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# Effect of spacing on growth and yield of Oyster mushroom 

## (Pleurotus ostreatus)

## ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted at National Mushroom Development and Extension center at Savar, Dhaka during the period from October 2006 to January 2007 with a view to determine the influence of line to line and packet spacing on growth yield and quality of mushroom. Four levels of line spacing viz. $14,17,20,25 \mathrm{~cm}$ and six levels of packet spacing viz. $10,11,13$, $14,17,20 \mathrm{~cm}$ were taken as two factors of the experiment for the study. The line spacing showed significant influence on the mushroom growth and pileus shape and size. After that both mushroom growth and size of pileus did not show significant variation at days after opening. Number of primordia per packet was also significantly influenced by line and packet spacings. But the Number of effecting Fruiting body, Diameter of pileus, Thickness of pileus, Length of stalk, Diameter of stalk, Individual Fruiting body weight, yield per packet and per square meter yield were significantly influenced by the line and packet spacings. It was observed that wider line and packet spacings had better response on growth, and quality per packet while closer line and packet spacings showed higher yield per unit area. The interaction effect of line and packet spacing had significant influence on yield and yield parameters. The highest ( 11.7 kg or 11770 g ) yield per unit area (sq.m) was recorded from the combination of 14 cm line and 10 cm packet spacing which also did not perform the highest benefit cost ratio. The highest (2.98) benefit cost ratio was found from spacing $20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 11 \mathrm{~cm}$. While the lowest (1.91) BCR was obtained from the spacing of $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing. Considering the yield of mushroom per square meter, cost of production, benefit cost ratio and net return, the $20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 11 \mathrm{cmspacin}$ appeared to be recommendable for the cultivation of Oyester mushroom in Bangladesh.
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CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION

## CHAPTER I <br> INTRODUCTION

Mushrooms are large reproductive structures of edible fungi belonging to either Ascomycotina or Basidiomycotina Class. Since earliest times, mushrooms have been treated as a special kind of food. In recent years, it has occupied a very important place in man's dietary as a food item and has always been appreciated for its delicacy, nutritional value and medicinal properties. Mushrooms are recognized as the alternate source of good quality protein and are capable of producing the highest quantity of protein per unit area and time from the worthless agro-wastes (Chadha and Sharma, 1995). Compared to most other crops mushrooms contain $20-35 \%$ protein (dry weight basis) which is higher than vegetables and fruits. They are good source of protein vitamins and minerals (Khan et al. 1981) and contain about $85-95 \%$ water, $3 \%$ protein, (wet basis) $4 \%$ carbohydrates, $0.1 \%$ fats and $1 \%$ minerals and vitamins (Tewari, 1986). Mushroom protein quality is intermediate between that of animal and vegetable (Kurtzman 1974). Detrimental cholesterol is absent in mushroom but essential ergosterol is present (Chadha and Sharma, 1995). The present nutritional status of Bangladesh is a matter of great regrets concern. Because they produce large quantities in a short time (Gupta, 1986). Mushrooms have medicinal properties like anti-cancerous anti-cholesterol and anti-tumorous activities and useful against diabetic, ulcer and lung diseases (Quimio, 1976). In the era of healthy eating by cutting down calories, saturated fat and cholesterol, mushrooms are bound to attract the attention. Environmental factors (Air, temperature, relative humidity) play important role on mushroom
production. The environmental factors of Bangladesh are suitable for the production of Oyster mushroom (Pleurotus spp.) A temperature range of 20$26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and relative humidity of $70-90 \%$ is ideal for Pleurotus sajor-caju and fairly good crop can be obtained up to $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Fresh air or in other hand oxygen is essential for the proper growth and development of Oyster mushroom .Mushroom cultivation is measured in terms of carbon dioxide concentration in the growing room which is a measure of difference, between carbon dioxide produced in the growing room and the carbon dioxide removed through ventilation. Relative humidity of the growing room should be ranged from 8590 percent during spawn run.

The economy of Bangladesh is mainly agro based. Nearly all the arable areas of the country have been brought under plough and further agricultural expansion is almost impossible. On the other hand the unemployed population in our country is 2.2 million out of which 0.8 million is female (Anon., 2002). Mushrooms cultivation is labor intensive but land saving.It is also a short duration crop, and can be welcomed by the poor farmers of Bangladesh since it is a profitable business and does not require fertile land. But mushrooms have to be grown semi-controlled condition in a room. For the efficient use of space it is very important to determine the optimum spacing for mushroom cultivation.

Mushrooms produce huge amount of carbon dioxide which slowes the growth and twistes, distortes and stuntes the fruiting body (Nagaya and Kapoor, 1990) during cultivation and it is important to release the carbon dioxide from the
surroundings of mushroom spawn packets. Light is an important factor for the development of the pinhead of the Oyster mushroom. It requires about 80 to 210 lux light intensity for maximum number of fruiting body per unit area. Mushroom emits heat during growing period and requires high relative humidity. These factors influenced by the line to line and packet to packet spacing of the Oyster mushroom (Alicbusan and santiago, 1975). Therefore, it is very important to know the effect of spacing to obtain the desired yield of mushroom. Since mushroom is a newly introduced crop in Bangladesh, the information regarding the influence of spacing on its growth and yield is very limited.

Considering the facts, the present study was undertaken with the following objective.

- To determine optimum line to line and packet to packet spacing for highest growth of Oyster mushroom in poly bag.
- To determine optimum line to line and packet to packet spacing for highest yield of Oyster mushroom in poly bag.
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## CHAPTER II

## Review of literature

A number of literatures relating to different substrate and plant growth regulators on mushroom cultivation are available but literature on spacing of mushroom are not available. Literature review is given below based on the effects of different spacing on mushroom cultivation.

## Effect of spacing on growth and yield

Gilbert (1988) observed that highest growth due to low density (space efficiency) and high ventilation has limited use for most growing during the spawn run. It may be more efficient to use more humid conditions during fruiting periods.

Uphoff (2004) reported that if the beds were appropriately laid out, they can become permanent with System of Rice Intensification (SRI) rice planted in two or three rows on the beds in the spring, after mushroom harvesting ends in April. This was an interesting no-till cultivation system. Since the soil was very rich and deep, placement of seedlings into the soil of mushroom beds were very easy. The recommended spacing was $40 \mathrm{~cm} \times 45 \mathrm{~cm}$, which he thought at first would be too wide for best yield. He also mentioned that mushroom beds were so organically rich and fertile, that wide spacing worked fine.

Nagaya and Kapoor (1990) reported that high availability of water was necessary because mushrooms were approximately $90 \%$ water by weight. Disrupting the water supply can result in stunted, disproportioned, or aborted
fruit bodies. Constant ventilation was necessary because mushroom produce carbon dioxide. Carbon dioxide is not vented or mixed with fresh air, thus the carbon dioxide, which is heavier than the other gases in the air, will accumulate at the bottom of the growing space around the cake culture and cause growth to be slowed and/or the fruit bodies to be twisted, distorted or stunted.

Alicbusan and Santiago (1967) mentioned that the convexed roof is better for air circulation at about 2.4 m of height from the floor to the rafter. Too ventilation with high covers properly screened to keep out insects. The length of the house was 7.5 m in length and it was 4.5 m wide. There were fine rows of one foot high cement blocks spaced 60 cm apart where 150 mushroom boxes could be accommodated. This type of growing house can be constructed singly or in a series of five or more units.

