reported causing 80% of mango yield losses in Ghana (Entomological society of Nigeria 1991), 53% to 100% reduction of total production in Côte d'Ivoire (Hala *et al.*,2006), significant reduction in weight and size of fresh mango fruit in Nigeria, Togo and Benin (Ivbijaro and Udensi 1988, Ivbijaro *et al.*,1991 and Tobih *et al*, 2002). The insect affects the morphology and physiology of infested trees causing delays in flowering, fall of floral spikes and leaves and slowing the emission of new branches.

Estimates by producers confirmed the negative impact of the pest on plant production and the positive impact of the introduced natural enemy. Production did not immediately return to pre-infestation levels, probably due to the effect of the residual sooty mould on trees following the releases of *G. tebygi*. A similar impact of the introduced natural enemy had been assessed in Togo based on estimation of the production of a limited number of trees grown from the main seedling nurseries (Vogele *et al.*, 1991).

An increase beyond the original mango production is attributed to the fact that during the last 10 years many new mango orchards had been established and were coming into production. To what extent the second parasitoid, *A. mangicola*, which was established later (Neuenschwander *et al.*, 1994, Neuenschwander 1996) contributed to the decline of the mealybug populations and increased mango production remains unknown.

Mealybugs feed by inserting their stylets through the plant tissue to suck up sap from either phloem or mesophyll, or both. Males terminate their feeding towards the end of the second nymphal stage. Generally, stylet penetration is accomplished by secretion of solidified saliva that forms a sheath around the stylets. Similarly to other members of the suborder Sternorrhyncha, which includes scale insects, aphids, psyllids and whiteflies, mealybugs consume a diet containing mainly carbohydrates but also limited amounts of free amino acids and other nitrogen compounds (Franco *et al.* 2000, Gullan and Martin 2003, Silva and Mexia 1999, Tonkyn and Whitcomb 1987).

Thus, except for sucrose hydrolysis, food digestion is hardly necessary. However, organic compounds in phloem sap need to be concentrated before they can be absorbed, and this occurs in the filter chamber, a specialized component of the

digestive system, which enables the direct passage of water from the anterior midgut to the Malpighian tubules, thereby concentrating food in the midgut (Terra and Ferreira,2003).

The residue of ingested phloem sap, after digestion and assimilation in the insect gut, is released from the anus as a sugar-rich material, the honeydew. Up to 90% of the ingested sugars may be egested in this way (Mittler and Douglas,2003).

Mealybugs developed several different defense mechanisms. Many of the species tend to establish themselves in protected sites, such as cracks and crevices in bark, leaf axils, root crowns, nodes of grass stems, under fruit sepals and within fruit navels, between touching fruits or fruits and leafs, and in tunnels bored by insect larvae in roots and stems (Franco *et al.*,2000, Kosztarab and Kozar.,1988).

This cryptic behavior of mealybugs may originate a spatial refuge from natural enemies and harsh environmental conditions. This type of plant colonization makes mealybugs practically invisible during the latent population phase. However, during outbreaks the population explodes from the refuge and becomes conspicuous (Berlinger and Golberg, 1978, Gutierrez *et al.*, 2008).

The waxy secretion is the most common conspicuous trait of the mealybug family. It is a complex system that serves different functions, and which is produced by the epidermal wax glands and transported to the body surface via ducts, pores, and secretory setae of various types (Foldi 1983, Gullan and Kosztarab, 1997).

Zada *et al.* (2009) found that the main components of the wax of five mealybug species (*P.citri*, *P.ficus*, *P. vovae*, *P. cryptus*, and *N. viridis*) were trialkylglycerols and wax esters. The wax cover is believed to prevent water loss. The hydrophobic property of the wax enables the mealybugs to escape drowning or becoming swamped by water in their typical cryptic sites.

The ovisac, which is also a wax secretion, is considered to be an adaptation that protects the offspring from both wet and dry conditions, and that may also provide an attachment to the host plant. Tubular ducts and multilocular disc pores, respectively, produce long hollow and shorter curled filaments, which make up the ovisac and the male cocoon (Cox and Pearce 1983, Foldi 1983).

The white wax of mealybugs is strongly light reflective, and may reduce desiccation in some cases; the wax also serves to cover the honeydew droplets and to protect the mealybugs from contamination by their own honeydew and defensive exudates (Gullan and Kosztarab 1997).

The wax cover and the secretion process are involved in mealybug defense against natural enemies. It is hypothesized that the rarity of infestation by pathogens and nematodes is related to the wax shield. Stuart *et al.* (1997) found varied

susceptibility of *Dysmicoccus vaccinii* Miller and Polavarapu to several nematode species; they showed that removal of the waxy coating from the mealybug did not influence their susceptibility to *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* Poinar. The lateral wax protrusions protect the mealybugs from predators and facilitate spacing of individuals within the colony.

The nymphs and adult females of most mealybugs possess two pairs of dorsal ostioles, located between the head and prothorax and on the sixth abdominal segment, that discharge a globule of liquid when the insect is disturbed. This waxy liquid solidifies quickly on contact with air and is believed to have a defensive function (Eisner and Silberglied 1988, Gullan and Kosztarab 1997).

It was found, for example, that this discharge negatively affect *Sympherobius fallax* Navas (Neuroptera, Hemerobiidae) larvae (Gillani and Copland 1999), green lacewings (Neuroptera, Chrysopidae), and the parasitoid *Leptomastidea abnormis* (Girault) (Hymenoptera, Encyrtidae) (Franco 1999).

Ostiolar secretions may have different functions in other mealybug species, for example, the highly developed condition of the dorsal ostioles in obligate antattended mealybugs suggests that the released fluid may attract the ants (Gullan and Kosztarab 1997). Nagrare (2014) revealed five mealybug species belonging to the Pseudococcidae and Monophlebidae families of Hemiptera order infesting cotton in India other than predominant mealybug species *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Tinsley) and *Paracoccus marginatus* (Williams and Granara de Willink). These mealybug species were spherical mealybug *Nipaecoccus viridis* (Newstead), striped mealybug *Ferrisia virgata* (Cockerell), pink hibiscus mealybug *Maconellicoccus hirsutus* (Green), mango mealybug *Rastrococcus iceryoides* (Green) (Pseudococcidae) and ber (Zizyphus) mealybug *Perissopneumon tamarindus* fromMonophlebidae (Green).

Seasonal abundance of mango mealybug

Adult males and newly emerged first-instar nymphs, or crawlers, of most mealybug species display dispersal actively. Other nymphal stages and adult females may also move limited distances (Kosztarab and Kozar 1988) but, similarly to most scale insects, crawlers are the mealybugs' main dispersal agents. There is evidence that this developmental stage of scale insects is dispersed passively by the wind, and may be carried for distances of a few meters to several kilometers, or even more, from the natal plant–host, although mortality is very high (Gullan and Kosztarab 1997).

In contrast, Williams and Granara de Willink (1992) reported that mealybugs were believed to be distributed by air currents over only short distances. As well as wind, water, bed-soil, humans, and domestic and wild animals may aid the passive dispersal of mealybugs (Kosztarab and Kozar, 1988).

Among arthropods, ants have also been reported to disperse some mealybug species (Gullan and Kosztarab 1997, Malsch *et al.* 2001 and Ranjan 2006).

Nevertheless, if conditions are favorable, crawlers usually settle on the natal host plant, often close to their mother, which leads to an aggregative distribution (Gullan and Kosztarab 1997; Nestel *et al.*,1995). Many species of mealybugs have been widely distributed by commercial traffic, mostly carried on imported plant material (Williams and Granara de Willink 1992).

Because of their cryptic habits and small size, mealybugs are difficult to detect at borders during quarantine inspections, especially if their population density on plants is low (Gullan and Martin,2003).

Shito *et al.*, (2012) reported that eggs of mulberry mealybug are pink, minute, and contained in an egg sack of white wax. Newly hatched nymphs are called "crawlers" since the nymphal stage is wingless.

Mani and Thontadarya (1988) showed that the maximum temperature tested had a positive correlation and relative humidity had negative correlation with mealybug populations. Higher temperatures shortened the incubation period; a 5°C

depression in temperature increased the life cycle duration twofold (Babu and Azam 1987).

Pitan *et al.*,(2000) discussed in his research paper that clear that there were reductions in the population levels of mango mealybug *Rastrococcus invadens* after the introduction *Gyranusoidea tebygi* in Nigeria. Similar reports have been made by Agricola *et al.* (1989), Agounke and Fischer (1993), Bokonon-Ganta and Neuenschwander (1995), Matokot *et al.* (1992) in their various studies.

The mealybug was located in the Paraguay River basin in the Santa Cruz de la Sierra is of eastern Bolivia. Mealybug populations were extremely low in all areas but there was a period of increase from August to December. Eighteen species of natural enemies were found attacking *P. manihoti*: the most abundant and also most important were a solitary, internal parasitoid, *Epidinocarsis lopezi* (DeSantis) (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae), *Hyperaspisnotata* Mulsant and *Diomus* spp. (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae), and *Ocyptamus* spp. (Diptera: Syrphidae). Collections of a closely related mealybug, *Phenacoccus herreni* Cox & Williams yielded two additional encyrtid parasitoids, *Epidinocarsis diversicornis* (Howard) and *Aenasius* sp. nr *vexans* Kerrich, but they did not survive on *P. manihoti*. Four parasitoids (*E. lopezi, E. diversicornis, Parapyrus manihoti* Noyes and *Allotropa* sp.) and four predators (*H. notata, Diomus* sp., *Sympherobius maculipennis* Kimmins, and *Exochomus* sp.) were sent forquarantine. Natural enemy species were forwarded to the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture at Ibadan, Nigeria for mass rearing and subsequent release (Lohr, 1990).

This experiment showed that after Survey for mealy bugs, natural enemies and ants were conducted in abandoned pineapple fields on the Hawaiian islands of Oahu and Maui. Whole plant samples were taken, and mealy bugs and ants found were identified. Mealy bug-infested plant parts were isolated and held until natural enemies emerged from parasitized host material. Its densities ranged from a mean of 23 to 157 mealy bugs per plant, while in areas with mixed populations of this mealy bug and *Dysmicoccus neobrevipes* Beardsley, densities ranged from a mean of 23 to 118 mealy bugs per plant. Ants were present at all sample sites and on all dates. Pheidole mega cephala (F.) was the most common ant species found. Anagyrus ananatis Gahan was the most common parasitoid. It attacked only D. brevipes, the dominant mealy bug in the pineapple fields surveyed. Percent parasitisation of D. brevipes by A. ananatis in the presence of ants ranged from 0.3 to 9.9%. Percent parasitization of D. brevipes and D. neobrevipes per plantby *Euryrhopalus propinguus* Kerrich ranged from 0.05 to 2.2%. Mean densities of the predators Lobodiplosis pseudococci (Felt), Nephus bilucernarius Mulsant and Sticholotis ruficeps Weise ranged from 0.05 to 5.75, 0.1 to 1.8, and 0.05 to 0.2 individuals per plant, respectively (Hector et al. 1999).

Hosts of mango mealybug

Atwal (1976) found that the major host of mealy bug were papaya, redgram silk, cotton, papaya cotton, shoe flower, jatropha, tapioca, mulberry, guava, tomato, turkey berry, brinjal, teak, country mallow, latjira, wild mustard, spider wort, chandvel, garden sprug, hazardani, dronapushpi, tulasi, congress grass, ghamra, pig weed. He also stated that nymph was highly mobile and in succulent small plant.

The pest has recently moved into the mango production areas of Burkina Faso in the provinces of Comoé, Léraba and Kénédougou (Otoidobiga, personal communication), in Western Mali in the region of Sikasso (SidikiTraoré, personal communication), and in Guinea where it is causing alarming losses to mango production. Not only has the pest disrupted the production of mango and of many other fruits and ornamental trees, but it is also a nuisance by causing accumulation of excreted honey dew that results in the formation of sooty mould which in turn arrests normal growth, photosynthesis, flowering and fruiting of the attacked plants (Pitan *et al.* 2000).

Kashid (2010) mentioned in is work that Sindhudurg district is highly favorable for growing a large number of fruits like mango, cashew nut, areca nuts etc. Fruits and vegetable in the study region play an important role in view of their export potentials as well as domestic requirement and employment generation. In Guinea *R. invadens* was first observed in 2000 and later confirmed by IITA. Initially localized in one region, the pest rapidly infested the entire country. According to the scientific community and the majors groups of actors in the mango value chain, the bug infestations are causing serious damages to mango production in Guinea. Over the last few years, the infestations have had a negative economic impact on producers and traders of this commodity.

Although the rates of infestations are most important in urban areas than in orchards, the economic and social strain on farmers seem to be greater given the importance of the revenue of mango production, trade and consumption on farmers' income and welfare. Indeed mango production plays a fundamental role in procuring extra income to farmers in rural areas all over Guinea.

Mango mealybug, *D. mangiferae* Green, is one of the most serious insect pests of mango in Pakistan due to its polyphagous nature (Green 1908). It lays egg in loose soil within radius of 2-3 meter around the infested trees. Hatching of the eggs starts with rise in temperature and the nymphs crawl to the succulent shoots and base of fruiting parts (Birat 1964 and Atwal 1976). The nymphs and female bugs suck sap from inflorescence, tender leaves, shoots and fruit peduncle. As a result, the affected inflorescences are shriveled and get dried. Rigorous infestation affects the fruit set and causes fruit drop. They exude honey dew over the leaves, on which sooty mould is developed (Tandon and Lal,1978).

Until recently, damage by insect pests and diseases on mango in Africa was insignificant. In 1986, however, a mealybug, later described as *Rastrococcus invadens* Williams (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae) of South East Asian origin (Williams 1986), was reported to cause serious damage to various fruit trees, especially mango, in Benin, Ghana and Togo (Agounke *et al.*, 1988).

Mealybugs feed on a variety of herbaceous and woody plants, including the angiosperm, gymnosperm and fern families. However, most of the species with known hosts develop on herbaceous plants, especially grasses (*Poaceae*) and composites (*Asteraceae*) (Ben-Dov 2006, Kosztarab and Kozar 1988).

As expected, information on the host ranges of mealybugs is mainly derived from observations of species of economic importance. Most species are oligophagous or stenophagous(or monophagous) while others are polyphagous (Ben-Dov 2006, Kosztarab and Kozar 1988).

It was found that more than 1300 mealybugs and their natural enemies were collected from six crops (apples, pears, nashi, citrus, persimmon and grapes). *Pseudococcus longispinus* and *P. calceolariae* were the commonest species in all crops, these three species accounted for more than 99% of all mealybugs collected. Mealybugs were attacked by 14 species of natural enemy. *Parectromoides varipes* was newly identified as a primary parasitoid of mealy bugs, and males of this

species and Gyranusoidea advena, previously unknown, were found. Both species, together with *Tetracnemoidea sydneyensis*, *T. peregrina* and *T. brevicornis*, and *Coccophagus gurnevi* (Aphelinidae) and two species of Ophelosia (Pteromalidae) were widespread throughout the surveyed regions. Common predators included Cryptolaemus montrouzieri (Col: Coccinellidae), Cryptoscenea australiensis (Neuroptera: Conioptervgidae) and Diadiplosis koebelei (Dipt: Cecidomyiidae). Five species of ants were recorded tending mealy bugs, but none is known to be disruptive to mealy bug natural enemies. Data for biological control of mealybug pests in horticultural crops concluded that *Pseudaphycus maculipennis* (Hym: Encyrtidae) should be introduced against *P. affinis*. The activity of existing species should be encouraged in future integrated pest management (IPM) programmes, example, distributing A. fusciventris around the country bv.for and commercializing the mass rearing and release of C. montrouzieri (Charles et al.,2006).

CHAPTER III

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present research work on distribution and abundance of mango mealybug, its host plants, infested plant parts and damage severity was carried out throughout Bangladesh except Dhaka district. The materials and methods followed are described here as

Duration of the study

The field survey on mango mealybug distribution at 26 districts was conducted during November, 2015 to May, 2016.

Study area

Field survey was conducted at 87 upazilla under 26 major mango growing districts of Bangladesh to collect the information on distribution, host plant and infestation level on various hosts of mango mealybug. The list of upazilla and districts are shown in Table 1.

Sl. No.	Name of District under study	Name of Upazilla under study
	Chuadanga	1.Chuadanga Sadar
01.		2.Alamdanga
01.		3.Dhamurhuda
		4.Jibannagar
02.	Meherpur	5.Meherpur Sadar
		6.Gangni
		7.Mujibnagar
03.	Jhenaidah	8.Jhenaidah Sadar
		9.Kouthadpur

		10.Moheshpur
		11.Harinakundu
		12.Kaligang
		13.Jessore Sadar
0.4	T	14.Chougacha
04.	Jessore	15.Sarsha
		16.Jhikorgasa
		17.Dinajpur Sadar
		18.Birol
05.	Dinajpur	19.Fulbari
		20.Birampur
		21.Hakimpur
	Thakurgaon	22.Sadar
06.		23.Baliadangi
		24.Pirgang
	Panchagar	25.Sadar
07.		26.Autowary
		27.Boda
		28.Sadar
	Bogra	29.Sanatala
08.		30.Sibgang
		31.Sajahanpur
		32.Gabtali
	Mymensingh	33.Sadar
		34.Dhobaura
09.		35.Haluaghat
		36.Fulbari
		37.Muktagacha
	Jamalpur	38.Sadar
10.		39.Melandha
10.		40.Boxiganj
		41.Islampur
		42.Sadar
11.	Sherpur	43.Nakla
		44.Nalitabari

		45.Jhenaigathi
		46.Sadar
12.	Gazipur	47.Kapashia
		48.Sadar
12	— 1	49.Bhuapur
13.	Tangail	50.Dhonbari
		51.Sofipur
		52.Jaypurhat Sadar
14.	Jaypurhat	53.Kalay
		54.Akkelpur
1.5	Natawa	55.Sadar
15.	Natore	56.Singra
16.	Lalmonirhat	57.Sadar
10.	Laimonimat	58.Hatibandha
		59.Kustia Sadar
17.	Kustia	60.Kumarkhali
		61.Mirpur
18.	Manikaani	62.Sadar
10.	Manikganj	63.Singayer
19.	Khulna	64.Khulna sadar
		65.Dumuria
		66.Koyra
20.	Bandarban	67.Bandarban Sadar
		68.Ruma
		69.Thanchi
21.	Cox's Bazar	70.Cox's Bazar sadar
		71.Teknaf
		72.Ramu
22.	Comilla	73.Comilla Sadar
		74.Chouddagram
		75.Burichong
22	Cylhat	76.Brahmanpara
23.	Sylhet	77.Sylhet Sadar 78.Zakiganj
		79.Jaintapur
24.	Shatkhira	80.Kaliganj

		81.Debhata
		82.Shatkhira sadar
25.	Feni	83.Fulgazi
		84.Sagalnayha
26.	Brahmanbaria	85.Brahmanbaria Sadar
		86.Akhaura
		87.Kasba

Field survey

The field survey was conducted to find out the distribution, host plants and infestation level on different hosts of mango mealybug in Bangladesh.Visited the DD office of each district and information was collected about mango mealybug.

Based on this information respective upazilas were visited and information was collected from Upazilla Agricultural Officer (UAO). Based on UAO information the respective location was visited to observe the mealybug status. Different host plants were observed visually in each location. Infested and healthy host plants were observed visually and recorded. Then branches an infested plant were observed from an infested plant; healthy and infested branch were observed and recorded. Number of mealybug from an infested parts was counted. Severity was classified as low (<30%), medium (30<60%) and high (70%<).



Plate 1. Farmers Interview



Plate 2. Interview of DAE personnel



Plate 3. Adult female mealybug



Plate 4. Adult male mealybug





Plate 5. Infested branch of jackfruit

Plate 6. Infested inflorescence of jackfruit



Plate 7. Infested inflorescence of litchi



Plate 8. Infested leaves of litchi



Plate 9. Infested plant parts of Guava



Plate 10. Infested plant parts of cotton



Plate 11. Infested of Ata



Plate 12. Infested of Coconut plant









Plate 13. Some of my surveyed places

CHAPTER IV

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results on distribution of mango mealybug in Bangladesh, host plants and infestation levels on different hosts have been presented and discussed with possible interpretations under the following heading and subheadings.

1. Distribution of Mango Mealybug in Bangladesh

The distribution of mango mealybug at different locations in Bangladesh is shown in Table 2. With their host plant.

Sl. No.	Name of districts where mealybug found	Name of Upazilla where mealybug found	Host Plant
01.	Chuadanga	Jibannagar	Jackfruit, Mango
02.	Jhenaidah	Moheshpur	Malta
03.	Jessore	Sharsha	Guava, Jackfruit
04.	Dinajpur	Sadar	Jackfruit, Mango, Litchi,
		Birol	Pomegranate,
			Mahogany, Coconut, Ata,
			Sweet gourd
		Birampur	
		Fulbari	
		Hakimpu	
05.	Thakurgaon	Sadar	Mango, Jackfruit, Guava,
		Baliadangi	Custard apple
06.	Panchagar	Autowary	Mango, Jackfruit, Lemon
		Boda	_
07.	Jamalpur	Sadar	Mango, Jackfruit
	_	Baxigonj	-
08.	Gazipur	Sadar	Mango, Jackfruit
09.	Tangail	Dhanbari	Mango, Jackfruit
10.	Lalmonirhat	Hatibandha	Mango, Jackfruit

Table 2. Visiting District, found mealybug Upazilla, Host plant

2. Distribution, host plant, infestation, number of insect and severity of mango mealybug in Jibonnagar, Chuadanga

Four host plants were recorded with variable levels of infestation and severity at JibanNagar Upazilla. The recoded host plants were mango, jackfruit, guava, litchi. Host was jackfruit (80.00% plant infestation) mango (72.22%) plant infestation, Low level of infestation was occurred in Litchi (29.75% infestation), guava (infestation 40.00%).