Alicbusan and Santiago (1975) reported that the planting were $5-8 \mathrm{~cm}$ from the edge of the straw and 5 cm apart. He also recommended during the dry season a four layer bed be used to counter lower relative humidity. Beds of six or more layer were possible in the wet season.

Adams (2000) observed that tube could be created using tubing up to 16 inches in diameter and 8 feet long. The next step is very important. Using a 16 unit, punch holes into the bag, spacing them about 15.24 cm apart.

Leatham (1982) mentioned that enough holes were drilled in the log to provide spacing about 500 cm sq. per hole.

## Benefit cost ratio

Pungputinum (1995) conducted an experiment to know the general characteristics of the mushroom producer cost and return of each mushroom production as well as the production function and efficiency of input in producing Oyster mushroom, Pleurotus spp. collecting primary data from the crop year 1993, conducted by interviewing producers in 4 regions: Northern, Northeastern, Central and Southern through questionnaires. From the study of 122 samples, the result showed that most of the mushroom producers have approximately 5 years experience in producing mushrooms and fifty seven percent of the sample have been producing mushroom as their main career. There are 7 kinds of mushroom being produced: Oyster mushroom, Pleurotus spp, Ear mushroom balone mushroom, Lentinus squarrouslus, Lentinus polychrous and shiitake. These mushrooms can be cultured in plastic bag containing sawdust. An analysis of cost and return found that the average cost of producing mushroom per kg ranged from 5-8 baths and the producer gets the net profit ranging from 8-12 baths per kg depending on the kind of mushroom. The average cost of producing spore bag was 3 bath per bag and the net profit from selling spore bag ranged from 0.08-1.45 baht per bag. The estimation of Oyster mushroom, Pleuribus $s p$, production function by quadratic from revealed that there are only 2 input factors that have significant impact on production namely, sawdust and labour. The total elasticity of the production at 1.17 indicated the increasing returns to scale. To maximize profit from these
two kinds of mushroom production, the increases in the use of sawdust and decreases in the use of labour must be adjusted to reach the optimum level.

Sarker et al (2004) studied the performances of different substrates and compared benefit cost ratio (BCR) of mushroom production. The highest BCR (6.50) was estimated when wheat straw was used as substrate followed by sugarcane bagasse (5.90), waste paper (5.65), rice straw (5.58) and kansh (5.25). The lowest BCR was obtained from water hyacinth (1.05).
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## MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted in the Plant Tissues Culture (PTC) laboratory and the mushroom culture house of National Mushrooms Development Centre, Sobhanbug, Savar, Dhaka during the period of October 2006 to January 2007. Different steps involved in the implementation of the experiment are described below.

## Maintaining Environmental condition

The temperature of the laboratory was maintained within the range of $20-22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by air cooler. The temperature and relative humidity of the mushroom culture house was maintained by spraying water. The mean maximum temperature was $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mean minimum temperature was $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and maximum relative humidity was $90 \%$ and the mean minimum relative humidity was $41 \%$. The mean maximum and minimum light intensities were 231 and 50 lux respectively. The environmental data: temperature, relative humidity and light intensity in culture house are given in Appendix-I.

## Source of spawn collection

A spawn culture of Pleurotus ostreotus a widely cultivated species of Oyster mushroom in Bangladesh (Amin, 2002) was collected from the National Mushroom Development and Extension Centre (NAMDEC), Sobhanbug, Savar, Dhaka.

## Preparation of pure culture

Pure culture of the selected mushroom fungus was prepared on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) media containing infusion of 200 g of peeled and sliced potato, 20 g of dextrose and 18 g of agar. The mixture was boiled on gas burner until the agar dissolved. Then the medium was poured into glass Petri dishes $(90 \mathrm{~mm}$ diameter) of $15 \mathrm{ml} /$ dish volume. The medium in Petri dish was sterilized in an autoclave for 15 minutes at $120 \pm 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $1 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ pressure. After sterilization and solidification the plates were inoculated with tissue from full grown fruiting body of Oyster mushroom (Pleurotus ostreatus). A fresh and full grown sporophore of Oyster mushroom was surface sterilized with $70 \%$ ethanol by rubbing cotton soaked in the alcohol. The stalk was peeled from the external side. Tissues were collected from the inner region of stalk of the sporophore. The tissues were cut into small pieces and placed on the solidified Petri dish containing PDA. After inoculation the PDA plates were covered with cellophane paper. All operations were done under sterile condition in a clean bench. The inoculated Petri dishes were transferred to a growth chamber maintaining temperature $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and incubated for 8 days. This 8 day old PDA culture was used for inoculation of mother culture.

## Preparation of mother culture

The Mother culture was prepared by mixing sawdust and wheat bran at the ratio of $2: 1$. Calcium carbonate was used at the rate of $0.2 \%$ of the mixture. The moisture level of the mixture was maintained at $65 \%$ by adding tap water.

Polypropylene bags of $18 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ size were filled with 200 g of the above prepared mixture and packed tightly. The neck of the bag was made of heat resistant plastic tube. A hole of about $2 / 3$ deep of the volume of the bag was made at the centre with a sharp end stick for space to put inoculums. The neck was plugged with cotton and covered with brown paper and tied with a placing rubber band. The packets were sterilized in an autoclave for one hour at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $1 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ pressure. After sterilization the packets were cooled for 24 hours and transferred into a clean bench. A piece of pure (PDA) culture medium containing mycelium of Oyster mushroom was placed aseptically in the hole of mother culture packet and again plugged the packet as mentioned before. Then the inoculated packets were placed on metal rack in the plant tissue culture laboratory at $25 \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ temperature for incubation. The medium of the mother culture was colonized by fungus as manifested by white colony growth mycelium within 15-16 days of inoculation. The fully colonized packets were used for spawning.

## Preparation of spawn packets

Sawdust and wheat bran were used at the ratio of $2: 1$. Water was added to make the moisture content $65 \%$ and $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ was added at the rate of $0.2 \%$ of the total mixture. Polypropylene bags of $22.5 \mathrm{~cm} \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}$ size were filled with 500 gm of substrate mixture. The procedure of packet preparation, plugging, sterilization and incubation were the same as mentioned under the section preparation of mother culture. Each spawn packet was inoculated with the
mother culture at the rate of two teaspoonfuls per packet. After inoculation, the packets were incubated in the laboratory. Growth of mycelium in the spawn packets was completed within about 25 days.

## Culture house activities

The brown paper, rubber bands, cotton plug and plastic neck of the spawn packets were removed and the mouths of polypropylene bags were wrapped and tied with rubber bands. Two ends, opposite to each other of the upper position of the plastic bag were opened by removing the plastic sheet with a scalpel making " $D$ " shape opening. The opened surface of the substrate was scraped slightly with a teaspoon for removing the thin whitish mycelia layer. The spawn packets were soaked in water for 15 minutes and inverted for 15 minutes to remove excess water. The packers were placed on the floor culture house maintaining spacing of relevant treatment. The relative humidity and temperature of culture house were maintained at optimum level by watering thrice a daily. Light intensity and proper ventilation in culture house were maintained for fruiting body development.

## Harvesting

The mushroom fruiting body was harvested by gentle twisting before the mushroom showed slightly curled edges. After first harvest the scraping and soaking were done again as during first opening of the packet for subsequent
harvest. Scraping, soaking, remove excess water, packet placing and watering were done as mentioned before.