Mealybug sucks the cell sap from different parts of the host plant such as leaf, branch, stem, inflorescence, fruit etc. In mango, maximum infestation occurred in Inflorescence (18.61 %) and minimum infestation were occurred in branch (13.92%) but highest number of mealybug 109.6 was recorded from one Fruit and severity was high. In jackfruit maximum infestation (34.04%) occurred on leaves having 109.06 insects/fruit with high severity and minimum infestation was observed from inflorescence (6.33%).On the other hand Guava (40%) Infestation, its stem was 24.5% infested and 16.73 insects per branch. In litchi infestation of inflorescence was14.5%, fruits infestation was 12.6% and branch was 10.5%. Number of insects each fruit was high (68.1), inflorescence (27.58) and branch was (22.2).

The order of infestation in different plant parts of mango was inflorescence (18.61%) >fruit (14.41%) >branch (13.92%S) that was 109.6 > 80.87 > 66.47 in case of number insect per fruit, inflorescence and branch respectively.

The order of infestation in different plant parts of jackfruit was leaves (34.04%) >

fruit (14.04%) > inflorescence (6.33%) that was 109.6 > 64.27 > 52.2 in case of

number insect per fruit, inflorescence and leaves respectively.

The order of infestation in different plant parts of litchi was inflorescence (14.5%)

> fruit (12.6%) > branch (10.5%) that was 68.1 > 27.58 > 22.2 in case of number

insect per fruit, inflorescence and branch respectively.

Table 3. Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at JibonNagar, Chuadanga

Sl. No.	Host plant	No. of plant observed	Percent of plant infestation	Infested Plant Part	Percent of plant part infestation	No. of Insect/plant	severity
						part	
01.	Mango	101.0	72.94	Inflorescence	18.61±6.19	80.87±14.81	High
				Fruit	14.41±5.1	109.6±22.36	High
				Branch	13.92±3.71	66.47±14.36	Medium
02.	Jackfruit	150.0	80.0	Leaf	34.04±4.24	52.2±10.33	Medium
				Inflorescence	6.33±3.14	64.27±8.91	Medium
				Fruit	14.04±5.19	109.6±19.38	High
03.	Guava	80.0	40.0	Stem	24.5±4.95	16.73±5.93	Low
04.	Litchi	160.0	29.75	Inflorescence	14.5±2.12	27.58±7.49	Low
				Fruit	12.6±1.41	68.1±14.34	Medium
				Branch	10.5±3.54	22.2±4.51	Low

3. Distribution, host plant, infestation, number of insect and severity of mango mealybug in Mohespur, Jhenaidha

One host plant were recorded with variable levels of infestation and severity at Moheshpur upazilla. Host was Malta (60.00% plant infestation). Mealybug sucks the cell sap from different parts of the host plant such as leaf, stem etc. It's stem was 34% infested and 25.2 insects per stem and leaf was 18.61% infested, 80.87 insects per leaf. Severity of leaves was high and setm was medium.

The order of infestation in different plant parts of malta was stem (34%) > leaf (18.61%) that was 80.87 > 25.2 in case of number insect per leaf and stem respectively.

Table 4. Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Moheshpur, Jhenaidha

Sl. No.	Host plant	No. of plant observed	Percent of plant infestation	Infested Plant Part	Percent of plant part infestation	No. of Insect/plant part	severity
01	01. Malta	86	60	Leaf	18.61±6.19	80.87±14.81	High
01.				Stem	34±4.23	25.2±10.30	Medium

4. Distribution, host plant, infestation, number of insect and severity of mango mealybug in Sarsha, Jessore

Two host plants were recorded with variable levels of infestation and severity at Sarsha upazilla. The recorded host plants were jackfruit, guava. In jackfruit, maximum infestation was occurred in fruit (34.57%) and minimum infestation was occurred in leaf (6.67%) but highest number of mealybug 87.73 was recorded from one Inflorescence and severity was high. On the other hand, guava's infestation was recorded 54.33%, stem infestation was 34.57% and highest number of mealybug 49 was recorder from one stem. Severity of guava was medium. In, jackfruit severity of inflorescence and fruit was high and leaves was medium.

The order of infestation in different plant parts of jackfruit was fruit (34.57%) > inflorescence (14.33%) > leaf (6.67%) that was 87.73 > 49.5 > 42.53 in case of number insect per inflorescence, fruit, and leaf respectively.

Infested No. of Sl. Host No. of Percent of Percent Severity **Insect/plant** No. plants plant plant plant part plant part observed infestation infestation part 01. 78 54.33 34.57±6.37 49.0±8.98 Medium Guava Stem 42.53±13.10 Medium Leaf 6.67 ± 2.52 87.89 02. Jackfruit 145 Inflorescence 14.33±3.51 87.73±16.96 High 34.57±6.37 49.5 ± 7.08 Fruit High

 Table 5. Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Sarsha, Jessore

5. Distribution, host plant, infestation, number of insect and severity of mango mealybug in Dinajpur Sadar

Mealybug was recorded from eight host plants at Dinajpur sadar upazilla with different levels of infestation and severity. Jackfruit, mango, guava and litchi, pomegranate, Custard apple, Mahogany and Cotton plant were the host plants in this area. Percent plant infestation was maximum (100.0%) in jackfruit, Litchi was 70%, Mango was 97.81%,Pomegranate was 53%, Ata was 94.66%, Mahogany was 36%, Cotton was 66.67% and minimum was guava(28.57%).

In jackfruit, maximum infestation was occurred in branch (18.53%) and minimum infestation was occurred in fruit (8%) but highest number of mealybug 49.3 was

recorded from one branch and severity was medium. Mango's highest infestation occurred in branch (18.53%), minimum in inflorescence (8.25%) but highest number of mealybug observed from a fruit that 71.2.

Litchi was infested 70%, which highest infested part was inflorescence about 15.6% and highest number was recorder from one inflorescence it was 26.87, severity is low. Ata's highest infestation part was fruit recorded 22.53% and leaf was 8.01% but highest number of mealybug was found on a fruit, it was 82.3 which show high severity. Guava's infestation maximum on stem which was recorded 15.5% and highest number of mealybug was recorder from a stem it was 13.2 which means severity was low. Pomegranate is a fruit, like others fruit plants it was also infested, it's infested plant parts were inflorescence and leaf, but maximum infested part was inflorescence (34.57%) and leaf was 12.3% and highest number of mealybug recorder from one inflorescence about 49 which severity was medium.

Mahogany and Cotton plants was not fruit plants, but it also infested. Each type of plant's stems were infested. Mahogany stem showed 14.3% infestation and cotton was 116.5%. Infestation of cotton was recorded as high severity.

The order of infestation in different plant parts of jackfruit was branch (18.53%) > inflorescence (15.5%) > fruit (8%) that was 49.3 > 26.87 > 13.33 in case of number insect per branch, inflorescence and fruit respectively.

32

The order of infestation in different plant parts of mango was fruit (20.5%) > branch (18.53%) > inflorescence (8.25%) that was 71.2 > 54.96 > 37.7 in case of number insect per fruit, branch and inflorescence respectively.

The order of infestation in different plant parts of pomegranate was inflorescence (34.57%) > leaf (12.3%) that was 49.0 > 10.3 in case of number insect per inflorescence and leaf respectively.

The order of infestation in different plant parts of ata was fruit (22.53%) > leaf (8.01%) that was 82.3 > 13.02 in case of number insect per fruit and leaf respectively.

Sl. No.	Host plant	No. of plant observed	Percent of plant infestation	Infested plant part	Percent of plant part infestation		severity
				Branch	18.53 ± 5.80	49.3±11.43	Medium
01.	Jackfruit	176	100	Inflorescence	15.5±3.54	26.87±8.09	Medium
				Fruit	8±1.41	13.33±4.06	Low
02.	Guava	63	28.57	Stem	15.5±2.12	13.2±4.63	Low
03.	Litchi	210	70	Inflorescence	15.6±3.54	26.87±8.09	Low
				Fruit	20.5±3.70	71.2±13.22	High
04.	Mango	350	97.81	Branch	$18.53{\pm}4.08$	54.96±12.4	High
				Inflorescence	8.25±2.63	37.7±6.42	Medium
05	Domogranato	35	53	Inflorescence	34.57±6.37	49.0±8.98	Medium
05.	Pomegranate	55	55	Leaf	12.3±1.12	10.3±1.56	Low
06.	Custard	100	04.66	Fruit	22.53±9.80	82.3±13.49	High
00.	apple	100	94.66	Leaf	8.01±2.41	13.02±3.47	Low
07.	Mahogany	137	36	Stem	14.3±1.12	15.3±2.56	Low
08.	Cotton	43	66.67	Stem	116.5±6.36	44.54±16.1	High

 Table 6. Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Dinajpur Sadar

6. Distribution, host plant, infestation, number of insect and severity of mango mealybug in Birampur, Dinajpur

In Birampur upazilla, four plants were found as mango mealybug host. They were Jackfruit, Litchi, mango and guava. Their infestation rate was respectively 93.8%, 53.75%, 88% and 38%.Jackfruit and mango infestation rate was high.

In jackfruit, it was recorder that it's branch, inflorescence and fruit were infested. The rate of infestation of branch was 18.3%, inflorescence was 8.53% and fruit was 28.3%. Here, maximum fruit was infested. But highest number of insects was recorded from a branch and it was 69.3% with high severity.

The order of infestation in different plant parts of jackfruit was fruit (28.3%) > branch (18.3%) > inflorescence (8.53%) that was 69.3 > 54 > 37.71 in case of number insect per branch, fruit and inflorescence respectively.

The order of infestation in different plant parts of litchi was leaf (26.8%) > inflorescence (14.3%) that was 27.8 > 11.3 in case of number insect per inflorescence and leaf respectively.

The order of infestation in different plant parts of mango was inflorescence (27.8%) > branch (19.2%) > fruit (16.42%) that was 90 > 89 > 76 in case of number insect per inflorescence, fruit and branch respectively.

Table 7 . Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of
plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Birampur,
Dinajpur

Sl. No.	Host plant	No. of plant observed	Percent of plant infestation	Infested Plant Part	Percent of plant part infestation	No. of Insect/plant part	severity
01.	Jackfruit	104	93.8	Branch	18.3±4.56	69.3±14.22	High
				Inflorescence	8.53±2.63	37.71±6.42	Medium
				Fruit	28.3±12.3	54±2.83	High
02.	Litchi	217	53.75	Inflorescence	14.3±3.01	27.8±8.9	Medium
				Leaf	26.8±11.3	11.3±1.56	Low
03.	Mango	350	88	Fruit	16.42±4.19	89±11.23	High
				Branch	19.2±1.03	76±7.87	High
				Inflorescence	27.8±3.18	90±3.21	High
04.	Guava	75	38	Stem	22.5±4.19	17.1±2.19	Low

7. Distribution, host plant, infestation, number of insect and severity of mango mealybug in Birol, Dinajpur

In Birol upazilla three host plants found they were mango, jackfruit, litchi. Their infestation rate was mango (85.6%), jackfruit (94.25%), litchi (61.75%). Infested plant parts were inflorescence, fruit and branch.

In case of mango, infested plant parts recorder inflorescence (11.2%), fruit(19.2%) and branch (31.43%).But highest number of mealybug found on one branch it was about 70.01.Maximum infestation rate was recorded from branch, severity was high in branch. In jackfruit, infested plant parts were branch, inflorescence and fruit, maximum infestation rate was recorded from inflorescence

rate was 21.4% and minimum was from fruit it was 8.3%.But highest number of mealybug found from one inflorescence it was 89.4, severity was high.

Litchi was infested by mealybug 61.75%. Infested plant parts were inflorescence and branch, their rate was 11.9% and 9.91%. Highest number of mealybug found from one inflorescence it was 51.01, severity is medium in inflorescence.

The order of infestation in different plant parts of mango was branch (31.43%) > fruit (19.2%) > inflorescence (11.2%) that was 70.01 > 63 > 41.3 in case of number insect per branch, inflorescence and fruit respectively. The order of infestation in different plant parts of jackfruit was inflorescence (21.4%) > branch (11.2%) > fruit (8.3) that was 89.4 > 72.1 > 70.09 in case of number insect per inflorescence, fruit and branch respectively.

The order of infestation in different plant parts of litchi was inflorescence (11.9%)> branch (9.91%) that was 51.01 > 20.1 in case of number insect per inflorescence and branch respectively.

Sl. No.	Host plant	No. of plant observed	Percent of plant infestation	Infested Plant Part	Percent of plant part infestation	No. of Insect/plant part	severity
01.	Mango	359	85.6	Inflorescence	11.2 ± 1.23	63±8.9	Medium
				Fruit	19.2±7.72	41.3±2.23	Medium
				Branch	31.43±4.29	70.01±8.24	High
02.	Jackfruit	109	94.25	Branch	11.2±1.23	70.09±2.49	High
				Inflorescence	21.4±1.42	89.4±9.11	High
				Fruit	8.3±1.19	72.1±3.49	Medium
03.	Litchi	576	61.75	Inflorescence	11.9±2.1	51.01±2.1	Medium
				Branch	9.91±1.19	20.1±7.39	Low

 Table 8. Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Birol, Dinajpur

8. Distribution, host plant, infestation, number of insect and severity of mango mealybug in Fulbari, Dinajpur

Only Jackfruit found as host of Mango mealybug, plant infestation percent was 93.25% of this upazilla. Infested plant parts was branch (11.4%), inflorescence (21.4%) and fruit (5.9%) also. Highest number of Mealybug was found from one inflorescence about 70.09 and minimum from one fruit about 61.4. But inflorescence infestation severity was high.

The order of infestation in different plant parts of jackfruit was inflorescence (21.4%) > branch (11.4%) > fruit (5.9%) that was 70.09 > 68.09 > 61.4 in case of number insect per inflorescence, branch and fruit respectively.

 Table 9. Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Fulbari, Dinajpur

Sl. No.	Host plant	No. of plant observed	Percent of plant infestation	Infested Plant Part	Percent of plant part infestation	No .of Insect/part	severity
01.	Jackfruit	63	93.25	Branch	11.4±3.23	68.09±4.39	Medium
				Inflorescence	21.4±4.9	70.09±2.3	High
				Fruit	5.9±1.3	61.4±1.3	Medium

9. Distribution, host plant, infestation, number of insect and severity of mango mealybug in Hakimpur, Dinajpur

There were three host plant found in hakimpur upazilla. Mango, jackfruit and mahogany and their infestation rate were 84%, 97% and 32%. Infestation plant part was inflorescence, fruit, branch and leaf.

Mango's infested plant part was inflorescence (19.2%), fruit (24.2%) and branch (30.4%). Maximum rate was recorded from branch and minimum from inflorescence. But highest number of mealybug found from one inflorescence it was about 64, severity of inflorescence was high severity of infestation of mealy in fruit was medium and in branch was low.

In jackfruit's infested plant parts were branch, inflorescence and fruit. Infestation rate of inflorescence was 8.25%, branch was 18.53%, fruit was 20.53%. Maximum infestation rate recorded from one fruit and highest number of mealybug was found from one fruit, it was 71.21.Severity of inflorescence and branch was medium, fruit infestation severity was high.

Third host plant was mahogany, it's infested plant parts were branch and leaf. Percent of infestation both of them were 8.3% and 9.11%. Highest number of mealybug recorded from one branch it was 24.8.severity of branch was medium and leaf was low.

The order of infestation in different plant parts of jackfruit was branch (30.4%) > fruit (24.2%) > inflorescence (19.2%) that was 64 > 41.3 > 11.8 in case of number insect per inflorescence, fruit and branch respectively.

The order of infestation in different plant parts of jackfruit was fruit (20.53%) > branch (18.53%) > inflorescence (8.25%) that was 71.21 > 54.9 > 37.71 in case of number insect per fruit, branch and inflorescence respectively.

The order of infestation in different plant parts of mahogany was leaf (9.11%) >

branch (8.3%) that was 54.9 > 15.2 in case of number insect per branch and leaf

respectively.

Table 10. Host plants	, percent of	infestation,	infested plant	part, percent of
A A	infestation	and severi	ty of mealybug	at Hakimpur,
Dinajpur				

Sl No.	Host plant	No. of plant observed	Percent of plant infestation	Infested Plant Part	Percent of plant part infestation	No. of Insect/part	Severity
01.	Mango	67	84	Inflorescence	19.2±2.48	64±2.23	High
				Fruit	24.2±7.79	41.3±2.83	Medium
				Branch	30.4±4.29	11.8±1.19	Low
02.	Jackfruit	43	97	Branch	18.53±4.08	54.9±12.63	Medium
				Inflorescence	8.25±2.63	37.71±6.42	Medium
				Fruit	20.53±3.70	71.21±13.22	High
03.	Mahogany	30	32	Branch	8.3±2.19	24.8±1.23	Medium
				Leaf	9.11±1.23	15.2±4.19	Low

10. Distribution, host plant, infestation, number of insect and severity of mango mealybug in Gazipur Sadar

In Gazipur Sadar, only mango was act as host plant. Here plants infestation rate was 82%.Only inflorescence and stem were infested and percent both of them was 18.61% and 13.92%.Highest number of mealy was recorded from one inflorescence and it was 80.87.There severity was high both infested plant parts.

The order of infestation in different plant parts of mango was inflorescence (18.61%) > stem (13.92%) that was 80.87 > 76.47 in case of number insect per inflorescence and stem respectively.

 Table 11. Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Gazipur Sadar

Sl. No.	Host plant	No. of plant observed	Percent of plant infestation	Infested Plant Part	Percent of plant part infestation	Insect/plant	Severity
01.	Mango	123	82	Inflorescence	18.61±6.19	80.87±14.81	High
				Stem	13.92±3.71	76.47±14.36	High

11. Distribution, host plant, infestation, number of insect and severity of mango mealybug in Dhanbari, Tangail

Only jackfruit was found as host plant in Dhanbari upazilla, Tangail. Here, plants infested rate was 92%, where infested plant parts were inflorescence, fruit and stem. Maximum infestation was stem, it's about 18.54% and minimum was recorded from inflorescence about 5.32%.

Highest number of mealybug found from one fruit, it's 102.3. Here, fruit infestation severity was high others were medium.

The order of infestation in different plant parts of jackfruit was stem (18.54%) > fruit (14.52%) > inflorescence (5.32%) that was 102.3 > 65.27 > 49.8 in case of number insect per fruit, inflorescence and stem respectively.

Table 12. Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of
plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Dhanbari,
Tangail

	Host plant		infestation	Infested Plant Part		Insect/part	Severity
01.	Jack	48	92	Inflorescence	5.32±2.14	65.27±7.97	High
	fruit			Fruit	14.52±5.16	102.3±22.36	High
				Stem	18.54 ± 5.80	49.8±10.42	High

12. Distribution, host plant, infestation, number of insect and severity of mango mealybug in Hatibandha, Lalmonirhat

One host plant was found in Hatibandha. Infestation of mango was 87%, infested plant part was inflorescence. Percent of infestation was 34.57% and highest number of mealybug was recorded from one inflorescence 49.Severity of infestation was high.

Table 13. Host plant, percent of plant infestation, infested plant part, percentof plant part infestation and severity of infestation at Hatibandha,Lalmonirhat

	plant		infestation	Infested plant part	Percent inflorescence infestation	No. of insect/ inflorescence	•
01.	Mango	112	87	Inflorescence	34.57±6.37	49.0±8.98	High

13. Distribution, host plant, infestation, number of insect and severity of mango mealybug in Jamalpur Sadar

In Jamalpur Sadar upazilla, only jackfruit plant was found to attack by mango mealybug. The plant infestation rate was 90% and infested plant parts were

inflorescence, fruit and branch. Maximum infestation was found in branch (18.53%) and minimum was in inflorescence (6.33%) that was 14.41% for fruit (Table 14). The highest number of mealybug (109.6/fruit) was recorded from single fruit. Severity of infestation was found as high for branch and fruit and medium for inflorescence. The order of infestation in different plant parts was branch (18.53%) > fruit (14.41%) > inflorescence (6.33%) that was 109.60 > 64.27 > 49.30 in case of number insect per fruit, inflorescence and branch respectively.

Table 14. Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent ofplant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Jamalpur Sadar

Sl. No.	Host plant	-	Percent of plant infestation	Infested plant parts		No. of insect/ plant parts	Severity
01.	Jack	64	90.0	Inflorescence	6.33±3.14	64.27 ± 8.91	Medium
	fruit			Fruit	14.41±5.16	109.6 ± 22.36	High
				Branch	18.53±5.80	49.3±11.43	High

14. Distribution, host plant, infestation, number of insect and severity of mango mealybug in Baxiganj, Jamalpur

Jackfruit was found as host plant in Baxiganj upazilla. It's infestation rate was 87%. Infestation plant part was were inflorescence, fruit and branch. Maximum percent of infestation was found in inflorescence (34.57%) and minimum was in fruit (14%). Highest number of mealy bug was recorded from one fruit about 93.93%.

Severity of infestation of inflorescence and fruit was high, but branch was medium.

The order of infestation in different plant parts of jackfruit was inflorescence (34.57%) > branch (18.53%) > fruit (14%) that was 93.93 > 49.3 > 49.0 in case

per fruit, branch and inflorescence respectively.