## Data collection

Data were collected from 3 packets of each plot from the middle rows to avoid boarder effect following standard procedures as described hereafter. The following parameters were record
a. Days to primordial initiation (DPI)
b. No. of primordia (NP)
c. No. of effective fruiting body (NEFB)
d. Length of stipe (LS)
e. Diameter of stipe (DS)
f. Diameter of pileus (DP)
g. Thickness of pileus (TP)

## Data calculation

Data were calculated from the randomly selected 3 packets of each unit area of the middle rows to avoid boarder effect following standard procedures and data also calculated from each unit area. The following parameters were record
a. Biological yield (BY)/packet
b. Economic yield (EY)/packet
c. Biological yield per square meter
d. Economic yield per square meter

No. of effective fruiting body: Number of well developed fruiting body was recorded. Dry and pinheaded fruiting body was discarded. But twisted and tiny fruiting body was included during counting.

Dimension of pileus and stalk: Thickness of the pili of four randomly selected fruiting bodies were recorded using a slide caliper. Diameter of pileus, length and diameter of stalk were recorded.

Biological yield: Biological yield, in $\mathrm{g} / 500$ packet, was recorded by weighing the whole cluster of fruiting body without removing the lower hard and dirty portion.

Economical yield: Economical yield in g/500 packet was recorded by weighting all the fruiting bodies in a packet after removing the lower hard and dirty portion.

Benefit cost ratio: The Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) for different treatments were computed based on present market price of mushroom and cost of required packet used in the study/treatment.

## Treatments

Factor A: Line to line distance (cm)

1. $\mathrm{LD}_{1}: 14 \mathrm{~cm}$
2. $\mathrm{LD}_{2}: 17 \mathrm{~cm}$
3. $\mathrm{LD}_{3}: 20 \mathrm{~cm}$
4. $\mathrm{LD}_{4}: 25 \mathrm{~cm}$

## Factor B: Packet to packet distance (cm)

1. $\mathrm{PD}_{1}: 10 \mathrm{~cm}$
2. $\mathrm{PD}_{2}: 11 \mathrm{~cm}$
3. $\mathrm{PD}_{3}: 13 \mathrm{~cm}$
4. $\mathrm{PD}_{4}: 14 \mathrm{~cm}$
5. $\mathrm{PD}_{5}: 17 \mathrm{~cm}$
6. $\mathrm{PD}_{6}: 20 \mathrm{~cm}$

## Design and layout of the experiment

The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD).
The number of replication was 3 and the numbers of subplot were 72 .
Treatments were randomly placed on the floor of culture house. Dimension of each subplot was $1 \mathrm{~m} \times 1 \mathrm{~m}$. The unit plots and blocks were separated by a spacing of 0.2 m and 0.5 m respectively.

## Statistical analysis

Data on various parameters were statistically analyzed by using the 'MSTATC' program. All the characters were subjected to analysis for variance (ANOVA). Means were compared using Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) (Gomez and Gomez 1984).

## Economic analysis

The cost of production was analyzed in order to find out the profitability of the treatment combination. All the non material cost and interest on running capital were considered for computing the cost of production. The interest on running capital was calculated at the rate of $12 \%$ per year for three months. The rent of the house was considered as per place and the price of mushroom at harvest was considered as $\mathrm{Tk} .140 / \mathrm{kg}$. The benefit cost ratio was calculated as follows:

$$
\text { Benefit cost ratio }(\mathrm{BCR})=\quad \frac{\text { Gross return per unit area }}{\text { Total cost of production per unit area }}
$$
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## Results and discussion

The experiment was carried out to investigate the effect of the line to line and packet to packet distance on growth, yield and yield exponents of Oyster mushroom (Pleurotus ostreatus). The results obtained in the study have been described and discussed in this section.

## Days to primordia initiation

Days to primordia initiation (DPI) ranged from 2.78 to 4.11 at different spacing. The highest (4.11) days to primordial initiation was found from 25 $\mathrm{cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing. Days required for stimulation of primordia initiation were minimum ( 2.67 days) from the spacing $17 \mathrm{~cm} \times 14 \mathrm{~cm}$ which was statically similar to sracing of $17 \mathrm{~cm} \times 11 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $14 \mathrm{~cm} \times 11 \mathrm{~cm}$ (Table 1). This study showed that Days to primordia initiation (DPI) were higher at wider spacing and lower at lower spacing. This result is in agreement with the finding of Gilbert (1988) who also found the similar results, reported that wider spacing was more useful for primordial initiation.


Table 1. Effect of line to line and packet to packet distance on days to primordia initiation of Oyster mushroom (Pleurotus ostreatus)

| Packet to <br> packet distance <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Line to line distance (cm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{LD}_{1}$ |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{LD}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{LD}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{LD}_{4}$ |
|  | 3.22 b e | 3.10 cde | 3.67 abc | 3.67 abc |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{2}$ | 2.78 e | 2.89 e | 3.55 a d | 3.78 ab |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{3}$ | 3.11 cde | 3.00 de | 3.77 ab | 3.77 ab |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{4}$ | 3.11 cde | 2.66 e | $3.55 \mathrm{a} \mathbf{d}$ | 3.66 abc |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{5}$ | 3.00 de | 3.11 cde | 3.89 a | 3.60 abc |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{6}$ | 3.00 de | 3.11 cde | 3.77 ab | 4.11 a |  |  |  |  |  |

Means within the column and rows, under a parameter, having a common letter do not differ significantly $(\mathrm{P}=0.05)$.

Line to line distance (cm) $\mathrm{LD}_{1}: 14 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{LD}_{2}: 17 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{LD}_{3}: 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $\mathrm{LD}_{4}: 25 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Packet to packet distance $(\mathrm{cm}) \mathrm{PD}_{1}: 10 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{PD}_{2}: 11 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{PD}_{3}: 13 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{PD}_{4}: 14 \mathrm{~cm}$, $\mathrm{PD}_{5}: 17 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $\mathrm{PD}_{6}: 20 \mathrm{~cm}$

## Number of primordia/packet

The number of primordia/packet was significantly influenced by different line and packet spacing. The combined effect between line and packet spacing showed statistically significant difference on the number of primordial per packet and per unit area (sq.m) of space (Table 2). The maximum (66) number of primordia per packet was recorded from $17 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing. The minimum (38.89) number of primordia per packet was recorded from the $14 \mathrm{~cm} \times 13 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing (Table 2), which was statistically similar to $14 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}, 14 \mathrm{~cm} \times 14$
$\mathrm{cm}, 14 \mathrm{~cm} \times 17 \mathrm{~cm}, 14 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}, 20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 11 \mathrm{~cm}, 20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 14 \mathrm{~cm}, 20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 17$ $\mathrm{cm}, 20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}, 25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}, 25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 11 \mathrm{~cm}, 25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 13 \mathrm{~cm}, 25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 14$ $\mathrm{cm}, 25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 17 \mathrm{~cm}, 25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing.

This study showed that the number of primordia per packet increased with the increased of spacing. But after certain limit of spacing it was decreased, because most fungi require adequate aeration for vegetative growth.Proper spacing is very important for the growth of fruting body. The result of the present study is similar to the finding of Gilbert (1988) who found that lower density was useful for fruiting body growth.It might be due to closer spacing may have an inhibitory role in number of primordial per packet.