Table 15. Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of
plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Boxiganj,
Jamalpur

Sl. No.	Host plant	No. of plant observed	infestation	Infested Plant Part		insect/plant	severity
01.	Jackfruit	37	87	Inflorescence	34.57±6.37	49.0±8.98	High
				Fruit	14±5.13	93.93±14.61	High
				Branch	18.53±5.80	49.3±11.43	Medium

15. Distribution, host plant, infestation, number of insect and severity of mango mealybug in Baliadangi, Thakurga

Three host plants were found in Baliadangi upazilla. Mango, jackfruit and custard apple were host plant and their infestation rate was 82.25%, 81% and 32%. In mango infested plant parts were inflorescence, fruit and branch. Maximum rate of infestation was recorded from fruit (37.42%) and minimum from branch (9.67%) inflorescence show 28% infestation. Highest number of mealybug bearing part was one inflorescence and it was 70.34. Severity of inflorescence and fruit was high.

Jackfruit's infested plant part was inflorescence and fruit. Infestation rate of inflorescence was 5.95% and fruit was18.7%. The highest number of mealybug recorded from one fruit 101.33. Severity of infestation of fruit was high.

On the other hand, custard apple infested plant part was fruit. Rate of infestation was 9.57% and severity was low.

The order of infestation in different plant parts of mango was fruit (37.42%) > inflorescence (28%) > branch (9.67%) that was 70.34 > 51.73 > 39.76 in case of number insect per inflorescence, branch and fruit respectively.

The order of infestation in different plant parts of jackfruit was fruit (18.7%) > inflorescence (5.95%) that was 101.33 > 48.5 in case of number insects per fruit and inflorescence respectively.

Table 16. Host plants, percent or	f infe	station, ir	nfes	sted plant p	part	t, percent of
plant part infestation	and	severity	of	mealybug	at	Baliadangi,
Thakurga						

Sl No.	Host plant	No. of plant observed	Percent of infestation	Infested Plant Part	Percent of plant part infestation	No. of Insect/plant part	severity
01.	Mango	84	82.25	Inflorescence	28±7.33	70.34±16.98	High
				Fruit	37.42±9.31	39.76±10.59	High
				Branch	9.67±3.28	51.73±42.74	Medium
02.	Jackfruit	72	81	Inflorescence	5.95±2.42	48.5±10.07	Medium
				Fruit	18.7±4.09	101.33±27.95	High
03.	Custard Apple	34	32	Fruit	9.57±2.12	14.73±7.82	Low

16. Distribution, host plant, infestation, number of insect and severity of mango mealybug in Thakurgaon Sadar

There two host plants were found in Thakurga sadar upazilla. Mango and jackfruit was infested by mealybug and infestation rate was 30.76% and 100%.

In, mango infestation plant parts were inflorescence and branch. Maximum rate of infestation was in inflorescence (12%) and minimum was branch (9.5%). Highest number of mealybug was recorded from one inflorescence it was 32.87. Severity of infestation of inflorescence was medium and branch was low.

In, jackfruit infested plant parts was inflorescence, fruit and branch. Maximum infestation rate was recorded from branch (19.5%) and minimum was inflorescence (7.5%). But highest number of mealybug was found in one fruit 88.8 and it's infestation rate was 17.83%. So severity of infestation of fruit was high.

The order of infestation in different plant parts of mango was fruit (19.9%) > inflorescence (12.0%) > branch (9.5%) that was 39.76 > 32.87 > 19.9 in case of number insects per fruit, inflorescence and branch.

The order of infestation in different plant parts of jackfruit was branch (19.5%) > fruit (17.83%) > inflorescence (7.5%) that was 88.8 > 22.27 > 21.93 in case of number insect per fruit, inflorescence and branch respectively.

Table 17. Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Thakurgaon Sadar

Sl. No.	Host plant	No. of plant observed	Percent of infestation	Infested Plant Part	Percent of plant part infestation	No. of Insect/plant part	severity
01.	Mango	36	30.76	Inflorescence	12.0±2.58	32.87±6.61	Medium
				Fruit	19.9±4.43	39.76±10.59	Medium
				Branch	9.5±3.10	19.9±4.43	Low
02.	Jackfruit	79	100	Inflorescence	7.5±3.21	22.27±7.57	Medium
				Fruit	17.83±6.49	88.8±16.62	High
				Branch	19.5±4.81	21.93±4.67	Medium

17. Distribution, host plant, infestation, number of insect and severity of mango mealybug in Autowary, Panchagar

Two host plants found in Autowary upazilla. Mango and cotton are host of mealybug, their infestation rate was 80% and 66%.

In mango, inflorescence, fruit and stem were infested by mealybug. Maximum infestation rate was recorded from fruit (37.42%) and minimum from stem (9.67%) but highest number of mealybug was found from one inflorescence and it was 70.33. Severity of infestation was high both of inflorescence and fruit, stem was medium in severity.

Cotton was another host plant, it was infested plant part was canopy, infestation rate was 116.5% and highest number of mealybug recorded from on canopy about 44.53. Severity was high.

The order of infestation in different plant parts was fruit (37.42%) > inflorescence (28%) > branch (9.67%) that was 70.33 > 51.73 > 37.67 in case of number insect

per inflorescence, branch and fruit respectively.

Table 18 . Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of
plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Autowary,
Panchagar

Sl No.	Host plant	No. of plant observed	Percent of infestation	Infested Plant Part	Percent of plant part infestation	No. of Insect/part	severity
01.	Mango	54	80	Inflorescence	28±17.33	70.33±17.94	High
				Fruit	37.42±9.31	37.67±10.59	High
				Branch	9.67±3.28	51.73±4.74	Medium
02.	Cotton	23	66	Canopy	116.5±6.36	44.53±16.11	High

18. Distribution, host plant, infestation, number of insect and severity of mango mealybug in Boda, Panchagar

In Boda upazilla three host plants were recorded as host plant of mealy bug. They were jackfruit, mango and sweet gourd. Jackfruit's infestation rate was 100%, it's infested plants parts were inflorescence, fruit and branch. Maximum infestation rate of jackfruit recorded from branch it was about 19.5% and minimum from inflorescence it was 8.5%.But highest number of mealybug found from one infested fruit it was 88.8.Severity of fruit was high, inflorescence and branch was low.

Mango infestation rate was 80%. It's infestation plant parts was inflorescence, fruit and branch. Maximum infestation rate was recorded from branch (27.1%) and minimum from inflorescence (5.95%), but highest number of mealybug found

from one infested fruit and it was 103.3. Here, severity of infested plant parts of fruit and branch was high and inflorescence was medium. Another host plant sweet gourd, it was infestation rate was 10%. Infested plant part was stem Severity was low.

The order of infestation in different plant parts of jackfruit was branch (19.5%) >

fruit (17.8%) > inflorescence (8.5%) that was 88.8 > 22.27 > 21.93 in case of

number insect per fruit, inflorescence and branch respectively.

The order of infestation in different plan parts of mango was branch (27.1%) > fruit (18.7%) > inflorescence (5.95%) that was 103.3 > 89.3 > 49.5 in case of number insect per fruit, branch and inflorescence respectively.

 Table 19. Host plants, percent of infestation, infested plant part, percent of plant part infestation and severity of mealybug at Boda, Panchagar

Sl No.	Host plant	No. of plant observed	Percent of infestation	Infested Plant Part	Percent of plant part infestation	No.of Insect/part	severity
01.	Jackfruit	47	100	Inflorescence	8.5±3.21	22.27±7.57	Low
				Fruit	17.8±6.49	88.8±16.62	High
				Branch	19.5±4.81	21.93±4.67	Low
02.	Mango	61	80	Inflorescence	5.95±2.42	49.5±10.07	Medium
				Fruit	18.7±4.09	103.3±27.24	High
				Branch	27.1±11.09	89.3±21.25	High
03.	Sweet gourd	45	10	Stem	8.5±1.54	23.8±14.23	Low

CHAPTER V SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

SUMMARY

The study was conducted in all over Bangladesh during the period from November, 2015 to May, 2016 to know distribution, host preference, damage severity of mango mealybug.

Field survey was done at 87 upazilla of 26 districts in Bangladesh. Upazilla Agricultural Officer (UAO) of each Upazilla in Bangladesh was visited and asked about mango mealybug status. Based on UAO information the respective locationsS were visited to observe the mealybug status.

Mango mealybug was recorded from 17 upazilla namely Jibannagar (Chuadanga), Moheshpur (Jhenaidha), Sarsha (Jessore), Dinajpur sadar, Birampur, Birol, Fulbari, Hakimpur (Dinajpur), Gazipur sadar (Gazipur), Dhanbari (Tangail), Hatibandha (Lalmonirhat), Jamalpur sadar, Boxiganj (Jamalpurr), Thakurgaon sadar, Baliadangi (Thakurgaon), Autowary, Boda (Panchagar).

Mealybugs are sucking insects, soft bodied, oval shape and cottony in appearances which are found to attack on leaves, stems roots and fruits which are covered like whitish powder. They suck a large amount of sap from all parts of the tree. Mango mealybug is polyphagous insect which attacked mango, jackfruit, cotton, guava, mahogany, sweet gourd, custard apple, litchi, malta. Infested plant parts were mainly inflorescence, fruit and branch. From recorded data we observed that, in Jiban Nagar upazilla only high severity was observed in mango and others plant those were medium and low. In Moheshpur upazilla only host plant was malta and it's leaves infestation is high. In Sarsha upazilla guava and jackfruit were infested but severity in jackfruit was high. In Dinajpur sadar upazilla infestation occurred on mango, jackfruit, custard apple were mostly infested and severity most all of them were high. From the recorded data we observed, in Birampur upazilla host plants were Jackfruit, Mango, Litchi, Guava but severe infestation occurred in mango. In, Birol upazilla collected data show that only jackfruit infestation was high. In Fulbari upazilla, only host plant was jackfruit, there mango mealybug was newly insects. In Hakimpur upazilla new host plant we found and it was mahogany, infestation severity was medium. In, Gazipur sadar upazilla only host plant was mango and infestation severity was high.

CONCLUSION

Based on the study following conclusion are drawn:

Among all the host plant Mango and Jackfruit are most preferable for mango mealybug. Comparatively higher infestation occurred on fruit of jackfruit compared to inflorescence and branch. In, case of mango, more infestation occurred on inflorescences than branch and fruit. High severity was observed on fruit of jackfruit and inflorescence of mango in most of locations.