## Number of primordia per unit area of space

The number of primordia per unit area was statistically found to be influenced by the line to line and packet to packet distance. The combination of line to line and packet to packet spacing significantly influenced the number of primordia per unit area.

The highest number (3960) of primordial per plot was recorded from the 17 cm $\times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ and lowest (908.9) number of primordia per unit area was recorded from the $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing respectively (Table 2 ). The reason lies behind the fact that wider line to line and packet to packet wide spacing, which affected on micro environment of the surrounding of the packet which influenced the number of primordial of the packet. The result of the present study for this characters are in agreement with the findings of Gilbert (1988)
who stated that number of primordia per unit area was decreased with the close spacing.

Table 2. Effect of line to line and packet to packet distance on the number of primordia per packet and per unit area of Oyster mushroom (Pleurotus ostreatus)

| Packet to | Line to line distance (cm) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| packet distance <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | $\mathrm{LD}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{LD}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{LD}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{LD}_{4}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Number of primordia per packet |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{1}$ | 39.67 gh | 66.00 a | $56.11 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e}$ | $48.33 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{h}$ |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{2}$ | 42.89 efgh | $54.56 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{f}$ | 47.33 d h | 41.22 fgh |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{3}$ | 38.89 h | 61.67 abc | 60.89 abc | $44.00 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{h}$ |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{4}$ | $48.67 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{h}$ | $57.89 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}$ | 50.11 ch | 46.67 d h |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{5}$ | $48.67 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{h}$ | 64.22 ab | $46.78 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{h}$ | $45.67 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{h}$ |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{6}$ | $48.78 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{h}$ | $53 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{g}$ | $49.33 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{h}$ | $45.44 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{h}$ |

Number of primordia per unit area(sq. m)

| $\mathrm{PD}_{1}$ | 2777 bcd | 3960 a | 2806 bc | 1933 f f |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{2}$ | 2702 bcd | 2946 b | 2130 efg | $1484 \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{l}$ |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{3}$ | 2178 efg | 2960 b | 2436 cde | 1408 jkl |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{4}$ | $2385 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{f}$ | 2431 cde | $1754 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{j}$ | $1307 \mathrm{j}-\mathrm{m}$ |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{5}$ | $2044 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{h}$ | 2312 def | 1403 jkl | 1096 lm |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{6}$ | $1707 \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{k}$ | $1590 \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{k}$ | 1233 klm | 908.9 m |

Means within the column and rows, under a parameter, having a common letter do not differ significantly ( $\mathrm{P}=0.05$ ).

Line to line distance (cm) $\mathrm{LD}_{1}: 14 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{LD}_{2}: 17 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{LD}_{3}: 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $\mathrm{LD}_{4}: 25 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Packet to packet distance (cm) $\mathrm{PD}_{1}: 10 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{PD}_{2}: 11 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{PD}_{3}: 13 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{PD}_{4}: 14 \mathrm{~cm}$, $\mathrm{PD}_{5}: 17 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $\mathrm{PD}_{6}: 20 \mathrm{~cm}$

Number of effective fruiting body per packet and per square meter The number of fruiting body per packet was counted at different spacing and the data were analyzed statistically. The results showed that there was significant variation due to line to line and packet to distance. The maximum (41.00) number of effective fruiting body per packet was recorded from the line spacing 17 cm and packet spacing 10 cm , which was statistically similar to 17 $\mathrm{cm} \times 11 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $17 \mathrm{~cm} \times 13 \mathrm{~cm}, 14 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing. The minimum number of effective fruiting body was recorded from $14 \mathrm{~cm} \times 13 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing (Table 3). The number of fruiting body increased with the increase of spacing up to 17 $\mathrm{cm} \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ and decreased there after. The combined effect of line to line and packet to packet distance on the number of effective fruiting body was significant. The widest and the closest spacing have inhibitory role on NEFB per packet.

## Fruiting body per unit area of space

The interaction effect between line to line and packet to packet spacing significantly influenced on number of effective fruiting body per unit area . The highest (2460) number of fruiting body per plot was recorded from the $17 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing and minimum number (548.9) of fruiting body per plot was recorded from the $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing which was the widest spacing among all (Table3). The result of the present study for this character is in agreement with the findings of Gilbert (1988) who stated that low distance and high ventilation are more useful for the growth of fruiting body.

Table 3. Effect of line to line and packet to packet distance on the number of fruiting body per packet and per unit area of Oyster mushroom (Pleurotus ostreatus)

| Packet to packet distance (cm) | Line to line distance (cm) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{LD}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{LD}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{LD}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{LD}_{4}$ |
|  | Number of fruiting body per packet |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{1}$ | $29.89 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{g}$ | 41.00 a | $33.22 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{g}$ | $28.44 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{g}$ |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{2}$ | $31.67 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{g}$ | 35.22 abc | $30.22 \mathrm{c-g}$ | $29.89 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{g}$ |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{3}$ | 27.22 g | 36.67 ab | 33.89 b-f | $30.22 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{g}$ |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{4}$ | $33.00 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{g}$ | 35.33 bc | $29.33 \mathrm{c-g}$ | $29.89 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{g}$ |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{5}$ | 34.44 bcd | 34.22 b-e | $29.22 \mathrm{c-g}$ | 327.89 efg |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{6}$ | 35.33 abc | $30.00 \mathrm{c-g}$ | $30.00 \mathrm{c-g}$ | 27.44 fg |
|  | Number of fruiting body per unit area (sq. m) |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{1}$ | 2092 b | 2460 a | 1661 de | 1138 hij |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{2}$ | 1995 b | 1902 bc | 1360 fgh | 1076 ijk |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{3}$ | 1524 def | 1760 cd | 1356 fgh | 967.10 jkl |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{4}$ | 1617 de | 1484 ef | 1027 ijk | 836.90 klm |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{5}$ | 1447 efg | 11232 ghi | 876.70 klm | 669.30 mn |
| PD 6 | 1237 ghi | $900 \mathrm{j}-\mathrm{m}$ | 750 lmn | 548.90 n |

Means within the column and rows, under a parameter, having a common letter do not differ significantly $(\mathrm{P}=0.05)$.

Line to line distance (cm) $\mathrm{LD}_{1}: 14 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{LD}_{2}: 17 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{LD}_{3}: 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $\mathrm{LD}_{4}: 25 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Packet to packet distance $(\mathrm{cm}) \mathrm{PD}_{1}: 10 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{PD}_{2}: 11 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{PD}_{3}: 13 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{PD}_{4}: 14 \mathrm{~cm}$, $\mathrm{PD}_{5}: 17 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $\mathrm{PD}_{6}: 20 \mathrm{~cm}$

Main effect of line and packet spacing on biological and economic yield per packet and per unit area

Significant variation in per packet yield of Oyster mushroom was observed due to line and packet spacing. From line spacing the highest (194 g) biological yield per packet was obtained from the 20 cm line spacings and the closest line spacing 14 cm produced the lowest ( $180 \mathrm{~g} /$ packet) yield (Table 4).Between packet spacing the highest biological yield $(191 \mathrm{~g})$ per packet was recorded from 13 cm packet spacing which was statistically identical to all other packet spacing except 10 cm . Almost similar trend was observed in case of economic yield per packet.