CHAPTER VI REFERENCES

- Abbas, G., Sayyed, H.A., Saeed, S. and Arshed, M. (2009). Integrated Pest Management of Mango Mealybug (*Drosicha mangiferae*) in Mango Orchards. *Intl. J. Agric. Bio.* 11: 81–84.
- Abbott, W. (1925). A method of computing the effectiveness of insecticide. J. Econ. Entomol. 18: 265-267.
- Addison, P. (2002). Chemical stem barriers for the control of ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) in vineyards. *South African J. Enol. Viticult.* **23**: 1–8.
- Agounke, D., Agricola, U. and Bokonon-Ganta, A.H. (1988). *Rastrococcus invadens* Williams (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) a serious pest of fruit trees and other plants in West Africa. *Bull. Entomol. Res.* **78**: 695-702.
- Agricola, U., Agounke, D., Fischer, H.U. and Moore, D. (1989). The control of *Rastrococccus invadens* Williams (Hemiptera:Pseudococcidae) by *Gyranusoidea tebygi* Noyes (Hemiptera: Encyrtidae). *Bull. Entomol. Res.* **79**: 671-678.
- Aheer, J.H., Shah, Z. and Saeed, M. (2009). Seasonal history and biology of cotton mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis*Tinsley. J. Agri. Res. **47**: 423-431.
- Akinlosotu T.A., Fajuyigbe, F.B. and Anno-Nyako, F. (1994). Incidence of *Rastrococcus invadens* Williams (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) on horticultural crops in Nigeria, *Nigeria J. Sci.* 28(4): 307–314.
- Anand, P. and Ayub, K. (2000). The effect of five insecticides on *Maconellicoccus* hirsutus (Green) (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae) and its natural enemies Anagyrus kamali Moursi(Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae) and Cryptolaemus montrouzieri Mulsant and Scymnus coccivora Aiyar (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). Intl. J. Pest Contrl. 42: 170–173.
- Ashfaq M., Erlandson, M. and Braun, L. (2005). Hyperparasitism by *Mesochorus spp.* (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) in Peristenus sp. (Hymenoptera:

Braconidae) and development of PCR primers for hyperparasitoid detection. *Biol. Contrl.* **32**: 371–377.

- Aslam, M., Razaq, M., Shahand, S.A. and Ahmed, F. (2004). Comparative efficacy of different insecticides against sucking pests of cotton. *Pakistan J. Res. Sci.* 15: 53-58.
- Atwal, A.S. (1976). Agriculture pests of India and South East Asia. Ludhiana, India. pp. 224-227.
- Babu, T.R. and Ramanamurthy, G. (1998). Residual toxicity of pesticides to the adults of *Scymnus coccivora* Aiyar (Coccinellidae: Coleoptera) a predator on mealybugs on grape. *Indian J. Plant Protect.* 26: 96–98.
- Banu, J.G., Surulivelu, T., Amutha, M. and Gopalakrishnan, N. (2010). Laboratory evaluation of insecticides and biopesticides against *Phenococcus solenopsis* and *Paracoccus marginatus* infesting papaya. J. Bio. Pestic. 3: 343-346.
- Bartlett, B.R. (1953). Retentive toxicity of field weathered insecticide residues to entomophagous insects associated with citrus pests in California. J. Econ. Entomol. 46: 565-9.
- Basu, T. (2010). Adaptation of biocontrol agents at the field level for management of mealybugs : challenges and proposed solution. *J. Biol.* **3**(1): 55-57.
- Ben-Dov, Y. (2006). Scales in a family/genus query. Available at http://www.sel.barc.usda.gov/scalecgi/chklist.exe? Family=Pseudococcidae & genus=Accessed 14 August 2008.
- Berlinger, M.J. and Golberg, A.M. (1978). Effect of the fruit sepals on the citrus mealybug population and on its parasite. *Entomologia Experimentalis Applicata*. 24: 238–243.
- Bess, H.A. (1958). The green scale, *Coccus viridis* (Green) (Homoptera: Coccidae), and ants. *Proceedings of the Hawaiian Entomological Society*. 16: 349-355.

- Bhagat, K.C. (2004). Mango mealy bug, *Drosicha mangiferae* (Green) (Margarodidae: Hemiptera) on Ashwagandha a medicinal plant. *Insect Environ.* **10**(1): 14pp.
- Birat, O.R. (1964). Impact of *Gyranusoidea tebygi* Noyes (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae) on the Mango mealybug *Rastrococcus invadens* Williams (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae) in Nigeria. *Bio.Sci. Technol.* 10: 245–254.
- Bokonon-Ganta, A.H. and Neuenschwander, P. (1995). The impact of biological control agent *Gyranusoïdae tebygi* Noyes (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae) on mango mealy bug *Rastrococcus invadens* Williams (Homoptera: Pseudococcidea) in Benin. *Bio. Sci. Technol.* 5: 95-107.
- CAB International. (2005). Crop Protection Compendium (2005 edition).Wallingford,UK:CABInternational.site:http://.cabicompendium.org /cpc/aclogin.asp?/cpc/fi ndadatasheet.asp.
- Charles, S., Teppen, B.J., Laird, D.A. and Boyd, S.A. (2006). Ex-changeable cation hydration properties strongly influence sorption of nitroaromatic compounds by soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. America J.* **56**: 28-67.
- Cloyd, R.A. and Dickinson, A. (2006). Effect of insecticides on mealybug destroyer (Coleoptera:Coccinellidae) and parasitoid *Leptomastix dactylopii* (Hymenoptera : Encyrtidae), natural enemies of citrus mealybug (Homoptera : Pseudococcidae). *J. Eco. Entomol.* **99**: 1596-1604.
- Cox, J. (1983). The mealybug genus *Planococcus* (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae).
 Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History), Zoology Series (Entomology). 58: 1–78.
- Cranshaw, W., Jevremovic, Z., Sclar, D.C. and Mannix, L. (2000). Observations on the biology and control of the hawthorn (two-circuli) mealybug, *Phenacoccus dearnessi* (King). J. Arbo. Cul. **26**: 225–229.
- D'Almeida, J.P. (1995). Situation actuelle de la production fruitière en République du Bénin.*Rapport de consultation pours la FAO*, TCP/BEN. 45-53.

- Daane, K.M, Bentley, W.J. and Weber, E.A. (2004). Vine mealybug: a formidable pest spreads throughout California vineyards. Prac Winery Vineyard Ma. 3:35–40.
- Daane, K.M, Malakar-Kuenen, R.D, and Walton, V.M. (2004). Temperaturedependent development of *Anagyrus pseudococci* (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae) as a parasitoid of the 235 vine mealybug, *Planococcus ficus* (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae). *Bio. Contrl.* **31**: 123–132.
- Davies, A.P., Ceballo, F.A. and Walter, G.H. (2004). Is the potential of *Coccidoxenoides perminutus*, a mealybug parasitoid, limited by climatic or nutritional factors. *J. Bio. Contrl.* **31**: 181–188.
- De Bach, P. (1947). Population studies of the long-tailed mealybug and its natural enemies on citrus trees in southern California, 1946. *J. Eco.Entomol.* **30**: 14-25.
- De Souza, J.C., Reis, P.R., Ribeiro, J.A., Santa Cecília, L.V.C. and Silva, R.A. (2007). Chemical control of the coffee root mealybug *Dysmicoccus texensis* (Tinsley, 1900) in coffee plants (*Coffea arabica* L). J. Coffee Sci. 2: 29–37.
- Eisner, T. and Silberglied, R.E. (1988). A chrysopid larva that cloaks itself in mealybug wax.Entomological society of Nigeria. J. Entomol. 42: 23–50.
- Ekesi, S., Billah, M.K., Nderitu, P.W. and Rwomushana, I. (2009). Evidence for competitive displacement of the mango fruit fly, *Ceratitis cosyra* by the invasive fruit fly, *Bactrocera invadens* (Diptera: Tephritidae) on mango and mechanisms contributing to the displacement. J. Econ. Entomol. 102: 981– 991.
- Flaherty, D.L., Peacock, W.L., Bettiga, L. and Leavitt, G.M. (1982). Chemicals losing effect against grape mealybug. *California Agric*. **36**: 15-16.
- Foldi, I. (1983). Structure and functions of the integumentary glands of mealybugs
 Pseudococcidae and of their secretions. *Annales de la Societe Entomologique de France.* 19: 155–166.

- Franco, J.C., Silva, E.B. and Carvalho, J.P. (2000). Mealybugs (Hemiptera, Pseudococcidae) associated with citrus in Portugal. ISA Press, Lisbon.
- Franco, J.C., Suma, P., Silva, E.B., Blumberg, D. and Mendel, Z. (2004). Management strategies of mealybug pests of citrus in Mediterranean countries.*Phytoparasitica*. **32**(5): 507-522.
- Fu Castillo, A.A., Miranda Blanco, J.L., Osorio Acosta, G. and Martinez Carrillo, J.L. (2004). Chemical control of mealybug *Planococcus ficus* Signoret (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae) in table grapes. *Agric. Technol. Mexico.* **30**: 101–105.
- Furness, G.O. (1976). The dispersal, age structure, and natural enemies of the long-tailed mealybug *Pseudococcus longispinus* (Targioni-Tozzetti), in relation to sampling methods and control.*Australia*. J. Zool. 24: 237-47.
- Gandhi, P.I., Gunasekaran, K., Poonguzhali, S., Anandham, R., Chung, K.Y. and Sa, T. (2005). Laboratory evaluation of relative toxicities of some insecticides against *Trichogramma chilonis* (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae) and *Chrysoperla carnea* (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae). *J.Asia. Entomol.* 8: 381-386.
- Giani, M.A. (1968). *A Treatise to Horticulture,* Lahore.insecticides on beneficial insects of citrus in California. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **40:** 818-20.
- Gillani, W.A. and Copland, M.J.W. (1999). Defensive behaviour of the longtailed mealybug *Pseudococcus longispinus* (Targioni Tozzetti) (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) against the brown lacewing *Sympherobius fallax* Navas (Neuroptera: Hemerobiidae). *Entomologica, Bari.* 33:279–285.
- Giolo, F., Medina, P., Grützmacher, A., and Viñuela, E. (2009). Effects of pesticides commonly used in peach orchards in Brazil on predatory lacewing *Chrysoperla carnea* under laboratory conditions. *Bio.Contrl.* 54: 625-635.
- Gonzalez, H., Neil, J., Marshall, W. (1999). Survey of the natural enemies of Dysmicoccus mealybug on pineapple in Hawaii. J. Biol. 44(1):47-58.

- Gonzalez, R.H., Jorge Poblete, G. and Gerardo-Barria, P. (2001). The tree fruit mealybug in Chile, *Pseudococcus viburni* (Signoret), (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae). *Review Frutic.* 22: 17–26.
- Green, E.E. (1908). Remarks of Indian scale insects (Coccidae) part-UI with a catalogue of all species hitherto recorded from the Indian continent. *Mem.Deptt.Agric. India.* **2**: 15-46.
- Griffiths, J. T. and Thompson, W. L. (1947). The use of DDT on citrus trees in Florida. *J.Econ. Entomol.* **40:** 386-388.
- Gross, S., Biraty, Y. and Gal, S. (2001). Using powdery and microcapsular preparates to decimate ant populations on citrus trees. *Alon Hanotea*. **55**: 219–221.
- Gross, S., Gefen, D., Rotman, N., Tadmor, U., Zemer, B., Gotlib, A. and Gefen,
 Y. (2000). Chemical control of the spherical mealybug (*Nipaecoccus viridis*) (Newstead) in citrus. *Alon Hanotea*. 54: 234–240.
- Grout, T.G. and Stephen, P. (2005). Use of an inexpensive technique to compare systemic insecticides applied through drip irrigation systems in citrus. *African Entomol.* **13**: 353–358.
- Gullan, P. and Martin, J.H. (2003). Sternorrhyncha (jumping plant lice, whiteflies, aphids and scale insects). In: Resh, V.H. and Carde, R.T. (Eds.) Encyclopedia of insects. Academic, Amsterdam.
- Gullan, P.J. and Kosztarab, M. (1997). Adaptations in scale insects. *Annual Rev. Entomol.* **42**: 23–50.
- Gutierrez, A.P., Daane, K.M., Ponti, L., Walton, V.M. and Ellis, C.K. (2008). Prospective evaluation of the biological control of vine mealybug: refuge effects and climate. J. Appl. Eco. 45: 524–536.