The highest $(9427 \mathrm{~g})$ biological yield per sq. m. was observed in the closest line spacing 14 cm which was significantly higher as compare to other line spacings. An inverse relationship was observed between the line spacing and biological yield per plot. In case of packet spacing a similar trend was observed. The minimum ( $5116 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{plot}$ ) yield was recorded in highest 20 cm packet spacing which was statistically different from all other packet spacing. Exactly similar trend was observed in the case of economic yield per unit area (Table 4).

Table 4. Main effect of line and packet spacing on biological and economic yield of Oyster mushroom (Pleurotus ostreatus)

| Line spacing | Biological <br> yield <br> g/packet | Biological <br> yield <br> g/unit area | Economic <br> yield g/packet | Economic <br> yield <br> $\mathrm{g} /$ unit area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{LD}_{1}$ | 180 c | 9427 a | 166 d | 8560 a |
| $\mathrm{LD}_{2}$ | 189 b | 8532 b | 177 b | 8032 b |
| $\mathrm{LD}_{3}$ | 194 a | 7307 c | 182 a | 6846 c |
| $\mathrm{LD}_{4}$ | 186 b | 5598 d | 173 c | 5220 d |

## Packet spacing

| $\mathrm{PD}_{1}$ | 183 b | 10013 a | 171 b | 9221 a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{2}$ | 190 a | 9395 b | 175 ab | 8638 b |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{3}$ | 191 a | 8399 c | 189 a | 7884 c |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{4}$ | 186 ab | 7165 d | 177 ab | 6805 d |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{5}$ | 187 ab | 6209 e | 173 b | 5719 e |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{6}$ | 186 ab | 5116 f | 171 b | 4729 f |

Means within the column and rows, under a parameter, having a common letter do not differ significantly $(\mathrm{P}=0.05)$.

Line to line distance $(\mathrm{cm}) \mathrm{LD}_{1}: 14 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{LD}_{2}: 17 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{LD}_{3}: 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $\mathrm{LD}_{4}: 25 \mathrm{~cm}$. Packet to packet distance $(\mathrm{cm}) \mathrm{PD}_{1}: 10 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{PD}_{2}: 11 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{PD}_{3}: 13 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{PD}_{4}: 14 \mathrm{~cm}$, $\mathrm{PD}_{5}: 17 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $\mathrm{PD}_{6}: 20 \mathrm{~cm}$

## Interaction effect on Biological yield per packet and per unit area

The combined effect of line to line and packet to packet spacing had also significant influence on biological yield per packet (Table 5). The highest (203 g) yield per packet was obtained from $20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 13 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing which was
statistically similar to $14 \mathrm{~cm} \times 17 \mathrm{~cm}, 17 \mathrm{~cm} \times 11 \mathrm{~cm}, 17 \mathrm{~cm} \times 13 \mathrm{~cm}, 20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10$ $\mathrm{cm}, 20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 11 \mathrm{~cm}, 25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 13 \mathrm{~cm}$, and $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 14 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing. The lowest ( 167 g) yield was obtained from the closest spacing $14 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ which was significantly lower than all other spacing except $14 \mathrm{~cm} \times 14 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing. The result of this study was in agreement with the findings of Uphoff (2004) up to certain limit who obtained high yield with the higher spacing of $45 \mathrm{~cm} \times 40 \mathrm{~cm}$ in case of soil culture. The combination of widest 25 cm line and packet 20 cm spacing produced lowest $(182.30 \mathrm{~g})$ yield per packet. From the study, it is clear that the highest biological yield per packet was obtained from $20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 13 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing and the yield decreased with the increased or deceased of spacing. The lower yield in closer spacing may be due to the insufficient light and oxygen and excessive $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. On the other hand the lower yield at higher spacing may be due to lack of optimum moisture level in the surrounding the packets.

## Biological yield per unit area

There was a significant influence of line to line and packet to packet distance on yield of mushroom per plot (sq.m). It was observed that with the increase of line to line and packet to packet spacing, the yield of mushroom decreased gradually. The maximum ( 11770 gm ) yield of mushroom was obtained from 14 $\mathrm{cm} \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing. The widest line to line and packet to packet spacing 25 cm $\times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ produced the lowest amount of mushroom ( 3647 g per unit area) (Table 5). It was observed that the yield of oyster mushroom per unit area was inversely related to spacing. The higher yield of fruiting body was mainly
contributed by the higher packet population per unit area in closer spacing. There was a great variation in the packet population of the treatment combination. It was observed that there were 70 packets were required for the spacing combination of $14 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ which was 3.5 times higher (number packets) than the widest $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing required (number of packet 20).

Table 5. Effect of line to line and packet to packet distance on the biological yield (g) per packet and per unit area of Oyster mushroom (Pleurotus ostreatus)

| Packet to packet distance (cm) | Line to line distance (cm) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{LD}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{LD}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{LD}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{LD}_{4}$ |
|  | Biological yield per packet |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{1}$ | 167 e | 187 bcd | 192 abc | 184 cd |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{2}$ | 183 cd | 192 abc | 198 ab | 185 bcd |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{3}$ | 180 cd | 193 abc | 203 a | $190 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}$ |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{4}$ | 178 de | 189 bcd | 189 bcd | 190 a-d |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{5}$ | 190 a-d | 186 bcd | 188 bcd | 186 bcd |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{6}$ | 183 cd | 185 bcd | 193 abc | 182 cd |
|  | Biological yield per unit area (sq. m) |  |  |  |
| PD ${ }_{1}$ | 11770 a | 11270 a | 9639 cd | 7373 h |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{2}$ | 11550 a | 10410 b | 8940 ef | 6680 i |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{3}$ | 10090 bc | 9280 de | 8124 g | 6101 jk |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{4}$ | 8738 f | 7966 g | 6638 i | 53201 m |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{5}$ | 8003 g | 6708 i | 5660 kl | 4467 n |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{6}$ | 6417 ij | 5560 ij | 4842 mn | 3647 o |

Means within the column and rows, under a parameter, having a common letter do not differ significantly $(P=0.05)$.

Line to line distance $(\mathrm{cm}) \mathrm{LD}_{1}: 14 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{LD}_{2}: 17 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{LD}_{3}: 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $\mathrm{LD}_{4}: 25 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Packet to packet distance $(\mathrm{cm}) \mathrm{PD}_{1}: 10 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{PD}_{2}: 11 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{PD}_{3}: 13 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{PD}_{4}: 14 \mathrm{~cm}$, $\mathrm{PD}_{5}: 17 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $\mathrm{PD}_{6}: 20 \mathrm{~cm}$

## Interaction effect of economic yield per packet and per unit area

The interaction effect of line to line and packet to packet was significantly influenced on the yield mushroom. The highest $(189.1 \mathrm{~g})$ yield was recorded per packet from the spacing $20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 13 \mathrm{~cm}$. The lowest ( 154.40 g ) yield was obtained the spacing $14 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ which was statistically similar $14 \mathrm{~cm} \times 11$ cm and $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing. The result of this study was in agreement with the findings of Uphoff (2004) who obtained the highest yield from the wider spacing $45 \mathrm{~cm} \times 40 \mathrm{~cm}$ incase of soil.

## Economical yield per unit area

It was observed that the yield of mushroom per unit area was inversely related to the packet to packet and line to line spacing. The interaction effects between line to line and packet to packet spacing also showed significant influence and that was statistically identical to all other line to line and packet to packet spacing (Table 6).