- Gutierrez, A.P., Neuenschwander, P. and Vanalphen, J.J.M. (1993).Factors affecting biological control of cassava mealybug by exotic parasitoids a ratio-dependent supply-demand driven model.*J. Appl. Eco.* **30**: 706–721.
- Hala, N., Quilici, S., Gnago, A. J., N'Depo, O. R., N'Da Adopo, A., Kouassi, P. and Allou, K. (2006). Status of fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Cote d'Ivoire and implications for mango exports. In: Proceedings for the 7th International Symposium on Fruit Flies of Economic Importance; September 10-15 2006, Salvador Brazil. pp.233-239.
- Herren, H.R. (1981). Current biological control research at IITA, with special emphasis on the cassava mealybug (Phenacoccus manihoti Mat-Fer). Dakar (Senegal),USAID. pp.92-97.
- Herrera, C. J., Van, R. G. and Bellotti, A. C. (1981). Temperature dependent growth rates for the cassava mealybug, *Phenacoccus herreni* and two of its encyrtid parasitoids, *Epidirocarsis diversicornis* and *Acerophagus coccois* in colombia. J. Agric. Biol. 50(1): 21-27.
- Irulandi, S., Kumar, P.K.V., Seetharama, H.G. and Sreedharan, K. (2001). Bioefficacy of neem formulations alone and in combination with synthetic insecticide against mealybug, *Planococcus citri* (Risso) on *Coffea. J. Coffee Res.* 29: 56–60.
- Ishaq, M., Usman, M., Asif, M. and Khan, L.A. (2004). Integrated pest management of mango against mealy bug and fruit fly.*J. Agric. Biol.* **6**: 452-454.
- Ivbijaro, M. F. and Udensi, N. (1988). A preliminary survey of Lagos, Ogun and Oyo State for the incidence of attack of mangoes and other plants by a complex of mealybug and fungi, a study report submitted through the National Horticultural Research Institute, Ibadan, to the Federal Department of Agriculture, Abuja, September 19. p.8.
- Ivbijaro, M.F., Udensi, N., Ukwela U.M. and Anno-Nyako, F.V. (1991). Geographical distribution and host range in Nigeria of the mango mealy

bug, *Rastrococcus invadens* Williams, a serious exotic pest of horticulture and other crops. *Insect Sci. Appl.* **13**: 411-416.

- James, D.G. (2004). Effect of buprofezin on survival of immature stages of Harmonia axyridis, Stethorus punctum picipes (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae), Orius tristicolor (Hemiptera:Anthocoridae), and Geocoris spp. (Hemiptera: Geocoridae). J. Eco. Entomol. 97: 900–904.
- Kapadia, M.N. (2003). Insect pests of mango and their management. In: National Seminar on Mango, G.A.U, Junagadh, June 14-15.
- Karar, H., Arif, J., Saeed, S. and Sayeed, H.A. (2006). *A threat to Mango*. DAWN Sci-tech. World, December 23, 2006.
- Karar, H., Arif, M.J., Sayyed, H.A., Saeed, S., Abbas, G. and Arshed, M. (2009). Integrated Pest Management of Mango Mealybug (*Drosicha mangiferae*) in Mango Orchards. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* 11: 81–84.
- Karar, H., Jalal H., Arif, A., Ali A., Hussain M. and Shah Fiaz, H. (2013). Effect of Cardinal Directions and Weather Factors on Population Dynamics of Mango Mealybug, *Drosicha mangiferae* (Green) (Margarodidae: Homoptera) on *Chaunsa* cultivar of Mango. *Pakistan J. Zool.* 45(6): 1541-1547.
- Karar, H., M.J. Arif, H.A. Sayyed, M. Ashfaq and M. Aslam, (2009). Comparative efficacy of new and old insecticides for the control of mango mealybug (*Drosicha mangiferae* G.) in mango orchards. *Intl. J.Agri. Bio.*12: 443–446.
- Khan, R.A. And Ahsan, M. (2008). Saving mango from mealy bug.Daily Dawi. Pakistan.
- Kittiphoom, S. (2012). Utilization of mango seed. Intl. Food Res. J. 19(4): 1325-1335.
- Kosztarab, M. and Kozar, F. (1988). Scale insects of Central Europe. Dr. W. Junk Publishers, Dordrecht.

- Larrain, P.S. (1999). Effect of chemigation and painted applications of imidacloprid (ConfidorReg.) upon *Pseudococcus viburni* (Signoret) (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae) populations in table grapes. *Agric Tec Santiago*. 59: 13–25.
- Litz, R.E. (1997). The Mango: Botany, Production and Uses. CAB International, University Press, Cambridge. p.587.
- Lohr, B. and Ellis, C.K. (1990). Analysis of biological control of cassava pests in Africa: II. Cassava mealybug, *Phenacoccus manihoti. J. Appl. Eco.* 25: 921-940.
- Lowery, D.T. and Isman, M.B. (1995). Toxicity of neem to natural enemies of aphids *Phytoparasitica*, J. Eco. Entomol. **23**(4): 297-306.
- Malsch, A.K.F., Kaufmann, E., Heckroth, H.P., Williams, D.J., Maryati, M. and Maschwitz, U. (2001). Continuous transfer of subterranean mealybugs (Hemiptera, Pseudococcidae) by *Pseudolasius* spp. (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) during colony fission. *Insectes Sociaux*. 48: 333–341.
- Mani and Krishnamoorthy, A. (1998).Regulation of *Rastrococcus iceryoides* (Green) on guava. *Insect Environ.* **4**(3): 71.
- Martin, N.A. and Workman, P.J. (1999). Efficacy of insecticides for long-tailed mealybug control. In: Proceedings of the Fifty Second New Zealand Plant Protection Conference, Auckland Airport Centre, Auckland, New Zealand, 10–12 August 1999. Wine Industry Association, Western Australia.
- Matokot, L., Reyd, G., Malonga, P. and Ru, B.I. (1992). Population dynamics of *Rastrococcus invadens* (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae) in the Congo; influence of accidental introduction of the Asiatic parasitoid *Gyranusoideatebygi* (Hymnoptera: Encyrtidae). *Entomophaga*. 37: 123–140.
- McKenzie, H.L. (1967). Mealybugs of California with taxonomy, biology, and control of North American species (Homoptera: Cooccoidea:

Pseudococcidae). University of California Press, Berkeley, California, USA. p. 526.

- Mehta, R. and George, J. (2003). Processed Food Products Exports from India: An Exploration with SPS Regime.
- Meyerdirk, D.E., French, J.V. and Hart, W.G. (1982). Effect of pesticide residues on the natural enemies of citrus mealybug.*Environ.Entomol.***11**: 134–136.
- Mittler, T.E. and Douglas, A.E. (2003). Honeydew. In: Resh VH, Cardé RT (Eds) Encyclopedia of insects. Academic, Amsterdam.
- Mohamed, S.A., Overholt, W.A., Wharton, R.A., Lux, S.A. and Eltoum, E.M. (2003). Host specificity of *Psyttalia cosyrae* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) and the effect of different host species on parasitoid fitness. *Bio.Contrl.* 28: 155-163.
- Moore D. (2004).Biological control of *Rastrococcus invadens.Biocontrl. News* Inform. **25**: 17-27.
- Moore, D. (1988). Agents used for biological control of mealybugs (Pseudococcidae). *Biocontrl. News. Inform.* **9**: 209–225.
- Moore, D. and Cross, A.E. (1992). Competition between primary parasitoids, *Gyranusoidea tebygi* Noyes and *Anagyrus magicola* Noyes attacking the mealybug *Rastrococcus invadens* Williams and the influence of an hyperparasitoid. *Chartocarus hyalipennis* Hayat. *Bio. Sci. Technol.* **2**: 225-234.
- Morishita, M. (2005). Effect of bark-scraping, dormant spray of petroleum oil and applying pesticide in late spring on density of Japanese mealybug, *Planococcus kraunhiae* (Kuwana), in persimmon. Annual Report of The Kansai Plant Protection Society. 47: 123–124.
- Muniappan, R., Meyerdirk, D.E., Sengebau, F.M., Berringer, D.D. and Reddy, G.V.P. (2006). Classical biological control of the papaya mealybug,

Paracoccus marginatus (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) in the Republic of Palau. *Florida Entomologist*. **89**: 212–217.

- Muthukrishnan, N., Manoharan, T., Thevan, P.S.T. and Anbu, S. (2005). Evaluation of buprofezin for the management of grape mealybug, *Maconellicoccus hirsutus* (Green). J. Entomol. Res. **29**: 339–344.
- Nagrare, V.S., Kumar, R. and Dharajothi, B. (2012). A record of five mealybug species as minor pest of cotton in India. *J. Entomol. Zool. Studies*. **2**(4):110-114.
- Nahed, F. Abdelaziz, H., Salem, A. and Sammour. E.A. (2014). Insecticidal effect of certain ecofriendly compounds on some scale insects and mealybugs and their side effects on antioxidant enzymes of mango nurslings. *Arch. Phytopath. Plant Protect.* 47:1-4.
- Nasreen, A., Ashfaq, M., Mustafa, G. and Khan, R.R. (2007). Mortality rates of five commercial insecticides on *Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens) (Chrysopidae: Neuroptera). *Pakistan J. Agric. Sci.* 44: 266-271.
- Naumann, K. and Isman, M.B. (1996). Toxicity of neem (*Azadirachta indica* A. Juss) seed extracts to larval honeybees and estimation of dangers from field application. *American Bee J.* **136**: 518-20.
- Nestel, D., Cohen, H., Saphir, N., Klein, M. and Mendel, Z. (1995). Spatial distribution of scale insects comparative study using Taylor's power-law. *J. Envir. Entomol.* **24**: 506–512.
- Neuenschwander, P. (1993). Human interactions in classical biological control of cassava and mango mealybugs on subsistence farms in tropical Africa. In: Crop Protection Strategies for subsistence Farmers. Altieri, M.A. (Ed.). pp.143-177.
- Neuenschwander, P. (1996). Evaluating the efficacy of biological control of three exotic homopteran pests in tropical Africa. *Entomophaga*. **41**: 405–424.