The combination of $17 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ line to line and packet to packet spacing produced higher ( 10610 g ) yield. The line and packet spacing might have ensured the availability of uniform space in all directions essential for proper growth and development of above parts of the packet which also provided the available light, moisture, and relative humidity and thus favored better growth of the mushroom producing more number of bigger fruiting body and ultimately resulting better yield in this spacing. The lowest ( 3300 g ) yield was obtained from the widest $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing

On the other hand widest line and packet spacing might have ensured more aeration, which could have helped to dry away moisture from the packet and surroundings. This unfavorable condition ultimately hampered the yield.

Table 6. Effect of line to line and packet to packet distance on the economic yield (g) per packet and per unit area of Oyster mushroom (Pleurotus ostreatus)

| Packet to packet distance (cm) | Line to line distance (cm) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{LD}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{LD}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{LD}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{LD}_{4}$ |
|  | Economical yield per packet |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{1}$ | 154.40 h | 176.90a-f | 180.20 a-e | 176.10 b-f |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{2}$ | 162.30 gh | 181.10ab | 184.40 abc | $173.60 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{g}$ |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{3}$ | 168.00 efg | 185.60ab | 189.10 a | 176.90 a-f |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{4}$ | $171.90 \mathrm{c-g}$ | 180.00 a-e | 180.90 a-d | 175.30 b-f |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{5}$ | $170.00 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{g}$ | $172.20 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{g}$ | 179.20 a-e | $173.30 \mathrm{b-g}$ |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{6}$ | $171.00 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{g}$ | 171.20 d-g | 179.90 a-e | 165.00 fgh |
|  | Economical yield per unit area (sq. m) |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{1}$ | 10180 ab | 10610 a | 9011 d | 7044 g |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{2}$ | 10230 ab | 9780 bc | 8300 f | 6248 h |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{3}$ | 9408 cd | 8907 de | 7564 g | 5660 ij |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{4}$ | 8423 ef | 7560 g | 6331 h | 4909 kl |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{5}$ | 7140 g | 6200 h | 5377 jk | 4160 m |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{6}$ | 5985 hi | 5137 k | 4497 lm | 3300 n |

Means within the column and rows, under a parameter, having a common letter do not differ significantly $(P=0.05)$.

Line to line distance $(\mathrm{cm}) \mathrm{LD}_{1}: 14 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{LD}_{2}: 17 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{LD}_{3}: 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $\mathrm{LD}_{4}: 25 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Packet to packet distance $(\mathrm{cm}) \mathrm{PD}_{1}: 10 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{PD}_{2}: 11 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{PD}_{3}: 13 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{PD}_{4}: 14 \mathrm{~cm}$, $\mathrm{PD}_{5}: 17 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $\mathrm{PD}_{6}: 20 \mathrm{~cm}$


## Stalk length

There was significant variation in the stalk length of oyster mushroom due to line to line and packet to packet spacing. The longest $(2.467 \mathrm{~cm})$ stalk was obtained from the from the $14 \mathrm{~cm} \times 13 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing which was statistically similar to $14 \mathrm{~cm} \times 14 \mathrm{~cm}, 17 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}, 17 \mathrm{~cm} \times 13 \mathrm{~cm}, 17 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}, 20$ $\mathrm{cm} \times 13 \mathrm{~cm}$, spacing. The lowest ( 1.653 cm ) stalk length was observed in 25 cm $\times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing which was statistically identical to all other spacing combination except $14 \mathrm{~cm} \times 13 \mathrm{~cm}$ (Table 7). The result of the present study showed that the stalk length decreased with the increase or decrease of spacing.

## Stalk diameter

Diameter of stalk was influenced by the spacing combination. The highest $(0.7633 \mathrm{~cm})$ stalk diameter was observed from the $14 \mathrm{~cm} \times 11 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing which was statistically identical to all other spacing combination except $17 \mathrm{~cm} \times 11 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing combination. The lowest ( 0.6467 cm ) stalk diameter was found from the $17 \mathrm{~cm} \times 11 \mathrm{~cm}$, spacing which also statistically identical to all other spacing combination except $14 \mathrm{~cm} \times 11 \mathrm{~cm}$, spacing combination (Table 7).

Table 7. Effect of line to line and packet to packet distance on the length and diameter of stalk (cm) of Oyster mushroom (Pleurotus ostreatus)

| Packet to packet distance (cm) | Line to line distance (cm) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{LD}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{LD}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{LD}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{LD}_{4}$ |
|  | Length of stalk |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{1}$ | 1.717 fg | 2.110 a-f | $1.927 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{g}$ | $2.033 \mathrm{b-g}$ |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{2}$ | 2.293 a-d | $2.020 \mathrm{b-g}$ | 2.037 b-g | $1.953 \mathrm{c-g}$ |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{3}$ | 2.467 a | 2.343 abc | 2.123 a-e | $1.787 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{g}$ |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{4}$ | 2.373 ab | $1.953 \mathrm{d-g}$ | $1.867 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{g}$ | 1.890 e-g |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{5}$ | $1.937 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{g}$ | $1.950 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{g}$ | $1.900 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{g}$ | $1.797 \mathrm{e-g}$ |
| PD 6 | 1.777 e-g | $2.107 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{f}$ | 1.713 fg | 1.653 g |
|  | Diameter of stalk |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{1}$ | 0.7200 ab | 0.6800 ab | 0.6667 ab | 0.6867 ab |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{2}$ | 0.7633 a | 0.6467 b | 0.6867 ab | 0.6933 ab |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{3}$ | 0.7033 ab | 0.6667 ab | 0.6967 ab | 0.7100 ab |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{4}$ | 0.6900 ab | 0.6967 ab | 0.6600 ab | 0.7467 ab |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{5}$ | 0.6933 ab | 0.6667 ab | 0.6400 b | 0.6667 ab |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{6}$ | 0.7100 ab | 0.6833 ab | 0.6667 ab | 0.6833 ab |

Means within the column and rows, under a parameter, having a common letter do not differ significantly $(P=0.05)$.

Line to line distance $(\mathrm{cm}) \mathrm{LD}_{1}: 14 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{LD}_{2}: 17 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{LD}_{3}: 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $\mathrm{LD}_{4}: 25 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Packet to packet distance (cm) $\mathrm{PD}_{1}: 10 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{PD}_{2}: 11 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{PD}_{3}: 13 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{PD}_{4}: 14 \mathrm{~cm}$, $\mathrm{PD}_{5}: 17 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $\mathrm{PD}_{6}: 20 \mathrm{~cm}$

## Effect of line and packet spacing on diameter of pelius

There were no significant variation was observed in the diameter of pileus (Table 8).

## Effect of line and packet spacing on thickness of the pileus

A significant variation in the thickness of pileus was observed due to line to line and packet to packet spacing (Table 8). The highest ( 5.10 mm ) thickness was observed from $14 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing which was statistically identical to all other spacing combination except $20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$. The lowest ( 4.40 mm ) thickness was recorded from the spacing at $20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$.