- Neuenschwander, P. (2001). Biological control of the cassava mealybug in Africa: A review. *Bio.Contrl.* **21**: 214–229.
- Neuenschwander, P., Boavida, C., Bokonon-Ganta, A., Gado, A. and Herren, H.R. (1994). Establishment and spread of *Gyranusoidea tebygi* Noyes and *Anagyrus mangicola* Noyes (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae), two biological control agents released against the mango mealybug *Rastrococcus invadens* Williams (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae) in Africa. *Bio. Sci. Technol.* 4: 61–69.
- Norgaard, R.B. (1988). The biological control of cassava mealybug in Africa. *American J. Agri. Eco.* **72**: 366–371.
- Noyes, J.S, and Hayat, M. (1994). Oriental Mealybug Parasitoids of the Anageryrini (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae). CAB International, The Natural History Museum, London. p. 544.
- Pakistan Gul, H.G., Bajwa, A. and Panhwar, G.N. (1997). Integrated control of mango mealy bug *Drosicha stebbingi* Green (Hemiptera: Margarodidae) infesting forestry tree species at the Pakistan Forest Institute, Peshawar. *Pakistan J. Forest.* 47: 65–72.
- Patriquin, D.G., Barnes, I. and Abboud, A. (1995). Diseases, pests and soil fertility. *Soil Manage. Sust.Agric.* p.161-174.
- Paul, V.M., Nguyen, T. and Arnold, V. (2001). Farmers knowledge perceptions and practices in mango pest management in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam. *Intl. J. Pest Manage*. 47(1):7-16.
- Peng, R.K. and Christian, K. (2005). Integrated pest management in mango orchards in the Northern Territory of Australia, using the weaver ant, *Oecophylla smaragdina*, (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) as a key element. *Intl. J. Pest Manage.* 51: 149–155.
- Pitan, O.O., Akinlosotu, T.A. and Odebiyi, J.A. (2000). Impact of *Gyranusoidea tebygi* Noyes (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae) on the mango mealybug,

Rastrococcus invadens (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae) in Nigeria. Bio.Sci. Technol. 10: 245–254.

- Prasad, K., Divakar, B.N., Hegde, N.K. and Ganigara, B.S. (1998).Nature of damage and efficacy of insecticides against mealybug, *Ferrisia virgata* (Ckll.) on black pepper cuttings. *Pest Manage. Hort.Ecosys.* 4: 52–53.
- Prassad, V. and Singh, R.K. (1976). Prevalence and control of mango Mealybug, Drosicha stebbingi Green in Bihar. Indian J. Entomol. **38**: 214–224.
- Pruthi, H.S. and Batra, H.N. (1960). Some important fruit pests of North West India. *ICAR Bull.* **80**: 1-113.
- Rahman, K.A and Latif, M.A. (1944). Description, bionomics and control of the giant mealy bug *Drosicha stebbingi Gr. Bull. Entomol. Res.* **35**: 197-209.
- Ranjan, R. (2006). Economic impacts of pink hibiscus mealybug in Florida and the United States. Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment. 20: 353–362.
- Rao C.N. and Shivankarand V.J. (2006). Citrus mealy bug (*Planococcus citri Risso*) management- a review. *Agic. Review.* **27**(2): 142-146.
- Rashid, M. M., Khattak, M.K. and Abdullah, K. (2012). Evaluation of Botanical and Synthetic Insecticides for the Management of Cotton Pest Insects. *Pakistan J. Zool.* 44: 1317-1324.
- Rezaei, M., Talebi, K., Naveh, V.H. and Kavousi.A. (2007). Impacts of the pesticides imidacloprid, propargite, and pymetrozine on *Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens) (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae): IOBC and life table assays. *Bio.Contrl.* 52: 385-398.
- Sathe, T.V., Shendge, N., Khairmode, P.V., Kambale, C., Patil, S.S. and Desai, A.S. (2014). Incidence and damage of mealy bug *Droschia mangiferae* Green (Hemiptera: Coccidae) on mango *Mangifera indica* L. from Kolhapur District, India. *Intl. J. Sci. Environ. Technol.* 3(3): 905-909.

- Sauco, V. (1997). Mango World Production (Outside Israeal, Egypt and India). *Acta Hort.* **455**: 15–22.
- Sazo, L., Pizarro, E. and Araya, J.E. (2006).Effect of the form of application of imidacloprid oncontrol of the long-tailed mealybug *Pseudococcus longispinus* (Targioni & Tozzetti) on avocado and its impact on *Neoseiulus californicus* (McGregor) in Chile.*Boletín de Sanidad Vegetal Plagas.* 32: 483–490.
- Sen A.C. and Prasad, D. (1956). Biology and control of mango mealy bug Drosicha mangiferae Green. Indian J. Entomol. 18: 127-140.
- Shafqat, S., Munir, A., Mushtaq, A. and Kwon, Y.J. (2007). Insecticidal control of the mealybug *Phenacoccus gossypiphilous* (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae), a new pest of cotton in Pakistan. *Entomol. Res.* 37: 76–80.
- Shahito, H.A., Agro, G.H., Khuro R.D., Lanjar, A.G. and Mahmmod, R. (2012).
 Biological and morphological studies of cotton mealybug, *Phenacocccus* solenopsis Tinsley (Hemiptera:Pseudococcidae) development under laboratory environment. *Pakistan J. Entomol. Karachi.* 25(2): 131-141.
- Siddiqui, B.S., Ali, S.T., Tariq, R.M., Gulzar, T., Rasheed, M. and Mehmood, R. (2009). GC-based analysis of insecticidal constituents of the flower of *Azadirachta indica*. J. Natural Protect. Res. 23(3): 271-283.
- Silva, E.B. and Mexia, A. (1999). The pest complex Cryptoblabes gnidiella (Milliére) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) and Planococcus citri (Risso) (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae) on sweet orange groves (Citrus sinensis (L.) Osbeck) in Portugal: interspecific association. Boletín de Sanidad Vegetal Plagas. 25: 89–98.
- Singh, A. (2012). Probable Agricultural Biodiversity Heritage Sites in India: XIII. Lower Gangetic Plain or Delta Region. *Asian Agri. History.* **16**(3): 237-260.
- Singh, L.B. (1968). The Mango: Botany, Cultivation and Utilization. World Crop Books, Leonard Hill, London. p. 438.

- Stiling, P. (1993). Why do natural enemies fail in classical biological control programs. *American Entomologist.* **39**:31–37.
- Stuart, R.J., Polavarapu, S., Lewis, E.E. and Gaugler, R. (1997). Differential susceptibility of *Dysmicoccus vaccinii* (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae) to entomopathogenic nematodes (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae and Steinernematidae). J. Eco. Entomol. 90: 925–932.
- Syed, R.A., Ghani, M.A. and Murtaza, M. (1970). Studies on the *Trypetides* and their natural enemies in West Pakistan III. *Tech. Bull. Common Wealth Inst. Biol. Control.* 13: 1–16.
- Tandon, P.L. and Lal, B. (1978). The mango coccid, *Rastrococcus iceryoides* Gree (Homoptera: Coccidae) and its natural enemies. *Current Sci.*13: 46-48.
- Tandon, P.L. and Verghese, A. (1985). World List of Insect, Mite and other Pests of Mango. Technical Document No. 5, IIHR, Banglore: **22**.
- Terra, W.R. and Ferreira, C. (2003). Digestive system. In: Resh VH, Cardé RT (Eds) Encyclopedia of insects. Academic Press, Amsterdam.
- Tobih, F.O., Omoloye, A.A., Ivbijaro, M.F. and Enobakhare, D.A. (2002). Effects of field infestation by *Rastrococcus invadens* Williams (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) on the morphology and nutritional status of mango fruits, *Mangifera indica* L. Crop Protec. 21: 757–761.
- Tonkyn, D.W. and Whitcomb, R.F. (1987).Feeding strategies and the guild concept among vascular feeding insects and microorganisms. In: Harris, K.F. (Ed) Current topics in vector research, Vol. 4.
- Van Den Berg, M.A., Hoppner, G. and Greenland, J. (2000). An economic study of the biological control of the spiny black fly, *Aleurocanthus spiniferus* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae), in a citrus orchard in Swaziland. *Bio. Sci. Technol.* 10: 27–32.

- Van Mele, P., Cuc, N.T.T. and Van Huis, A. (2000). Farmers' knowledge, perceptions and practices in mango pest management in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam. *Intl. J. Pest Manage.* 47: 7–16.
- Vayssieres, J.F., Sanogo, F. and Noussourou, M. (2005). Inventaire des especes de mouches des fruits (DipteraTephritidae) infeodees au manguier au Mali et essais de lutteraisonnée, Fruits. *Intl. J. Pest Manage*. **59**: 3–16.
- Vogele, J.M., Agounke, D. and Moore, D. (1991). Biological control of the fruit tree mealybug *Rastrococcus invadens* Williams in Togo: a preliminary sociological and economic evaluation. *Tropical Pest Manage*. 37: 379– 382.
- Whitehead, V.B. and De Kock, A. (1972). Reduction of mealybug populations in vineyards in the western Cape Province, South Africa. Abstr. 14thIntl. Congr. Entomol. Canberra. p. 246.
- Williams, D.J. (1986). Rastrococcus invaden ssp. n. (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) introduced from the oriental region to West Africa and causing damage to mango, citrus and other trees. Bull. Entomol.Res. 76: 695–699.
- Williams, D.J. and Granara de Willink, M.C. (1992). Mealybugs of Central and South America. CABI, Wallingford.
- Woglum, R.S., LaFollette, J.R., Landon, W.E. and Lewis, H.C. (1947). The effect of field-applied sweet orange.*Entomologica Bari*. **33**: 347–350.
- Yan-Zhang, H., Chang-Ju, Y., Dong, X., Akinkurolere, R.O. and Ying-Juan, Y. (2007). Contact and repellency activities of ethanol extracts from twenty medicinal plants against *Rhizopertha dominica*(Fab.) (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) *Acta Entomologica Sinica*. 50(2): 118-123.
- Yousuf, M. (1993). Mango mealybug control with polyethylene bands. *Pakistan Entomol.* **15**: 129.
- Yousuf, M. and Ashraf, M. (1987). Effect of some organophosphates on major insect pests of mango by stem injection. *Pakistan Ed.* **9**: 9-12.

- Zada, A., Dunkelblum, E., Assael, F., Franco, J.C., Silva, E.B., Protasov, A. and Mendel, Z. (2008). Attraction of *Planococcus ficus* males to racemic and chiral pheromone baits: flight activity and bait longevity. *J. Appl. Entomol.* 132: 480–489.
- Zeddies, J., Schaab, R.P., Neuenschwander, P. and Herren, H.R. (2001). Economics of biological control of cassava mealybug in Africa.*Agric. Eco.* **24**: 209–219.