Table 8. Effect of line to line and packet to packet distance on the diameter and thickness of pileus of Oyster mushroom (Pleurotus ostreatus)

| Packet to packet distance (cm) | Line to line distance (cm) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{LD}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{LD}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{LD}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{LD}_{4}$ |
|  | Diameter of pileus (cm) |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{1}$ | 5.54 a | 5.39 a | 5.56 a | 5.66 a |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{2}$ | 5.51 a | 5.79 a | 5.49 a | 5.64a |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{3}$ | 5.63 a | 5.46 a | 5.71 a | 5.44 a |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{4}$ | 5.47 a | 5.56 a | 5.52 a | 5.77 a |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{5}$ | 5.31 a | 5.49 a | 5.42 a | 5.64 a |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{6}$ | 6.08 a | 5.47 a | 5.62 a | 5.51 a |
|  | Thickness of pileus (mm) |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{1}$ | 4.60 ab | 4.63 ab | 4.40 b | 4.80 ab |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{2}$ | 4.83 ab | 4.93 ab | 4.80 ab | 4.56 ab |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{3}$ | 4.86 ab | 4.63 ab | 4.86 ab | 4.70 ab |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{4}$ | 4.97 ab | 4.90 ab | 4.80 ab | 5.03 ab |
| PD 5 | 4.96 ab | 4.46 ab | 4.56 ab | 4.63 ab |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{6}$ | 5.10 a | 4.50 ab | 4.66 ab | 5.00 ab |

Means within the column and rows, under a parameter, having a common letter do not differ significantly $(\mathrm{P}=0.05)$.

Line to line distance $(\mathrm{cm}) \mathrm{LD}_{1}: 14 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{LD}_{2}: 17 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{LD}_{3}: 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $\mathrm{LD}_{4}: 25 \mathrm{~cm}$. Packet to packet distance $(\mathrm{cm}) \mathrm{PD}_{1}: 10 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{PD}_{2}: 11 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{PD}_{3}: 13 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{PD}_{4}: 14 \mathrm{~cm}$, $\mathrm{PD}_{5}: 17 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $\mathrm{PD}_{6}: 20 \mathrm{~cm}$

## Economic analysis

The economic analysis was done with a view to compare the cost and benefit under different line and packet spacing. For this purpose the input cost for house rent, price of spawn, management cost, watering and interest of capital were considered against the treatment combination. It was observed that there was a great variation in the cost of production due to different treatment combinations. Because greater area was needed (Table 9). The benefit came only from selling the mushroom. The price of spent substrate was ignored. The maximum (2.98) benefit cost ratio was obtained from $20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 11 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing while the minimum (1.91) was found from the widest spacing at $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$. Considering the yield of mushroom per unit area cost of production and benefit cost ratio, spacing combination $20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 11 \mathrm{~cm}$ could be recommended for cultivation of Oyster mushroom (Pleurotus ostreatus).

Table 9. Effect of line to line and packet to packet distance on Benefit cost ratio of Oyster mushroom (Pleurotus ostreatus)

| Packet to <br> packet distance <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Line to line distance (cm) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $\mathrm{LD}_{2}$ |  | $\mathrm{LD}_{3}$ |
| Benefit cost ratio |  | $\mathrm{LD}_{4}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{1}$ | 2.10 | 2.51 | 2.78 | 2.36 |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{2}$ | 2.32 | 2.54 | 2.98 | 2.29 |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{3}$ | 2.36 | 2.56 | 2.54 | 2.28 |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{4}$ | 2.38 | 2.44 | 2.37 | 2.20 |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{5}$ | 2.30 | 2.27 | 2.28 | 2.10 |
| $\mathrm{PD}_{6}$ | 2.25 | 2.18 | 2.20 | 1.91 |

Details in Appendix III

Line to line distance $(\mathrm{cm}) \mathrm{LD}_{1}: 14 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{LD}_{2}: 17 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{LD}_{3}: 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $\mathrm{LD}_{4}: 25 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Packet to packet distance $(\mathrm{cm}) \mathrm{PD}_{1}: 10 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{PD}_{2}: 11 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{PD}_{3}: 13 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{PD}_{4}: 14 \mathrm{~cm}$, $\mathrm{PD}_{5}: 17 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $\mathrm{PD}_{6}: 20 \mathrm{~cm}$

## CHAPTER V SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

## CHAPTER V

## Summary and Conclusion

The experiment was conducted at the National Mushroom Development and Extension Center, Sobhanbagh, Savar, Dhaka during the period of October 2006 to January 2007 with Oyster mushroom (Pleurotus ostreatus) to standardize optimum line to line and packet to packet spacing for obtaining best yield of the mushroom.

There were two factors in this experiment namely, line spacing and packet spacing .The levels of line spacing were $14,17,20,25 \mathrm{~cm}$ and the levels of packet spacing were $10,11,13,14,17,20 \mathrm{~cm}$. Thus there were 24 combinations of line and packet spacing. The experiment was laid out in the Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. The unit plot size was $1 \mathrm{~m} \times 1 \mathrm{~m}$. Packets were laid on 29 October 2006 on the floor of culture house as per the treatments. Data were collected from three randomly selected packet of each unit area. Data were collected on days to primordia initation, number of primordia per packet, primordia per unit area, number of fruiting body per packet, No of fruiting body per unit area, biological yield per packet, biological yield per unit area, economical yield per packet, economical yield per unit area, length and diameter of stalk (cm) and thickness, diameter of pelius (cm). The collected data were analyzed by computer following MSTATC programme, and the means were separated by DMRT.

Effect of spacing was significant on growth, yield and yield components. It was observed from the results that significant increase in the growth parameters and yield per packet was obtained with the increase of spacing up to certain limit and then decreased gradually.

The highest $(189.10 \mathrm{~g})$ economic yield per packet was obtained from $20 \mathrm{~cm} \times$ 13 cm spacing where as the highest $(10610 \mathrm{~g})$ economic yield per unit area was obtained from $17 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing. But highest (2.98) benefit cost ratio was obtained from $20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 11 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing.

Considering the yield, cost of production per unit area, net return per unit area and benefit cost ratio the spacing $20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 11 \mathrm{~cm}$ was the best for Oyster mushroom cultivation on floor.

Further studies are needed to find out the optimum line and packet spacing for the production of different Oyster mushroom species on different steps and height of racks of culture house.
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## CHAPTER VII APPENDIXES

Appendix I. Environmental data during growing period of Oyester mushroom

| Month | Decad es | Temperature |  | Relative Humidity |  | Light Intensity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Maxim um | Minimu m | Maxim um | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Minimu } \\ & \text { m } \end{aligned}$ | Maxim um | Minimu m |
| November'06 | 1* | 27.7 | 24.02 | 81.8 | 71.3 | 183.2 | 43.5 |
|  | 2 | 27.36 | 22.24 | 88.2 | 64.36 | 231.4 | 48.3 |
|  | 3 | 25.15 | 20.84 | 85.7 | 43.8 | 198 | 60 |
| December'06 | 1 | 25.00 | 18.15 | 87.1 | 51.3 | 185.37 | 56.54 |
|  | 2 | 24.90 | 18 | 86.5 | 41.07 | 196.42 | 51.53 |
|  | 3 | 24.22 | 17.8 | 89.5 | 48.4 | 176.41 | 29.97 |
| January'07 | 1 | 20.88 | 20 | 88.15 | 61.45 | 179.14 | 41.74 |
|  | 2 | 23.45 | 21 | 86.35 | 50.25 | 186.21 | 37.59 |

$1^{*}$ stands for day 01 to 10,2 for day 11 to 20 and 3 for day 21 to rest of the month.

Appendix II. Disease and insect pest infestation data during growing period of Oyster mushroom.

| Treatment | Plot No | Number of insect pest infested packet | Number of disease infected packet |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $14 \times 10$ | 1 | 6 | 30 |
| $14 \times 11$ | 2 | 2 | 24 |
| $14 \times 13$ | 3 | 2 | 18 |
| 14 X 14 | 4 | - | 13 |
| $14 \times 17$ | 5 | 1 | 12 |
| $14 \times 20$ | 6 | - | 4 |
| $17 \times 10$ | 7 | 1 | 16 |
| $17 \times 11$ | 8 | - | 12 |
| $17 \times 13$ | 9 | - | 14 |
| $17 \times 14$ | 10 | - | 8 |
| $17 \times 17$ | 11 | 1 | 9 |
| $17 \times 20$ | 12 | - | 8 |
| $20 \times 10$ | 13 | 1 | 17 |
| $20 \times 11$ | 14 | 2 | 11 |
| $20 \times 13$ | 15 | 2 | 12 |
| $20 \times 14$ | 16 | - | 3 |
| $20 \times 17$ | 17 | - | 5 |
| $20 \times 20$ | 18 | - | 4 |
| $25 \times 10$ | 19 | - | 9 |
| $25 \times 11$ | 20 | - | 6 |
| 25 X 13 | 21 | - | 4 |
| $25 \times 14$ | 22 | - | 3 |
| $25 \times 17$ | 23 | - | 2 |
| $25 \times 20$ | 24 | - | - |

## Appendix III. Benefit cost ratio of oyester mushroom production at different spacings

| Treatment | No. spawn per plot | Unit price of spawn TK. | Total price of spawn Tk. | Rent of room (1 sq.m) Tk. | Watering cost | Scrap. <br> Harvest. <br> Proces Market | Total invested capital | Inter. of capital (3\% for 3 mon ) | Total cost <br> (Tk) | Econom icyield $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{pl}$ | Unit price of mushroom | Total price of mushroom/ plot | BCR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $14 \times 10$ | 70 | 8.00 | 420.00 | 20.00 | 45.00 | 175.00 | 660.00 | 19.80 | 679.80 | 10.18 | 140.00 | 1425.20 | 2.10 |
| $14 \times 11$ | 63 | 8.00 | 378.00 | 20.00 | 45.00 | 157.00 | 600.00 | 18.00 | 618.00 | 10.23 | 140.00 | 1432.20 | 2.32 |
| $14 \times 13$ | 56 | 8.00 | 336.00 | 20.00 | 45.00 | 140.00 | 541.00 | 16.23 | 557.23 | 9.40 | 140.00 | 1316.00 | 2.36 |
| $14 \times 14$ | 49 | 8.00 | 294.00 | 20.00 | 45.00 | 122.00 | 481.00 | 14.43 | 495.43 | 8.42 | 140.00 | 1178.80 | 2.38 |
| $14 \times 17$ | 42 | 8.00 | 252.00 | 20.00 | 45.00 | 105.00 | 422.00 | 12.66 | 434.66 | 7.14 | 140.00 | 999.60 | 2.30 |
| $14 \times 20$ | 35 | 8.00 | 210.00 | 20.00 | 45.00 | 87.00 | 362.00 | 10.86 | 372.86 | 5.99 | 140.00 | 838.60 | 2.25 |
| $17 \times 10$ | 60 | 8.00 | 360.00 | 20.00 | 45.00 | 150.00 | 575.00 | 17.25 | 592.25 | 10.61 | 140.00 | 1485.40 | 2.51 |
| $17 \times 11$ | 54 | 8.00 | 324.00 | 20.00 | 45.00 | 135.00 | 524.00 | 15.72 | 539.72 | 9.78 | 140.00 | 1369.20 | 2.54 |
| $17 \times 13$ | 48 | 8.00 | 288.00 | 20.00 | 45.00 | 120.00 | 473.00 | 14.19 | 487.19 | 8.90 | 140.00 | 1246.00 | 2.56 |
| $17 \times 14$ | 42 | 8.00 | 252.00 | 20.00 | 45.00 | 105.00 | 422.00 | 12.66 | 434.66 | 7.56 | 140.00 | 1058.40 | 2.44 |
| $17 \times 17$ | 36 | 8.00 | 216.00 | 20.00 | 45.00 | 90.00 | 371.00 | 11.13 | 382.13 | 6.20 | 140.00 | 868.00 | 2.27 |
| $17 \times 20$ | 30 | 8.00 | 180.00 | 20.00 | 45.00 | 75.00 | 320.00 | 9.60 | 329.60 | 5.13 | 140.00 | 718.20 | 2.18 |
| $20 \times 10$ | 50 | 8.00 | 250.00 | 20.00 | 45.00 | 125.00 | 440.00 | 13.20 | 453.20 | 9.01 | 140.00 | 1261.40 | 2.78 |
| $20 \times 11$ | 45 | 8.00 | 202.00 | 20.00 | 45.00 | 112.00 | 379.00 | 11.37 | 390.37 | 8.30 | 140.00 | 1162.00 | 2.98 |
| $20 \times 13$ | 40 | 8.00 | 240.00 | 20.00 | 45.00 | 100.00 | 405.00 | 12.15 | 417.15 | 7.56 | 140.00 | 1058.40 | 2.54 |
| $20 \times 14$ | 35 | 8.00 | 210.00 | 20.00 | 45.00 | 87.50 | 362.50 | 10.88 | 373.38 | 6.33 | 140.00 | 886.20 | 2.37 |
| $20 \times 17$ | 30 | 8.00 | 180.00 | 20.00 | 45.00 | 75.00 | 320.00 | 9.60 | 329.60 | 5.37 | 140.00 | 751.80 | 2.28 |
| $20 \times 20$ | 25 | 8.00 | 150.00 | 20.00 | 45.00 | 62.50 | 277.50 | 8.33 | 285.83 | 4.49 | 140.00 | 628.60 | 2.20 |
| $25 \times 10$ | 40 | 8.00 | 240.00 | 20.00 | 45.00 | 100.00 | 405.00 | 12.15 | 417.15 | 7.04 | 140.00 | 985.60 | 2.36 |
| $25 \times 11$ | 36 | 8.00 | 216.00 | 20.00 | 45.00 | 90.00 | 371.00 | 11.13 | 382.13 | 6.24 | 140.00 | 873.60 | 2.29 |
| $25 \times 13$ | 32 | 8.00 | 192.00 | 20.00 | 45.00 | 80.00 | 337.00 | 10.11 | 347.11 | 5.66 | 140.00 | 792.40 | 2.28 |
| $25 \times 14$ | 28 | 8.00 | 168.00 | 20.00 | 45.00 | 70.00 | 303.00 | 9.09 | 312.09 | 4.90 | 140.00 | 686.00 | 2.20 |
| $25 \times 17$ | 24 | 8.00 | 144.00 | 20.00 | 45.00 | 60.00 | 269.00 | 8.07 | 277.07 | 4.16 | 140.00 | 582.40 | 2.10 |
| $25 \times 20$ | 20 | 8.00 | 120.00 | 20.00 | 45.00 | 50.00 | 235.00 | 7.05 | 242.05 | 3.30 | 140.00 | 462.00 | 1.91 |



